

UI Design vs. Implementation Report

This report highlights differences between three Figma designs and their corresponding implementation by a developer. Each implementation is assessed individually against the expected design to identify the gaps and differences.

- **Observation and Issues**

- **Interface 1: Comparison Against Figma Design**



- **General Overview**

- The layout structure between the design and implementation is inconsistent.
- The menu items and icons are almost similar in both the design and implementation, but the icons in the implementation are not well-aligned.
- The sidebar in the implementation is narrower, making elements appear more compressed compared to the design.







- **Visual Issues Identified**

This section outlines the differences between the expected interface 1 design and its implementation.

Issue Category	Visual Issue Identified	Details
Title Section	Font Size and Margin Top	- The title ("THE RACING HUB ADMIN PORTAL") in the implementation has a different font size compared to the design

Issue Category	Visual Issue Identified	Details
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The margin from the top of the page to the title is larger in the implementation compared to the design.
	Spacing Between Title and Subtitle	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - There is a larger space in the implementation between the text “Admin Portal” and the title “THE RACING HUB ADMIN PORTAL”
	Capitalization and Toggle Icon Background	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The text "Admin Portal" has only the first letter of each word capitalized in the implementation, while in the design, all the letters are in uppercase. - In the implementation, the toggle icon (button) closer to the title has a white background, whereas in the design, it has no background.
Profile Section 	Profile Image	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Profile image is contained within a square border in the implementation, whereas in the design, it is within a circular border. - The margin between the profile image and the username + text "Admin" is larger in the implementation than in the design.
	Text Style and Background Color	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The letters of the text "Admin" below the

Issue Category	Visual Issue Identified	Details
		<p>profile name are all in uppercase in the implementation, while in the design, only the first letter is uppercase.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The background color of the text "Admin" in the implementation is different from the design.
	Border Style and Icon Alignment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The border of the text "Admin" in the implementation has sharp edges, whereas the text border in the design has rounded corners. - The arrow icon in the profile section is not properly aligned with the arrow icons of the menu items in the implementation, whereas in the design, it is aligned.
	Text Alignment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - "Admin" label in the implementation has less spacing from the username compared to the design.
Menu Items	Font Size and Weight	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Font size of menu labels such as "Dashboard, Services" in the implementation is slightly larger than in the design. - Font weight of the menu labels is heavier in the implementation.

Issue Category	Visual Issue Identified	Details
<div>  Services Setting > </div> <div>  History > </div> <div>  Events manager > </div> <div>  Articles > </div> <div>  Racing Tracks > </div> <div>  System Users > </div>	Spacing Between Sections and Capitalization	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The space between the title + profile section and the menu items section is larger in the implementation than in the design. - The menu labels "Service Settings, System Users" in the implementation have only the first letter of the first word capitalized, whereas in the design, the first letter of both words is capitalized.
	Icon Size and Spelling Mismatch	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - There is a mismatch in the spelling of the menu labels "Events manager," "Racing Tracks," "Services Setting" in the implementation compared to the design.
	Spacing between Items and Extra Items	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Vertical spacing between menu items is tighter in the implementation than the design. - "Logout" menu item exists in the implementation but is absent in the


Issue Category	Visual Issue Identified	Details
		design.
	Active Menu Item	- Active menu item ("Dashboard") in the implementation has a solid gray background, absent in the design.



- Interface 2: Comparison Against Figma Design



- **General Overview**



- The implemented interface differs significantly from the design in terms of content, alignment, and visual consistency.
- The implementation introduces different images in the "Visual of problem" section and omits some expected design features.

- **Visual Issues Identified**

Issue Category	Visual Issue Identified	Details
Title Section 	Font Size and Font Style	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The font style and font size of the title text "Requested Services" in the implementation is different from that in the design. - The left arrow beside the title text

Issue Category	Visual Issue Identified	Details
		<p>"Requested Services" has a gray background color in the design which is not available in the implementation.</p>
<p>Tab Navigation</p> 	<p>Layout and Spacing</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The layout of the tab navigation in the design is different from that in the implementation. - Tabs are slightly closer to each other in the implementation compared to the design.
	<p>Active Tab and Font Style</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The color for the active "Request" tab in the design is different from that in the implementation. - The active "Request" tab is highlighted with a distinct underline in both the design and the implementation, but the underline appears longer in the implementation.
	<p>Text Color</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The text color of the tab navigation elements in the implementation is different from that in the design.
<p>Status Section</p> 	<p>Font Size and Text mismatch</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The text "Pending" of the status badge has a higher font size in the design than in the implementation. - There is a mismatch in the text "Requested on" near the status badge, which reads only "on" in the

Issue Category	Visual Issue Identified	Details
		implementation.
	Date Format	- The date format following "Requested on" in the design differs from the date format following "on" in the implementation.
Details Section 	Title text Mismatch and Spacing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - There is a mismatch in the title text "Performance Upgrade", which reads "Performance restauration" (French translation) in the implementation. - The space between the status badge and the title text "Performance" is larger in the implementation than in the design.
	Text Color	- The text color of the description text under the title "Description" in the design is different from that in the implementation.
	Icon omitted and Spacing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - There is an image icon in front of the car details in the search bar below the car details in the design, but the image icon is not present in the implementation. - There is a larger space between the title text "Car details" and the search bar below it in the design than in the implementation.



Issue Category	Visual Issue Identified	Details
	Section Omitted	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The Car Documents section available in the design is missing in the implementation.
<p>Visuals of the Problem Section</p> 	Text Mismatch and Irrelevant Images	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - There is a text mismatch in the title "Visuals of the Problem" in the design, which reads "Visual of Problem" in the implementation. - The images displayed under the title text "Visuals of the Problem" in the implementation are irrelevant to the context of the information passed in the design, which has relevant images.
	Icon Size and Icon Background Color	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The chat icon overlapping with the displayed images under the title "Visuals of the Problem" in the implementation is slightly larger than that in the design. The background color of the same chat icon in the implementation differs from that in the design.


- Interface 3: Comparison Against Figma Design

- **General Overview**

The implementation deviates slightly from the Figma design in terms of layout, title text font size, color scheme, and additional elements. Below is a table highlighting the differences between both.

- **Visual Issues Identified**

Issue Category	Visual Issue Detected	Details
Title Section 	Font Size	- Font size of the title text "My Orders" is larger in the Figma design than in the implementation.
Tab Navigation 	Layout and Active Tab	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- The layout of the tab navigation in the implementation is different from that in the design.- The line highlighting the active tab as seen under the "Ongoing" tab has a color in the implementation different from the figma design.
Cards Section	Additional Cards and Font Size	- The implementation includes an additional card displaying "Approved"

Issue Category	Visual Issue Detected	Details
		<p>payments, which is not present in the Figma design.</p> <p>- The text on the cards has a larger font size in the Figma design compared to the implementation.</p>

● Recommendations

- For each interface, a table outlining the visual issues identified has been provided, along with an image from the design showcasing the section corresponding to the described issue category.
- Ensure careful attention is given to the design images included in each table to facilitate a thorough comparison with the implementation. This will help identify differences and guide necessary corrections.
- By making the appropriate adjustments based on the details provided in the "Details" column of each table, the implementation can closely match the design with minimal visual issues.