## NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SINGAPORE MATHEMATICS SOCIETY

# PAST YEAR PAPER SOLUTIONS with credits to Teo Wei Hao, Lau Tze Siong

## MA4204 Group Theory AY 2008/2009 Sem 1

#### Question 1

(a) Let  $H = \operatorname{Stab}_G(x)$ , and let relation  $\phi: X \to (G:H)$  be such that for all  $x' \in X$  and  $g \in G$  such that  $g^{-1} \cdot x' = x$ , we have  $\phi(x') = gH$ .

Since G acts transitively on X,  $\phi(x')$  exists for all  $x' \in X$ .

Let  $g_1, g_2 \in G$  such that  $g_1^{-1} \cdot x' = x = g_2^{-1} \cdot x'$ . This give us  $g_1 \cdot x = x' = g_2 \cdot x$ , and so  $g_2^{-1} g_1 \cdot x = x$ .

Thus  $g_2^{-1}g_1 \in \operatorname{Stab}_G(x) = H$ , i.e.  $g_2H = g_1H$ , and so,  $\phi(x')$  is unique for all  $x' \in X$ .

Therefore  $\phi$  is a function.

Let  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  be such that  $\phi(x_1) = \phi(x_2)$  for some  $g \in G$ .

Since G acts transitively on X, there exists  $g_1, g_2 \in G$  such that  $g_1^{-1} \cdot x_1 = x = g_2^{-1} \cdot x_2$ . This give us  $g_1 H = \phi(x_1) = \phi(x_2) = g_2 H$ , i.e.  $g_2^{-1} g_1 \in H = \operatorname{Stab}_G(x)$ .

Thus  $g_2^{-1}g_1 \cdot x = x$ , i.e.  $x_1 = g_1 \cdot x = g_2 \cdot x = x_2$ , i.e.  $\phi$  is injective.

Let  $g \in G$  (equivalently, let  $gH \in (G:H)$ ).

Since  $g^{-1} \cdot (g \cdot x) = x$ , we have  $\phi(g \cdot x) = gH$ , i.e.  $\phi$  is surjective.

Therefore  $\phi$  is bijective.

Notice that as  $1_G^{-1} \cdot x = x$ , we have  $\phi(x) = 1_G H = H$ , and so for all  $g \in G$ ,  $\phi(g \cdot x) = gH = g\phi(x)$ . Thus we established such a subgroup H and a bijection  $\phi$  that satisfy our conditions.

(b) Let H and K be conjugate subgroups of G, i.e. there exists  $b \in G$  such that  $bHb^{-1} = K$ . Let G acts on (G:H) by left composition. Since G acts transitively on (G:H), with  $bH \in (G:H)$ , and  $\operatorname{Stab}_G(bH) = bHb^{-1} = K$ , by result of (1a), there exists a bijection  $\psi: (G:H) \to (G:K)$ such that  $\psi(gH) = \psi(g \cdot H) = g \cdot \psi(H) = g\psi(H)$  for all  $g \in G$ .

Instead, let there exists a bijection  $\psi: (G:H) \to (G:K)$  such that  $\psi(gH) = g\psi(H)$  for all  $g \in G$ . Since  $\psi$  is surjective, there exists  $a \in G$  such that  $\psi(aH) = K$ .

Thus for all  $h \in H$ , we have  $aha^{-1}K = aha^{-1}\psi(aH) = \psi(aha^{-1}aH) = \psi(ahH) = \psi(aH) = K$ , i.e.  $aha^{-1} \in K$ . This implies that  $aHa^{-1} \leq K$ .

Also, for all  $k \in K$ , we have  $\psi(a^{-1}kaH) = a^{-1}k\psi(aH) = a^{-1}kK = a^{-1}K = a^{-1}\psi(aH) = \psi(H)$ , i.e.  $a^{-1}ka \in H$ , or rather  $k \in aHa^{-1}$ . This implies that  $K \leq aHa^{-1}$ .

Therefore H and K are conjugate subgroups of G.

## Question 2

(a) Since G is simple, we have G to be a subgroup of  $A_{n+1}$  of index  $\frac{k}{2}$ , i.e.  $|G| = \frac{|a_{n+1}|}{k} \ge \frac{|a_{n+1}|}{2n+2} = \frac{n!}{2}$ . If k = 2, then  $[A_{n+1} : G] = 1$ , i.e.  $G = A_{n+1}$ .

Else we have  $1 \le \frac{k}{2} - 1 \le n$ . Let  $l = \frac{k}{2} - 1$ .

Then  $|(A_{n+1}:G) - \{G\}| = l$ , and so  $(\bar{A}_{n+1}:G) - \{G\}$  is non-empty.

Let G act on  $(A_{n+1}:G) - \{G\}$  by left composition (this is well-defined since  $\{G\}$  is a G-orbit).

This induce a homomorphism  $\varphi: G \to S_l$ .

Assume on the contrary that  $ker(\varphi) = G$ .

Then for all  $g \in G$ ,  $a \in A_{n+1}$ , we have gaG = aG, i.e.  $a^{-1}ga \in G$ . Thus  $G \triangleleft A_{n+1}$ .

Since  $n+1 \geq 5$ ,  $A_{n+1}$  is simple,  $G = \{1_{A_{n+1}}\}$  or  $G = A_{n+1}$ , either way a contradiction since

$$[A_{n+1}:G] \neq \frac{(n+1)!}{2}$$
 and  $[A_{n+1}:G] \neq 1$ .

Therefore  $\ker(\varphi) \neq G$ , and together with G is simple, we conclude that  $\ker(\varphi) = \{1_G\}$ .

Therefore  $G \cong \varphi[G] \leq S_l$ .

Since  $|\varphi[G]| = |G| \ge \frac{n!}{2} > 2$  and  $\varphi[G]$  is simple, we have  $\varphi[G] \le A_l$ .

Also l = n, else l < n with  $n \ge 5$ , which give us  $|G| = |\varphi[G]| \le |S_l| = l! < \frac{n!}{2}$ , a contradiction.

Thus  $\varphi[G] \leq A_n$ , and so  $|\varphi[G]| \leq \frac{n!}{2}$ .

Therefore  $|\varphi[G]| = \frac{n!}{2} = |A_n|$ , and so  $G \cong \varphi[G] = A_n$ .

(b) Let G be a simple group with |G| = 60. Let  $n_5 = |\text{Syl}_5(G)| \neq 1$ .

Then by Sylow's Theorem, we have  $n_5 \equiv 1 \mod 5$  and  $n_5 \mid 12$ , i.e.  $n_5 = 6$ .

Thus we can let G act on  $Syl_5(G)$  by conjugation.

Since  $n_5 = 6$ , this induce a homomorphism  $\phi : G \to S_6$ .

Since the action is transitive, we have  $\ker(\phi) \neq G$ , and together with G being simple, we have  $\ker(\phi) = \{1_G\}$ . Thus  $\phi[G] \leq S_6$  with  $[S_6 : \phi[G]] = 12 = 2(5) + 2 \neq 2$ .

Since  $\phi[G]$  is simple subgroup of  $S_6$  of index 12, by result of (2a.), we have  $G \cong \phi[G] \cong A_5$ .

## Question 3

(a) Let G be a group with  $|G| = p^2$ . Then Z(G) is non-trivial, and so |Z(G)| = p or  $|Z(G)| = p^2$ .

Either way, we have |G/Z(G)| = 1 or |G/Z(G)| = p, i.e. G/Z(G) is cyclic.

Therefore G is Abelian.

Thus by classification of Abelian groups, we have  $G \cong C_{p^2}$  or  $G \cong C_p \times C_p$ .

(b) Let G be a group with |G| = 2p.

Then by Sylow's Theorem, there exists  $H, K \leq G$  such that |H| = 2, |K| = p.

Since [G:K]=2, we have  $K \triangleleft G$ , and so  $G=K \rtimes H$ .

Let  $\varphi : H \to \text{Aut}(K)$ , let  $H = \{1_G, h\}$  and  $K = \{1_G, k, k^2, \dots, k^{p-1}\}$ .

Then let  $\varphi(h)(k) = k^l$  for some  $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$ . This give us  $\varphi(h)(k^q) = k^{ql}$  for all  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Since  $h^2 = 1_G$ ,  $k = \varphi(1_G)(k) = \varphi(h^2)(k) = \varphi(h)\varphi(h)(k) = k^{l^2}$ , i.e.  $l^2 \equiv 1 \mod p$ .

By Euclid's Lemma, we have  $l \equiv \pm 1 \mod p$ .

If  $l \equiv 1 \mod p$ , then  $\varphi(h)(k^q) = k^q$  for all  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ , i.e.  $\varphi(h) = 1_{\operatorname{Aut}(G)}$ . Thus  $G \cong C_2 \times C_p$ .

Page: 2 of 3

Else  $l \equiv -1 \mod p$ , then  $\varphi(h)(k^q) = k^{-q}$  for all  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ , i.e.  $G \cong D_{2p}$ .

Therefore  $G \cong C_2 \times C_p$  or  $G \cong D_{2p}$ .

### Question 4

(a) Let G be a group such that |G| = 595. Let  $n_p = |\text{Syl}_p(G)|$  for p = 5, 7, 17.

Then by Sylow's Theorem, we have:-

 $n_5 \equiv 1 \mod 5 \text{ and } n_5 \mid 7 \cdot 17, \text{ i.e. } n_5 = 1.$ 

 $n_7 \equiv 1 \mod 7$  and  $n_7 \mid 5 \cdot 17$ , i.e.  $n_7 = 1$  or  $n_7 = 5 \cdot 17$ .

 $n_{17} \equiv 1 \mod 17$  and  $n_{17} \mid 5 \cdot 7$ , i.e.  $n_{17} = 1$  or  $n_{17} = 5 \cdot 7$ .

Let  $P_5 \in \text{Syl}_5(G)$ . Since  $n_5 = 1$ , we have  $P_5 \triangleleft G$ .

Assume on the contrary that  $n_7 = 5 \cdot 17$ .

Let  $P_7 \in \text{Syl}_7(G)$ . Then  $|N_G(P_7)| = 7$ .

Since  $P_5 \triangleleft G$ , we have  $P_5P_7 \leq G$ .

By Sylow's Theorem,  $|\text{Syl}_7(P_5P_7)| \equiv 1 \mod 7$  and  $|\text{Syl}_7(P_5P_7)| \mid 5$ .

Thus  $|\text{Syl}_7(P_5P_7)| = 1$ , i.e.  $P_7 \triangleleft P_5P_7$ .

This give us  $P_5P_7 \leq N_G(P_7)$ , i.e.  $35 = |P_5P_7| \leq |N_G(P_7)| = 7$ , a contradiction.

Similarly, we can show that  $n_{17} \neq 5 \cdot 7$ , by letting  $P_{17} \in \text{Syl}_{17}(G)$  and show that  $P_5 P_{17} \leq N_G(P_{17})$ . Thus  $n_7 = n_{17} = 1$ , i.e.  $P_7, P_{17} \triangleleft G$ .

Since  $P_5$ ,  $P_7$  and  $P_{17}$  are cyclic and normal in G, we have G to be cyclic.

(b) Not necessarily.

Let  $C_3 = \{1, p, p^2\}$  and  $C_7 = \{1, q, q^2, \dots, q^6\}$ .

Let homomorphism  $\varphi: C_3 \to \operatorname{Aut}(C_7)$  be such that  $\varphi(p^k)(q^l) = q^{2^k l}$  for all  $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Then  $\varphi$  is not a trivial homomorphism, and thus it can be used to form the semi-direct product  $C_7 \rtimes C_3$  which is not cyclic. Thus  $|(C_7 \rtimes C_3) \times C_{17}| = 3 \cdot 7 \cdot 17$ , but  $(C_7 \rtimes C_3) \times C_{17}$  is not cyclic.

## Question 5

 $(a) \rightarrow (b)$ 

Let  $\{1_G\} = G_0 \subseteq G_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq G_n = G$  be a central series.

Let H < G, and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  be such that  $G_k \leq H$  but  $G_{k+1} \nleq H$ .

This give us  $[G_{k+1}, H] \leq [G_{k+1}, G] \leq G_k \leq H$ .

Thus for all  $g \in G_{k+1}$ ,  $h \in H$ , we have  $ghg^{-1}h^{-1} \in H$ , i.e.  $ghg^{-1} \in hH = H$ .

Therefore  $G_{k+1} \leq N_G(H)$ , and so  $H < N_G(H)$ .

 $(b) \rightarrow (c)$ 

Let M be a maximal subgroup of G.

Since M < G, we have  $M < N_G(H)$ . By the maximality of M, we have  $N_G(H) = G$ , i.e.  $M \triangleleft G$ .

 $(c) \rightarrow (d)$ 

Assume on the contrary that P is a Sylow subgroup which is not normal in G.

Since  $N_G(P) < G$ , we have  $N_G(P) \le M$ , where M is a maximal subgroup of G.

Hence for all  $g \in G$ , we have  $gPg^{-1} \leq gMg^{-1} = M$ .

Since P and  $gPg^{-1}$  are Sylow subgroups of M, there exists  $m \in M$  such that  $gPg^{-1} = mPm^{-1}$ , i.e.  $m^{-1}g \in N_G(P)$ . Therefore  $g \in MN_G(P)$ , which gives us  $G = MN_G(P) = M$  (since  $N_G(P) \leq M$ ), a contradiction.

 $(d) \rightarrow (a)$ 

Since every Sylow subgroup of G is normal in G, G is a direct product of its Sylow subgroups.

Page: 3 of 3

As every p-group is nilpotent, and finite direct products of nilpotent groups are nilpotent, we have G to be nilpotent.