

# MA2101S - Linear Algebra II(S) Suggested Solutions

(Semester 2 : AY2018/19)

Written by : Pan Jing Bin  
Audited by : Chong Jing Quan

## Question 1

(a)(i) Write  $A$  and  $B$  as:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{1,1} & A_{1,2} \\ A_{2,1} & A_{2,2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} B_{1,1} & B_{1,2} \\ B_{2,1} & B_{2,2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Where  $A_{1,1}, B_{1,1} \in M_{r \times r}(\mathbb{F})$ . Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & J(r) \in \ker(\alpha) \\ \iff & \alpha(J(r)) = 0 \\ \iff & AJ(r) - J(r)B = 0 \\ \iff & \begin{pmatrix} A_{1,1} & A_{1,2} \\ A_{2,1} & A_{2,2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} B_{1,1} & B_{1,2} \\ B_{2,1} & B_{2,2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \iff & \begin{pmatrix} A_{1,1} & 0 \\ A_{2,1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} B_{1,1} & B_{1,2} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \iff & A_{1,1} = B_{1,1} \wedge B_{1,2} = 0_{r \times (n-r)} \wedge A_{2,1} = 0_{(m-r) \times r} \\ \iff & A = \begin{pmatrix} C & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \wedge B = \begin{pmatrix} C & 0 \\ * & * \end{pmatrix} \text{ for some } C \in M_{r \times r}(\mathbb{F}). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Recall that if  $A$  and  $B$  are of the form:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} D & A_{1,2} \\ 0 & A_{2,2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} D & 0 \\ B_{2,1} & B_{2,2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $c_A(x) = c_D(x)c_{A_{2,2}}(x) \wedge c_B(x) = c_D(x)c_{B_{2,2}}(x)$ . Thus  $c_D(x)$  is a common factor of degree  $r$  of both  $c_A(x)$  and  $c_B(x)$ . (Since  $D$  is a block matrix of size  $r \times r$ )

(b) Let  $R$  be the reduced row echelon form of  $X$ . Note that:

$$X \xrightarrow[\text{Operations}]{\text{Elementary Row}} R \xrightarrow[\text{Operations}]{\text{Elementary Column}} J(r).$$

Then  $\exists$  invertible matrices  $P \in M_{m \times m}(\mathbb{F})$ ,  $Q \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$  such that:

$$PXQ = J(r). \quad (P \text{ and } Q \text{ are simply the product of elementary matrices})$$

Define  $\alpha' : M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$  by:

$$\alpha'(X) = PAP^{-1}X - XQ^{-1}BQ.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha'(PXQ) &= PAP^{-1}(PXQ) - (PXQ)Q^{-1}BQ \\ &= PAXQ - PXBQ \\ &= P(AX - XB)Q \\ &= P(0_{m \times n})Q \\ &= 0_{m \times n}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $J(r) \in \ker(\alpha')$ .

By (a)(ii), the characteristic polynomials of  $PAP^{-1}$  and  $Q^{-1}BQ$  have a common factor of degree  $r$ . Since  $c_A(x) = c_{PAP^{-1}}(x) \wedge c_B(x) = c_{Q^{-1}BQ}(x)$ , it follows that the characteristic polynomials of  $A$  and  $B$  also have a common factor of degree  $r$ .

(c) Assume that  $\alpha$  is not injective.

$\exists X \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$  such that  $X \neq 0_{m \times n} \wedge X \in \ker(\alpha)$ .

Let  $\text{rank}(X) = r$ . Since  $X \neq 0_{m \times n}$ ,  $r \geq 1$  so by (b), the characteristic polynomials of  $A$  and  $B$  have a common factor of degree  $r$ . This is a contradiction as the characteristic polynomials of  $A$  and  $B$  are coprime. Thus the assumption is false and  $\alpha$  is injective.

## Question 2

(a) Since  $\gcd(f(x), m(x)) = 1$ ,  $\exists s_1(x), s_2(x) \in F[x]$  such that:

$$s_1(x)f(x) + s_2(x)m(x) = 1.$$

Then

$$s_1(\alpha)f(\alpha)(v) + s_2(\alpha)m(\alpha)(v) = v \rightarrow s_1(\alpha)(u) = v.$$

Simply choose  $g(x) = s_1(x)$  and the proof is complete.

(b)(i) Let  $f_{\gcd}(x) = \gcd(p(x), m(x))$ . To prove that  $p(x) \mid m(x)$ , it suffice to prove that  $f_{\gcd}(x) = p(x)$ .

$\exists t_1(x), t_2(x) \in F[x]$  such that  $t_1(x)p(x) + t_2(x)m(x) = f_{\gcd}(x)$ . Then:

$$t_1(\alpha)p(\alpha)(w) + t_2(\alpha)m(\alpha)(w) = f_{\gcd}(\alpha)(w) \rightarrow t_1(\alpha)p(\alpha)(w) = f_{\gcd}(\alpha)(w).$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} p(\alpha)(w) \in \langle v \rangle_{\alpha} &\rightarrow t_1(\alpha)p(\alpha)(w) \in \langle v \rangle_{\alpha} \\ &\rightarrow f_{\gcd}(\alpha)(w) \in \langle v \rangle_{\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\deg(f_{\gcd}(x)) \geq \deg(p(x))$  so  $f_{\gcd}(x) = p(x)$ . (Recall that  $f_{\gcd}(x) \mid p(x)$ )

(ii) Since  $p(x) \mid m(x)$ , write  $m(x) = k(x)p(x)$  for some  $k(x) \in F[x]$ .

Then  $k(\alpha)q(\alpha)(v) = k(\alpha)p(\alpha)(w) = m(\alpha)(w) = 0_V$ .

Thus  $m(x) \mid k(x)q(x)$  and so  $k(x)p(x) \mid k(x)q(x)$ . Thus  $p(x) \mid q(x)$ .

(iii) Claim:  $p(x) \mid h(x)$ .

Proof: Let  $j_{\gcd}(x) = \gcd(p(x), h(x))$ . Similar to b(i), we show that  $p(x) \mid h(x)$  by showing that  $j_{\gcd}(x) = p(x)$ .  $\exists l_1, l_2 \in F[x]$  such that:

$$l_1(x)p(x) + l_2(x)h(x) = j_{\gcd}(x).$$

Then  $l_1(\alpha)p(\alpha)(w) + l_2(\alpha)h(\alpha)(w) = j_{\gcd}(\alpha)(w)$ . Since  $l_1(\alpha)p(\alpha)(w) + l_2(\alpha)h(\alpha)(w) \in \langle v \rangle_{\alpha}$ ,  $j_{\gcd}(\alpha)(w) \in \langle v \rangle_{\alpha}$ .

Then  $\deg(j_{\gcd}(x)) \geq \deg(p(x))$  so  $j_{\gcd}(x) = p(x)$ . (Recall that  $j_{\gcd}(x) \mid p(x)$ )

Write  $h(x) = n(x)p(x)$  for some  $n(x) \in F[x]$ . Then  $p(\alpha)(w) = q(\alpha)(v)$ .

By (b)(ii),  $q(x) = p(x)r(x)$  for some  $r(x) \in F[x]$ . Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} h(\alpha)(w) &= n(\alpha)p(\alpha)(w) \\ &= n(\alpha)q(\alpha)(v) \\ &= n(\alpha)p(\alpha)r(\alpha)(v) \\ &= h(\alpha)r(\alpha)(v). \end{aligned}$$

### Question 3

(a)(i) Let  $A, B \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$  and let  $x, y \in \mathbb{F}$ .

Then  $\text{tr}(xA + yB) = \text{tr}(xA) + \text{tr}(yB) = x\text{tr}(A) + y\text{tr}(B)$ .

Thus  $\text{tr}$  is a linear functional from  $M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$  to  $\mathbb{F}$  so  $\text{tr} \in (M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F}))^*$ .

(ii) First note that the  $i, j$  entry of  $AB$  is:

$$(AB)_{i,j} = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{i,k} b_{k,j}$$

Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(AB) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (AB)_{i,i} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n a_{i,k} b_{k,i} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n b_{k,i} a_{i,k} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n (BA)_{k,k} \\ &= \text{tr}(BA) \end{aligned}$$

(b)(i) Claim 1 :  $\forall 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n, f(E_{i,j}) = 0$  if  $i \neq j$ .

Proof :  $f(E_{i,j}E_{j,j}) = f(E_{j,j}E_{i,j}) \rightarrow f(E_{i,j}) = f(0_{n \times n}) = 0$ .

Remark :  $f(0_{n \times n}) = 0$  since  $f$  is a linear functional.

Claim 2 :  $\forall 1 \leq i \leq n, f(E_{i,i}) = f(E_{1,1})$ .

Proof :  $f(E_{1,i}E_{i,1}) = f(E_{i,1}E_{1,i}) \rightarrow f(E_{1,1}) = f(E_{i,i})$ .

Write  $A = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_{i,j} E_{i,j}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} f(A) &= f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_{i,j} E_{i,j}\right) \\ &= f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_{i,i} E_{i,i}\right) \\ &= f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_{i,i} E_{1,1}\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n a_{i,i} f(E_{1,1}) \quad (\text{Since } f \text{ is a linear functional}) \\ &= f(E_{1,1}) \sum_{i=1}^n a_{i,i} \\ &= f(E_{1,1}) \text{tr}(A). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Claim 1 :  $\text{span}(\{AB - BA \mid A, B \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})\}) \subseteq \ker(f)$ .

Proof : Let  $D \in \text{span}(\{AB - BA \mid A, B \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})\})$ . Then  $\exists A, B \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$  such that  $D = AB - BA$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\text{tr}(D) &= \text{tr}(AB - BA) \\ &= \text{tr}(AB) - \text{tr}(BA) \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

By (b)(i),  $f(D) = f(E_{1,1})\text{tr}(D) = 0$ . Thus  $D \in \ker(f)$ .

Claim 2 :  $\dim(\ker(f)) = n^2 - 1$ .

Proof : Since  $f \neq 0$ ,  $\ker(f) \neq M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \dim(\ker(f)) < n^2$ . - (\*)

Let  $E'_i = E_{i,i} - E_{i+1,i+1}$ .

Note that  $\text{tr}(E_{i,j}) = 0$  for  $i \neq j$  and  $\text{tr}(E'_i) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i < n$ .

Then let  $B = \{E_{i,j} \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F}) \mid i \neq j\} \cup \{E'_i \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F}) \mid 1 \leq i < n\}$ .

It is easy to check that  $B \subseteq \ker(f)$  since every matrix in  $B$  has trace 0. Thus  $\text{span}(B) \subseteq \ker(f)$ . Since  $\dim(\text{span}(B)) = n^2 - 1$ ,  $\dim(\ker(f)) \geq n^2 - 1$ . Together with (\*), we conclude that  $\dim(\ker(f)) = n^2 - 1$ .

Claim 3 :  $\dim(\text{span}(\{AB - BA \mid A, B \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})\})) \geq n^2 - 1$ .

Proof : Using the same set  $B$  as in claim 2, choose arbitrary  $D \in B$  and consider 2 cases:

Case 1:  $D \in \{E_{i,j} \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F}) \mid i \neq j\}$ .

Then  $D = E_{i,j}$  for some  $i \neq j$ . Write  $D$  as:

$$D = E_{i,j} = E_{i,j} - 0_{n \times n} = E_{i,j}E_{j,j} - E_{j,j}E_{i,j} \quad \text{for } i \neq j.$$

Thus  $D \in \text{span}(\{AB - BA \mid A, B \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})\})$ .

Case 2:  $D \in \{E'_i \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F}) \mid 1 \leq i < n\}$ .

Then  $D = E'_k$  for some  $1 \leq k < n$ . Write  $D$  as:

$$D = E'_k = E_{k,k} - E_{k+1,k+1} = E_{k,k+1}E_{k+1,k} - E_{k+1,k}E_{k,k+1}.$$

Thus  $D \in \text{span}(\{AB - BA \mid A, B \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})\})$ .

We thus conclude that  $B \subseteq \text{span}(\{AB - BA \mid A, B \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})\})$  so  $\dim(\text{span}(\{AB - BA \mid A, B \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})\})) \geq \dim(\text{span}(B)) = n^2 - 1$ .

From claim 1, we know that  $\text{span}(\{AB - BA \mid A, B \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})\}) \subseteq \ker(f)$ .

From claim 2, we know that  $\dim(\ker(f)) = n^2 - 1$ .

From claim 3, we know that  $\dim(\text{span}(\{AB - BA \mid A, B \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})\})) \geq n^2 - 1$ .

Combining the 3 claims, we have:  $\ker(f) = \text{span}(\{AB - BA \mid A, B \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})\})$ .

## Question 4

(a) To prove  $\alpha - \lambda I_V$  is invertible:

Assume that  $\alpha - \lambda I_V$  is not invertible.

Then  $\text{nullity}(\alpha - \lambda I_V) > 0$ .

$\exists$  non-zero  $v \in V$  such that  $(\alpha - \lambda I_V)(v) = 0_V$ . But then  $\alpha(v) - \lambda v = 0_V$ .

This implies that  $\alpha(v) = \lambda v$ . which is a contradiction as  $\lambda$  is not an eigenvalue of  $\alpha$ .

To prove that  $\alpha$  commutes with  $(\alpha - \lambda I_V)^{-1}$  :

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha \circ I_V &= I_V \circ \alpha \\
\alpha \circ (\alpha - \lambda I_V)^{-1} \circ (\alpha - \lambda I_V) &= (\alpha - \lambda I_V)^{-1} \circ (\alpha - \lambda I_V) \circ \alpha \\
\alpha \circ (\alpha - \lambda I_V)^{-1} \circ (\alpha - \lambda I_V) &= (\alpha - \lambda I_V)^{-1} \circ \alpha \circ (\alpha - \lambda I_V) \\
\alpha \circ (\alpha - \lambda I_V)^{-1} \circ (\alpha - \lambda I_V) \circ (\alpha - \lambda I_V)^{-1} &= (\alpha - \lambda I_V)^{-1} \circ \alpha \circ (\alpha - \lambda I_V) \circ (\alpha - \lambda I_V)^{-1} \\
\alpha \circ (\alpha - \lambda I_V)^{-1} &= (\alpha - \lambda I_V)^{-1} \circ \alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

(b)(i)

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(\beta(v), v) &= \phi(v, \beta^*(v)) \\
&= \phi(v, -\beta(v)) \\
&= \phi(-\beta(v), v) - (\text{Since } \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}) \\
&= -\phi(\beta(v), v)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\phi(\beta(v), v) = 0$

(ii) Assume that  $\exists \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $\beta$ . Note that  $\lambda \neq 0$ .

$\exists$  nonzero  $w \in V$  such that  $\beta(w) = \lambda w$ .

Then  $\phi(\beta(w), w) = \phi(\lambda w, w) = \lambda \phi(w, w)$ .

Since  $\phi$  is positive definite,  $\phi(w, w) > 0$ .  $\lambda \neq 0 \wedge \phi(w, w) \neq 0 \rightarrow \phi(\beta(w), w) \neq 0$ . This is a contradiction to b(i).

(c) First note that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma^* &= [(I_V - \beta) \circ (I_V + \beta)^{-1}]^* \\
&= [(I_V + \beta)^{-1}]^* \circ (I_V - \beta)^* \\
&= [(I_V + \beta)^*]^{-1} \circ (I_V - \beta)^* \\
&= (I_V^* + \beta^*)^{-1} \circ (I_V^* - \beta^*) \\
&= (I_V - \beta)^{-1} \circ (I_V + \beta).
\end{aligned}$$

Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma^* \circ \gamma &= (I_V - \beta)^{-1} \circ (I_V + \beta) \circ (I_V - \beta) \circ (I_V + \beta)^{-1} \\
&= (I_V - \beta)^{-1} \circ (I_V - \beta) \circ (I_V + \beta) \circ (I_V + \beta)^{-1} \\
&= I_V.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\gamma^* = \gamma^{-1}$ .

(d) Claim:  $(I_V + \eta)^{-1} \circ (I_V - \eta) = (I_V - \eta) \circ (I_V + \eta)^{-1}$ .

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
(I_V + \eta)^{-1} \circ (I_V - \eta) &= (I_V + \eta)^{-1} \circ (I_V - \eta) \circ (I_V + \eta) \circ (I_V + \eta)^{-1} \\
&= (I_V + \eta)^{-1} \circ (I_V + \eta) \circ (I_V - \eta) \circ (I_V + \eta)^{-1} \\
&= (I_V - \eta) \circ (I_V + \eta)^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $-1$  is not an eigenvalue of  $\zeta$ ,  $I_V + \zeta$  is invertible.

To prove existence: Choose  $\eta = (I_V - \zeta) \circ (I_V + \zeta)^{-1}$ . We first check that  $\eta^* = -\eta$ :

Note that by (a)(i),  $\zeta$  commutes with  $(\zeta + I_V)^{-1}$ . (Choose  $\lambda = -1$ )  
By our claim above,  $(I_V - \zeta) \circ (I_V + \zeta)^{-1} = \eta = (I_V + \zeta)^{-1} (I_V - \zeta)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta^* &= [(I_V - \zeta) \circ (I_V + \zeta)^{-1}]^* \\
&= [(I_V + \zeta)^*]^{-1} \circ (I_V - \zeta)^* \\
&= (I_V^* + \zeta^*)^{-1} \circ (I_V^* - \zeta^*) \\
&= (I_V + \zeta^{-1})^{-1} \circ (I_V - \zeta^{-1}) \\
&= \zeta \circ \zeta^{-1} \circ (I_V + \zeta^{-1})^{-1} \circ (I_V - \zeta^{-1}) \\
&= \zeta \circ (\zeta + I_V)^{-1} \circ (I_V - \zeta^{-1}) \\
&= (\zeta + I_V)^{-1} \circ \zeta \circ (I_V - \zeta^{-1}) \\
&= (\zeta + I_V)^{-1} \circ (\zeta - I_V) \\
&= -(\zeta + I_V)^{-1} \circ (I_V - \zeta) \\
&= -\eta.
\end{aligned}$$

We now check that our choice of  $\eta$  satisfies the inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta &= (I_V - \zeta) \circ (I_V + \zeta)^{-1} \\
\eta \circ (I_V + \zeta) &= (I_V - \zeta) \\
\eta + \eta \circ \zeta &= I_V - \zeta \\
(I_V + \eta) \circ \zeta &= I_V - \eta \\
\zeta &= (I_V + \eta)^{-1} \circ (I_V - \eta) \\
&= (I_V - \eta) \circ (I_V + \eta)^{-1} \text{ as desired.}
\end{aligned}$$

To prove uniqueness: Let  $\eta_1$  and  $\eta_2$  be 2 linear operators satisfying:

$$(I_V - \eta_1) \circ (I_V + \eta_1)^{-1} = \zeta = (I_V - \eta_2) \circ (I_V + \eta_2)^{-1}.$$

By our claim,  $(I_V + \eta_2)^{-1} \circ (I_V - \eta_2) = (I_V - \eta_2) \circ (I_V + \eta_2)^{-1}$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
(I_V - \eta_1) \circ (I_V + \eta_1)^{-1} &= (I_V + \eta_2)^{-1} \circ (I_V - \eta_2) \\
(I_V + \eta_2) \circ (I_V - \eta_1) &= (I_V - \eta_2) \circ (I_V + \eta_1) \\
I_V - \eta_1 + \eta_2 - \eta_2 \circ \eta_1 &= I_V + \eta_1 - \eta_2 - \eta_2 \circ \eta_1 \\
2\eta_2 &= 2\eta_1 \\
\eta_2 &= \eta_1.
\end{aligned}$$

(ii) Recall that  $\forall$  linear operators  $\alpha$  on finite dimensional vector spaces,  $\det(\alpha) = \det(\alpha^*)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\det(\zeta) &= \det((I_V - \eta) \circ (I_V + \eta)^{-1}) \\
&= \det(I_V - \eta) \det((I_V + \eta)^{-1}) \\
&= \frac{\det(I_V + \eta^*)}{\det(I_V + \eta)} \\
&= \frac{\det((I_V^* + \eta)^*)}{\det(I_V + \eta)} \\
&= \frac{\det(I_V + \eta)}{\det(I_V + \eta)} \\
&= 1.
\end{aligned}$$