# NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SINGAPORE MATHEMATICS SOCIETY

### PAST YEAR PAPER SOLUTIONS

Written by Lin Mingyan, Simon Audited by Chua Hongshen

# MA3110 Mathematical Analysis II

AY 2012/2013 Sem 2

Throughout this solution, we shall denote the set of positive integers by  $\mathbb{N}$ .

## Question 1

#### (a) FALSE

Let  $a=-1,\,b=1,$  and consider the function  $f:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}$  defined as follows:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x^2 \sin\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) & x \neq 0, \\ 0 & x = 0. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, f is differentiable on  $[-1,1] \setminus \{0\}$ . Let us show that f is also differentiable at 0. Indeed, for all  $x \neq 0$ , we have  $\frac{f(x)-f(0)}{x} = x \sin\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$ . As  $|x \sin\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)| \leq |x|$ , and  $\lim_{x\to 0} |x| = 0$ , it follows from the Squeeze Theorem that  $f'(0) = \lim_{x\to 0} \frac{f(x)-f(0)}{x} = 0$ , and this completes the claim. So f is differentiable.

Now, let us show that f' is not continuous at 0. Indeed, for all  $x \neq 0$ , we have

$$f'(x) = 2x \sin\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) + x^2 \cos\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{x^2}\right) = 2x \sin\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) - \cos\left(\frac{1}{x}\right).$$

Now, by a similar argument as above, we have  $\lim_{x\to 0} 2x \sin\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) = 0$ . If f' is continuous at 0, then we must have the limit  $\lim_{x\to 0} f'(x) = \lim_{x\to 0} [2x \sin\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) - \cos\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)] = \lim_{x\to 0} \cos\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) = 0$ . On the other hand, since  $\cos\left(n\pi\right) = (-1)^n$ , and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n\pi} = 0$ , it follows from the Sequential Criterion for limits that  $\lim_{x\to 0} \cos\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) = (-1)^n$ , which is a contradiction. So f' is not continuous at 0.

## (b) TRUE.

This follows from the fact that the product of any two Riemann integrable functions on [a, b] is again Riemann integrable.

#### (c) FALSE.

Let a=0, b=1, and for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let us define the function  $f_n:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$  by  $f_n(x)=\frac{x}{n}$  for all  $x \in [0,1]$ . Furthermore, let us define the function  $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{N}$  by f(x)=0 for all  $x \in [0,1]$ . Clearly,  $f_n$  is strictly increasing for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let us show that  $\{f_n\}$  converges uniformly to f. To this end, let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Then there exists some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{1}{N} < \varepsilon$ . This implies that for all  $n \geq N$  and  $x \in [0,1]$ , we have

$$|f_n(x) - f(x)| = |f_n(x)| = \left|\frac{x}{n}\right| \le \frac{1}{n} \le \frac{1}{N} < \varepsilon,$$

which completes the claim. However, we see that f is not strictly increasing.

(d) FALSE.

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let us define  $f_n : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$  by  $f_n(x) = (-1)^n$  for all  $x \in [0,1]$ . Then it is clear that  $||f_n|| = 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , so that  $\{||f_n||\}$  is clearly a convergent sequence of real numbers. However, since  $\{f_n(0)\} = \{(-1)^n\}$  is a divergent sequence of real numbers, it follows that  $\{f_n\}$  is not pointwise convergent, and hence  $\{f_n\}$  is not a uniformly convergent sequence of functions.

(e) TRUE.

This follows immediately from the Weierstrass M-Test.

(f) TRUE.

This follows immediately from the fact that  $|(-1)^n a_n| = |a_n|$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(g) TRUE.

This is precisely the statement of Abel's Theorem.

(h) FALSE.

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let us define  $a_n := \frac{1}{n}$ ,  $a_0 := 0$  and  $x_0 := 0$ . As  $\limsup_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{|a_n|} = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{\frac{1}{n}} = 1$ , it follows that the radius of convergence R of the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (x - x_0)^n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n}$  is equal to  $\frac{1}{1} = 1$ .

Arguing by contradiction, suppose that the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(x-x_0)^n$  converges uniformly on

 $(x_0 - R, x_0 + R) = (-1, 1)$ . For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let us define  $s_k(x) = \sum_{n=1}^k \frac{x^n}{n}$ . By Cauchy's

Criterion for uniform convergence, it follows that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a positive integer N, such that for all  $m > n \ge N$  and  $x \in (-1,1)$ , we have  $|s_m(x) - s_n(x)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . In particular, since  $s_k$  is a continuous function for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$|s_m(1) - s_n(1)| = \lim_{x \to 1^+} |s_m(x) - s_n(x)| \le \lim_{x \to 1^+} \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \varepsilon$$

for all  $m > n \ge N$ . As  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, it follows from the Cauchy Criterion for series that the series  $s_{\infty}(1) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}$  is convergent, which is a contradiction. Therefore, the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n}$  does not converge uniformly on (-1,1).

## Question 2

(a) Remark. It is implicitly assumed in this question that f is Riemann integrable, and a = 0 and b = 1.

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define  $f_n : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} 2n, & x \in \left[\frac{1}{2n}, \frac{1}{n}\right] \\ 0, & x \in [0, 1] \setminus \left[\frac{1}{2n}, \frac{1}{n}\right] \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, let us define  $f:[0,1]\to\mathbb{R}$  by f(x)=0 for all  $x\in[0,1]$ . Then it is clear that  $\{f_n\}$  converges pointwise to f. Indeed, we have  $f_n(0)=0$  for all  $n\in\mathbb{N}$ . Moreover, for each  $x\in(0,1]$ , there exists some  $N\in\mathbb{N}$  such that  $x>\frac{1}{N}$  by the Archimedean Property, which implies that  $f_n(x)=0$  for all  $n\geq N$ . This completes the claim.

Page: 2 of 5

Now, we clearly have f to be Riemann integrable. Furthermore, for each n, we see that  $f_n$  is monotone on  $\left[0,\frac{1}{2n}\right],\left[\frac{1}{2n},\frac{1}{n}\right]$  and  $\left[\frac{1}{n},0\right]$ , so that f is Riemann integrable on  $\left[0,\frac{1}{2n}\right],\left[\frac{1}{2n},\frac{1}{n}\right]$  and  $\left[\frac{1}{n},0\right]$ , and hence on  $\left[0,1\right]$ . Since

$$\int_0^1 f_n(x)dx = \int_{\frac{1}{2n}}^{\frac{1}{n}} f_n(x)dx = \int_{\frac{1}{2n}}^{\frac{1}{n}} 2ndx = 1,$$

and  $\int_0^1 f(x)dx = 0$ , we see that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_0^1 f_n(x)dx = 1 \neq 0 = \int_0^1 f(x)dx$ .

- (b) For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define  $a_n = b_n := \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{\sqrt{n}}$ . Then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$  converge by the Alternating Series Test, but the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n b_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}$  is divergent.
- (c) Define  $f:[0,1]\to\mathbb{R}$  as follows:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x = 0\\ \frac{1}{[1/x]}, & x \in (0, 1] \end{cases}$$

where [y] denotes the largest integer smaller than y (Or simply, the floor function). Then it is clear that f is monotone. Indeed, for all  $x, y \in (0, 1]$  such that x < y, we have  $\left[\frac{1}{y}\right] \leq \left[\frac{1}{x}\right]$  by definition, so this implies that  $f(x) = \frac{1}{[1/x]} \leq \frac{1}{[1/y]} = f(y)$  as claimed. This implies that f is Riemann integrable.

Next, let us show that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  greater than 1, we have f to be discontinuous at  $x = \frac{1}{n}$ . Indeed, for all  $x \in \left(\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n-1}\right]$ , we have  $\frac{1}{x} \in [n-1,n)$ , which implies that  $f(x) = \frac{1}{n-1}$ . Hence, we have  $\lim_{x \to \frac{1}{n}^-} f(x) = \frac{1}{n-1} \neq f\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$ , which implies that f is discontinuous at  $x = \frac{1}{n}$  as claimed. Therefore, f is discontinuous at infinitely many points.

(d) For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let us define  $f_n : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x < n \\ x - n, & x \in [n, n+1) \\ 1, & x \ge n+1 \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, let us define  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  by f(x) = 0. Then it is clear that  $\{f_n\}$  converges pointwise to f. Indeed, for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , there exists some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that x < N by the Archimedean Property. This implies that  $f_n(x) = 0$  for all  $n \ge N$ , and this completes the claim.

Next, let us show that for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , and any sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  that converges to x, we must have  $\lim_{n\to\infty} f_n(x_n) = f(x)$ . Indeed, let us choose any  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that x < N (which exists by the Archimedean Property), and set  $\varepsilon := N - x > 0$ . As  $\{x_n\}$  converges to x, it follows that there exists some  $K \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $|x_n - x| < \varepsilon$  for all  $n \ge K$ . Let  $M = \max\{K, N\}$ . It follows that for all  $n \ge M \ge K$ , we have  $x_n - x < \varepsilon = N - x \le M - x$ , which implies that  $x_n < M$ . Hence, we have  $f_n(x_n) = 0 = f(x)$  for all  $n \ge M$ , which implies that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} f_n(x_n) = f(x)$  as claimed.

Finally, let us show that  $\{f_n\}$  does not converge uniformly to f. Arguing by contradiction, suppose  $\{f_n\}$  converges uniformly to f. Then there exists some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $|f_n(x) - f(x)| < 1$  for

Page: 3 of 5

all  $n \geq N$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . On the other hand, we have  $|f_N(N+1) - f(N+1)| = 1$ , which is a contradiction. The desired follows.

## Question 3

We shall prove by induction on  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  that for all  $C^n$  functions  $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$  that has n+1 distinct zeros that there exists some  $y \in [a,b]$  such that  $f^{(n)}(y) = 0$ . When n = 1, by assumption, there exist some  $x_1, x_2 \in [a,b]$ , such that  $x_1 < x_2$ , and  $f(x_1) = 0 = f(x_2)$ . By Mean Value Theorem, there exists some  $y \in (x_1, x_2)$ , such that  $f'(y)(x_2 - x_1) = f(x_2) - f(x_1) = 0$ . As  $x_2 - x_1 \neq 0$ , we must have  $f^{(1)}(y) = f'(y) = 0$ , and this proves the base step.

Now, suppose that the statement holds for some  $n=k\in\mathbb{N}$ , and suppose that  $f:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}$  is a  $C^{k+1}$  function that has k+2 distinct zeros  $x_1< x_2< \cdots < x_{k+2}$ . Then f' is  $C^k$  by definition. By Mean Value Theorem, there exist  $y_1,y_2,\cdots,y_{k+1}\in[a,b]$ , such that  $y_i\in(x_i,x_{i+1})$  for all  $i=1,2,\cdots,k+1$ , and  $f'(y_i)(x_{i+1}-x_i)=f(x_{i+1})-f(x_i)=0$ . As  $x_{i+1}-x_i\neq 0$ , we must have  $f'(y_i)=0$  for all  $i=1,2,\cdots,k+1$ . By induction hypothesis on f', there must exists some  $y\in[a,b]$  such that  $(f')^{(k)}(y)=0$ . As  $(f')^{(k)}=f^{(k+1)}$ , this completes the induction step, and we are done.

## Question 4

For each  $x \in [0, \infty)$ , let us define  $F(x) = \int_0^x f(t)dt$ . Then F is differentiable on  $[0, \infty)$  by the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus, and F'(x) = f(x) for all  $x \in [0, \infty)$ . Furthermore, since f is strictly positive on  $(0, \infty)$ , we must have F(x) > 0 for all  $x \in (0, \infty)$ , and  $f(x) = \sqrt{2F(x)}$  for all  $x \in [0, \infty)$ . By the chain rule for differentiation, we must have f to be differentiable on  $(0, \infty)$ . By applying chain rule on the left hand side of the equation  $(f(x))^2 = 2F(x)$  for all x > 0, we have 2f(x)f'(x) = 2F'(x) = 2f(x). As f(x) > 0 for all x > 0, we must have f'(x) = 1 for all x > 0. This implies that there exists some  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , such that f(x) = x + c for all  $x \in [0, \infty)$ . As  $f(0)^2 = 2F(0) = 0$ , we must have f(0) = 0, so c = 0. So f(x) = x for all  $x \in [0, \infty)$  as desired.

## Question 5

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. As  $\{f_n\}$  and  $\{g_n\}$  converges to f and g respectively, there exist  $N_1, N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that for all  $n \geq N_1$  and  $x \in [a,b]$ , we have  $|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ , and for all  $n \geq N_2$  and  $x \in [a,b]$ , we have  $|g_n(x) - g(x)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . Let  $N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$ . It follows that for all  $n \geq N$  and  $x \in [a,b]$ , we have

$$|(f_n+g_n)(x)-(f+g)(x)|=|f_n(x)-f(x)+g_n(x)-g(x)|\leq |f_n(x)-f(x)|+|g_n(x)-g(x)|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}=\varepsilon.$$

Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, this shows that  $\{f_n + g_n\}$  converges uniformly to f + g as desired.

## Question 6

Let us first show that  $\{\sqrt[n]{|a_n|}\}$  is bounded. Suppose not, then for each  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists some  $k_N \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $|a_{k_N}|^{1/k_N} > N$ . This implies that  $|a_{k_N}| > N^{k_N} \ge N$ , which implies that  $\{a_n\}$  is unbounded, a contradiction.

As  $\{\sqrt[n]{|a_n|}\}$  is bounded, we must have  $a:=\limsup \sqrt[n]{|a_n|}$  to exist in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let us show that a=1. If a<1, then this would imply that the series  $\sum\limits_{n=0}^{\infty}a_n$  is absolutely convergent by the Root Test, which is a contradiction. On the other hand, if a>1, then let us set  $\varepsilon:=a-1$ . By the definition

of  $\limsup \sqrt[n]{|a_n|}$ , there exists infinitely many n's such that  $\sqrt[n]{|a_n|} > a - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \frac{1+a}{2}$ , or equivalently,  $|a_n| > \left(\frac{1+a}{2}\right)^n$ . Since  $\frac{1+a}{2} > 1$ , it follows that the sequence  $\left\{\left(\frac{1+a}{2}\right)^n\right\}$  is unbounded, and consequently, the sequence  $\{a_n\}$  is unbounded, which is again a contradiction. So a=1 as claimed. By definition, the radius of convergence of  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$  is equal to  $\frac{1}{a} = 1$  as desired.

## Question 7

- (a) As f is differentiable (hence continuous) by definition, it follows from the sequential criterion for continuity that  $f(0) = f\left(\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n\right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f(x_n) = 0$ .
- (b) Let us fix a  $n \ge 0$ , and assume without loss of generality that  $x_n > 0$ . By the Mean Value Theorem, there exists some  $y_n \in (0, x_n)$ , such that  $f'(y_n)(x_n 0) = f(x_n) f(0) = 0$ . As  $x_n > 0$ , we must have  $f'(y_n) = 0$ . Furthermore, by the choice of  $y_n$  for each  $n \ge 0$ , it is easy to see that  $y_n \ne 0$ , and  $|y_n| < |x_n|$  for all  $n \ge 0$ . As  $\lim_{n \to \infty} |x_n| = 0$ , it follows from Squeeze Theorem that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} y_n = 0$ . Finally, since  $f'(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_n x^{n-1}$  is a power series with radius of convergence R, we deduce from part (a) that f'(0) = 0. Now, we repeat the same argument as above to deduce inductively that  $f^{(n)}(0) = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . As  $a_n = \frac{f^{(n)}(0)}{n!}$  by definition, we must have f(x) = 0 for all  $x \in (-R, R)$  as desired.

Page: 5 of 5