# NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SINGAPORE MATHEMATICS SOCIETY

PAST YEAR PAPER SOLUTIONS with credits to Joseph Nah, Kenny Sng

## MA2108 Mathematical Analysis I

AY 2008/2009 Sem 1

## Question 1

(a) No. We will illustrate a counter-example. Let  $(x_n)$  be a sequence of positive real numbers with  $x_n = \frac{1}{n^2}$  if n is odd and  $x_n = \frac{1}{n^3}$  if n is even. Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n = \frac{1}{1^2} + \frac{1}{2^3} + \frac{1}{3^2} + \frac{1}{4^3} + \frac{1}{5^2} + \dots < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}$$

By Comparison Test,  $\sum x_n$  is convergent. However,  $\frac{1}{5^2} > \frac{1}{4^3}$ . Hence,  $(x_n)$  is not decreasing.

(b) First, we establish the inequality

$$n^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \le (-2 + n \ln n)^{\frac{1}{1+n}} \le (2 \cos n + n \ln n)^{\frac{1}{\sin n + n}} \le (2 + n \ln n)^{\frac{1}{-1+n}} \le (n^2)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$$

Since

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} n^{\frac{1}{n+1}} = \lim_{x \to \infty} (n^2)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} = 1$$

By Squeeze Theorem,

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} (2\cos n + n\ln n)^{\frac{1}{\sin n + n}} = 1$$

### Question 2

(a) (i) By Root Test, since

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)^{n^2} e^{-2n}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n e^{-2}$$

$$= e \times e^{-2}$$

$$= e^{-1}$$

$$< 1$$

Therefore, 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)^{n^2} e^{-2n}$$
 converges.

(ii) By Raabe's Test, since

$$\begin{vmatrix} a_{n+1} \\ a_n \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{2 \cdot 4 \cdots (2n+2)}{5 \cdot 7 \cdots (2n+5)} \\ \frac{2 \cdot 4 \cdots (2n)}{5 \cdot 7 \cdots (2n+3)} \end{vmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{vmatrix} \frac{2n+2}{2n+5} \\ \end{vmatrix}$$
$$= 1 - \frac{3}{2n+5}$$

Therefore,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2 \cdot 4 \cdots (2n)}{5 \cdot 7 \cdots (2n+3)}$  converges.

(iii) By Comparison Test,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1-3\lfloor (n+1/3\rfloor}}{n} = 1 + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{5} - \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{7} + \frac{1}{8} - \frac{1}{9} + \cdots$$

$$> \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{9} - \frac{1}{9} + \cdots$$

$$= \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{9} + \cdots$$

$$= \frac{1}{3} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \cdots \right)$$

Since the harmonic series diverges, therefore,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1-3\lfloor (n+1/3\rfloor}}{n}$  diverges.

(b) By using Limit Comparison Test with  $\frac{1}{n^{2q}}$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\frac{1}{(n^2 + n)^q}}{\frac{1}{n^{2q}}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n^{2q}}{(n^2 + n)^q}$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{(\frac{n^2 + n}{n^2})^q}$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{(1 + \frac{1}{n})^q}$$

$$= 1$$

This means that if the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2q}}$  converges or diverges, then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n^2+n)^q}$  converges or diverges respectively.

By the p-series, we know that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2q}}$  converges if  $q > \frac{1}{2}$ , and it diverges if  $q \le \frac{1}{2}$ . Therefore, the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n^2+n)^q}$  converges if  $q > \frac{1}{2}$ , and it diverges if  $q \le \frac{1}{2}$ .

(c) Since  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} na_n^3$  is convergent,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} na_n^3 = 0$ . Therefore, the sequence  $(na_n^3)$  is bounded, say  $na_n^3 \leq M$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Note that

$$0 < na_n^3 \le M$$

so

$$0 < a_n^3 \le \frac{M}{n}$$

Therefore, by Squeeze Theorem,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n^3 = 0$ .

By  $\epsilon - \delta$  definition, there exists  $\epsilon^3 > 0$  such that  $\forall \delta > 0$ ,

$$|x^3 - 0| < \epsilon^3$$
$$|x - 0| < \epsilon$$

Therefore,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = 0$ .

By Limit Comparison Test, since

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n^2 a_n^7}{n a_n^3} = \lim_{n \to \infty} n a_n^4$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} n \cdot a_n \cdot a_n^3$$
$$= 0$$

Therefore,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2 a_n^7$  is convergent.

## Question 3

(a) Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . We want to prove that  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$  s.t.  $\left| \frac{x^2+1}{3x+2} - \frac{2}{5} \right| < \epsilon$  whenever  $|x-1| < \delta$ . Suppose  $\delta = \frac{1}{5}$ . Then,

$$-\frac{1}{5} < x - 1 < \frac{1}{5}$$
$$3 < 5x - 1 < 5$$
$$\frac{22}{5} < 3x + 2 < \frac{28}{5}$$

Hence, |5x+1| < 5 and  $|\frac{1}{3x+2}| < \frac{5}{22}$ . Set  $\delta = \inf(\frac{1}{5}, \frac{22}{5}\epsilon)$ , then  $\forall |x-1| < \delta$ ,

$$\begin{vmatrix} \frac{x^2+1}{3x+2} - \frac{2}{5} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{5x^2+5-6x-4}{5(3x+2)} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{vmatrix} \frac{(5x-1)(x-1)}{5(3x+2)} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$\leq \frac{|5x-1||x-1|}{5|3x+2|}$$

$$< \frac{5|x-1|}{5} \times \frac{5}{22}$$

$$= \frac{5}{22}|x-1|$$

$$< \epsilon$$

Therefore,  $\lim_{x\to 1}\frac{x^2+1}{3x+2}=\frac{2}{5}.$ 

(b) Using l-Hopital's Rule,

$$\lim_{x \to 0^{-}} \frac{e^{\frac{1}{x}}}{x^{2}} = \lim_{x \to 0^{-}} \frac{x^{-2}}{e^{-x^{-1}}}$$

$$= \lim_{x \to 0^{-}} \frac{-2x^{-3}}{x^{-2}e^{-x^{-1}}}$$

$$= \lim_{x \to 0^{-}} \frac{-2x^{-1}}{e^{-x^{-1}}}$$

$$= \lim_{x \to 0^{-}} \frac{2x^{-2}}{x^{-2}e^{-x^{-1}}}$$

$$= \lim_{x \to 0^{-}} \frac{2}{e^{-x^{-1}}}$$

$$= \lim_{x \to 0^{-}} 2e^{x^{-1}}$$

$$= 0$$

(c) Let  $\epsilon = 1$  and  $\alpha = K(L-1)$ . We observe that

$$L-1 < \frac{f(x)}{x} < L+1 \implies x(L-1) < f(x) < x(L+1)$$

$$\Rightarrow f(x) > x(L-1)$$

$$\Rightarrow f(x) > K(L-1)$$

$$\Rightarrow f(x) > \alpha$$

Since for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\exists K > a$  for some  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  such that for any x > K,  $f(x) > \alpha$ , we have  $\lim_{x \to \infty} f(x) = \infty$ .

## Question 4

(a) Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Set  $\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{4}$ . If  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $|x - y| < \delta$ , then

$$\left| \frac{1}{2x^2 + 1} - \frac{1}{2y^2 + 1} \right| = \left| \frac{2x^2 - 2y^2}{(2x^2 + 1)(2y^2 + 1)} \right|$$

$$= \left| \frac{2(x + y)(x - y)}{(2x^2 + 1)(2y^2 + 1)} \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{2|x - y||x + y|}{|2x^2 + 1||2y^2 + 1|}$$

$$\leq 2|x - y| \left( \frac{|x|}{|2x^2 + 1||2y^2 + 1|} + \frac{|y|}{|2x^2 + 1||2y^2 + 1|} \right)$$

$$\leq 2|x - y|(1 + 1)$$

$$\leq \epsilon$$

Therefore, f(x) is uniformly continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

(b) An example will be  $f(x) = \sin(\frac{1}{x^2})$ , on  $A = (0, \infty)$ . Consider the sequence  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  in A where for each n,

$$x_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2} + 2n\pi}}, y_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{3\pi}{2} + 2n\pi}}$$

Since  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} y_n = 0$ , we have  $\lim_{n\to\infty} (x_n - y_n) = 0$ . However,

$$|f(x_n) - f(y_n)| = |\sin(\frac{\pi}{2} + 2n\pi) - \sin(\frac{3\pi}{2} + 2n\pi)| = 2$$

Hence, by Nonuniform Continuity Criteria, f(x) is continuous and bounded on A but not uniformly continuous.

(c) Consider f on [c-1,c+1]. By Uniform Continuity Theorem, f is uniformly continuous on [c-1,c+1]. So there exists  $\delta_A>0$  such that

$$|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$$
 whenever  $x, y \in [c-1, c+1]$  and  $|x-y| < \delta_A$ 

Also, since f is uniformly continuous on  $(-\infty, c)$ , there exists  $\delta_B > 0$  such that

$$|f(x)-f(y)|<\epsilon$$
 whenever  $x,y\in(-\infty,c)$  and  $|x-y|<\delta_B$ 

Now, set  $\delta_1 = \inf\{\delta_A, \delta_B, 1\}$ . Suppose  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $|x - y| < \delta_1$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that x < y. Then either  $x, y \in [c - 1, c + 1]$  or  $x, y \in (-\infty, c)$  (note that the case of  $x \le c - 1$  and  $y \ge c$  never occur since otherwise  $|x - y| = y - x \ge 1$ , contradicting the assumption that  $|x - y| < \delta_1 \le 1$ .) Now, the result follows from the 2 equations, that is

$$|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$$
 whenever  $x, y \in (-\infty, c+1]$  and  $|x - y| < \delta_1$ 

Also, since f is uniformly continuous on  $(c, \infty)$ , there exists  $\delta_C > 0$  such that

$$|f(x)-f(y)|<\epsilon$$
 whenever  $x,y\in(c,\infty)$  and  $|x-y|<\delta_C$ 

Now, set  $\delta_2 = \inf\{\delta_A, \delta_C, 1\}$ . Suppose  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $|x - y| < \delta_2$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that x < y. Then either  $x, y \in [c - 1, c + 1]$  or  $x, y \in (c, \infty)$  (note that the case of  $x \le c$  and  $y \ge c + 1$  never occur since otherwise  $|x - y| = y - x \ge 1$ , contradicting the assumption that  $|x - y| < \delta_2 \le 1$ .) Now, the result follows from the 2 equations, that is

$$|f(x)-f(y)|<\epsilon$$
 whenever  $x,y\in[c-1,\infty)$  and  $|x-y|<\delta_2$ 

Now, set  $\delta = \inf\{\delta_1, \delta_2, 2\}$ . Suppose  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $|x - y| < \delta$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that x < y. Then either  $x, y \in (-\infty, c+1]$  or  $x, y \in [c-1, \infty)$  (note that the case of  $x \le c-1$  and  $y \ge c+1$  never occur since otherwise  $|x-y| = y-x \ge 2$ , contradicting the assumption that  $|x-y| < \delta \le 2$ .) Now, the result follows from the 2 equations, that is

$$|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$$
 whenever  $x, y \in (-\infty, \infty)$  and  $|x - y| < \delta$ 

We conclude that f is uniformly continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

#### Question 5

(a) From (i), since  $(a_{2k-1})$  is bounded and monotone decreasing, by Monotone Convergence Theorem,  $(a_{2k-1})$  is convergent.

From (ii), since  $(a_{2k})$  is bounded and monotone increasing, by Monotone Convergence Theorem,  $(a_{2k})$  is convergent.

From (iii), since  $\lim_{n\to\infty} |a_{n+1}-a_n|=0$ , there exists  $N\in\mathbb{R}$  such that for all  $m,n>N, |a_{n+1}-a_n|<\frac{\epsilon}{m-n}$ . Hence, for m>n,

$$|a_{m} - a_{n}| = |(a_{m} - a_{m-1}) + (a_{m-1} - a_{m-2}) + \dots + (a_{n+1} - a_{n})|$$

$$\leq |a_{m} - a_{m-1}| + |a_{m-1} - a_{m-2}| + \dots + |a_{n+1} - a_{n}|$$

$$< \frac{\epsilon}{m-n} + \frac{\epsilon}{m-n} + \dots + \frac{\epsilon}{m-n}$$

$$= \epsilon$$

Therefore,  $a_n$  is a Cauchy sequence and is convergent. Since  $(a_{2k-1})$  and  $(a_{2k})$  are subsequences of  $(a_n)$ , they all have the same limit, and so there exists  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\alpha = \lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = \lim_{k \to \infty} a_{2k-1} = \lim_{k \to \infty} a_{2k}$$

(b) We are given that  $f(x) = f(\frac{x+a}{b}) = f(\frac{x}{b} + \frac{a}{b})$ . Hence,

$$f(x) = f(\frac{x}{b} + \frac{a}{b})$$

$$= f(\frac{x}{b^2} + \frac{a}{b^2} + \frac{a}{b})$$

$$= f(\frac{x}{b^n} + \frac{a}{b^n} + \dots + \frac{a}{b})$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} f(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f\left(\frac{x}{b^n} + \frac{a}{b^n} + \dots + \frac{a}{b}\right)$$

$$= f\left(\frac{\frac{a}{b}}{1 - \frac{1}{b}}\right)$$

$$= f\left(\frac{a}{b - 1}\right)$$

$$= c$$

where c is a constant.

Hence, f(x) is a constant function.

When b=1, f(x)=f(x+a). This is a periodic function but not a constant function. One example of a function that satisfies this will be  $f(x)=\sin x$ , where  $a=2\pi$ .

## Question 6

(a) We shall prove by induction.

k = 1:

By Cauchy Condensation Test,  $\sum_{n=N_1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n \ln n}$  converges  $\Rightarrow \sum_{n=N_1}^{\infty} 2^n \frac{1}{2^n \ln 2^n}$  converges  $\Rightarrow \sum_{n=N_1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n \ln 2}$  converges. However, by p-series,  $\sum_{n=N_1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}$  diverges, so  $\sum_{n=N_1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n \ln n}$  diverges.

Suppose this is true for k = m. Then we have,

$$\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(\ln n)(\ln_2 n)(\ln_3 n)\cdots(\ln_m n)} \text{ diverges}$$

k = m + 1:

By Cauchy Condensation Test,

$$\sum_{n=N_{m+1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(\ln n)(\ln_2 n)\cdots(\ln_{m+1} n)} \text{ converges} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \sum_{n=N_{m+1}}^{\infty} 2^n \frac{1}{2^n(\ln 2^n)(\ln_2 2^n)\cdots(\ln_{m+1} 2^n)} \text{ converges}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \sum_{n=N_{m+1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n\ln 2)(\ln n\ln 2)\cdots(\ln_m n\ln 2)} \text{ converges}$$

However, by Comparison Test,

$$\sum_{n=N_{m+1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n \ln 2)(\ln n \ln 2) \cdots (\ln_{k-1} n \ln 2)} \text{ converges} \Rightarrow \sum_{n=N_{m+1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(\ln n)(\ln_2 n) \cdots (\ln_m n)} \text{ converges}$$

since  $\ln 2 < 1$ .

However, we arrive at a contrdiction, since  $\sum_{n=N_{m+1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(\ln n)(\ln_2 n)\cdots(\ln_m n)}$  diverges. Therefore,

$$\sum_{n=N_{m+1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(\ln n)(\ln_2 n)(\ln_3 n)\cdots(\ln_{m+1} n)} \text{ diverges.}$$

By Mathematical Induction, since the case for k=1 is true and k=m true implies k=m+1 true,  $\sum_{n=N_k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(\ln n)(\ln_2 n)\cdots(\ln_k n)}$  diverges for all  $k\in\mathbb{N}$ .

(b) We take the logarithmic function on both sides to obtain

$$\ln(f(x) - \lfloor x \rfloor) = \lfloor x \rfloor \ln(x - \lfloor x \rfloor)$$

The function  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $x > \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  is continuous on  $[\frac{1}{2}, \infty) \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $\ln(f(x) - \lfloor x \rfloor)$  is made up of a composition of  $\ln x$ , x and  $\lfloor x \rfloor$ , it is continuous on  $[\frac{1}{2}, \infty) \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $e^x$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $f(x) - \lfloor x \rfloor$  is continuous on  $[\frac{1}{2}, \infty) \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ . Therefore, f is continuous on  $[\frac{1}{2}, \infty) \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ .

It suffices to prove that f is continuous on  $\mathbb{Z}^+$ . Let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

$$\lim_{x \to a^{+}} f(x) = \lim_{x \to a^{+}} \left( \lfloor x \rfloor + (x - \lfloor x \rfloor)^{\lfloor x \rfloor} \right)$$

$$= a + (a - a)^{a}$$

$$= a$$

$$\lim_{x \to a^{-}} f(x) = \lim_{x \to a^{-}} \left( \lfloor x \rfloor + (x - \lfloor x \rfloor)^{\lfloor x \rfloor} \right)$$

$$= (a - 1) + (a - (a - 1))^{a - 1}$$

$$= (a - 1) + 1$$

$$= a$$

Since  $\lim_{x\to a^+} f(x) = \lim_{x\to a^-} f(x)$ , we conclude that f is continuous on  $\mathbb{Z}^+$ . Hence, f is continuous on  $\left[\frac{1}{2},\infty\right)$ .

## Question 7

(a) Suppose c is rational and  $c \neq 0$ . Let  $(x_n)$  be a sequence of irrational numbers converging to c. If f is continuous at c, then  $f(c) = \lim f(x_n) = 0$ . On the other hand, by definition,  $f(c) = \sin c$ . So  $\sin c = 0$  which gives us c = 0, contradicting our assumption. So f is NOT continuous at rational numbers  $c \neq 0$ .

Similarly, suppose c is irrational and  $c \neq n\pi$  where  $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ . Let  $(y_n)$  be a sequence of rational numbers converging to c. If f is continuous at c, then  $f(c) = \lim f(y_n) = \sin c$ . On the other hand, by definition, f(c) = 0. So  $\sin c = 0$  which gives us  $c = n\pi$  where  $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ , contradicting our assumption. So f is NOT continuous at irrational numbers  $c \neq n\pi$  where  $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ .

Now we show that f is continuous at  $c = n\pi$  where  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Set  $\delta = \inf\{0, \epsilon\}$ . Note that  $f(n\pi) = 0$ . Suppose  $|x - n\pi| < \delta$ .

If x is irrational, then

$$|f(x) - f(n\pi)| = |0 - 0|$$
  
$$< \delta \le \epsilon$$

If x is rational, then

$$|f(x) - f(n\pi)| = |\sin x - \sin n\pi|$$

$$= 2 \left|\cos \frac{x + n\pi}{2} \sin \frac{x - n\pi}{2}\right|$$

$$\leq 2 \left|\sin \frac{x - n\pi}{2}\right|$$

$$\leq 2 \left|\frac{x - n\pi}{2}\right|$$

$$\leq \delta \leq \epsilon$$

Therefore, f is continuous at all  $n\pi$  where  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

(b) We define  $g(x) = f(x+1) - f(x) - \frac{f(x)}{2} + \frac{f(0)}{2}$ . This means that g is continuous on [0,1]. It suffices to find  $k \in [0,1]$  such that g(k) = 0, since this will lead us to a = k+1, b = k.

If g(0) = 0 or g(1) = 0, then we have found the k which leads us to the values of a and b.

If  $g(0) \neq 0$  and  $g(1) \neq 0$ , then we see that

$$g(0) = f(1) - f(0) - \frac{f(2)}{2} + \frac{f(0)}{2}$$

$$= -\frac{f(0)}{2} + f(1) - \frac{f(2)}{2}$$

$$g(1) = f(2) - f(1) - \frac{f(2)}{2} + \frac{f(0)}{2}$$

$$= \frac{f(0)}{2} - f(1) + \frac{f(2)}{2}$$

$$= -g(0)$$

By Intermediate Value Theorem, there exists a  $k \in (0,1)$  such that g(k) = 0. Therefore, there exists  $k \in [0,1]$  such that g(k) = 0. Taking  $a = k + 1, b = k, a, b \in [0,2]$ , and we are done.

Page: 8 of 8