

# MA2108 - Mathematical Analysis I Suggested Solutions

AY18/19 Semester 2

Author: Chong Jing Quan

Reviewer: Pan Jing Bin

## Question 1

- (a) (i) Note that  $(n^2 8^n + n^3 4^n)^{\frac{1}{3n}} = n^{\frac{2}{3n}} (8^n + n \times 4^n)^{\frac{1}{3n}}$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{\frac{2}{3n}} = 1$ . We now wish to compute the limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (8^n + n \times 4^n)^{\frac{1}{3n}}.$$

We first show that  $2 \times 8^n > 8^n + n \times 4^n > 8^n$  for all positive integers  $n$ . The inequality  $8^n + n \times 4^n > 8^n$  is obvious. To show that  $2 \times 8^n > 8^n + n \times 4^n$ , it is equivalent to prove that  $8^n > n \times 4^n$ . Indeed, the inequality is obvious for  $n = 1$ . Suppose the inequality holds for  $n = k$ . Then

$$8^{k+1} = 8 \times 8^k > 8(k \times 4^k) > k \times 4^{k+1}$$

and we are done by induction.

Hence, we have

$$(2 \times 8^n)^{\frac{1}{3n}} = 2^{\frac{1}{3n}} \times 2 > (8^n + n \times 4^n)^{\frac{1}{3n}} > (8^n)^{\frac{1}{3n}}.$$

Applying limit on both sides, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{\frac{1}{3n}+1} \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (8^n + n \times 4^n)^{\frac{1}{3n}} \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (8^n)^{\frac{1}{3n}}.$$

Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{\frac{1}{3n}+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (8^n)^{\frac{1}{3n}} = 2$ , it follows from squeeze theorem that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (8^n + n \times 4^n)^{\frac{1}{3n}} = 2$ .

In conclusion,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n^2 8^n + n^3 4^n)^{\frac{1}{3n}} = 1 \times 2 = 2$ .

- (ii) We have

$$\frac{(n+1)^{2n^2} (n-1)^{2n^2}}{(n^2+1)^{2n^2}} = \frac{(n^2-1)^{2n^2}}{(n^2+1)^{2n^2}} = \left( \frac{n^2-1}{n^2+1} \right)^{2n^2} = \left( 1 - \frac{2}{n^2+1} \right)^{2n^2} = \frac{\left( 1 - \frac{2}{n^2+1} \right)^{2n^2+2}}{\left( 1 - \frac{2}{n^2+1} \right)^2}.$$

Since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( 1 - \frac{2}{n^2+1} \right)^{2n^2+2} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \left( 1 - \frac{2}{n^2+1} \right)^{n^2+1} \right)^2 = \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( 1 - \frac{2}{n^2+1} \right)^{n^2+1} \right)^2 = e^{-4}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( 1 - \frac{2}{n^2+1} \right)^2 = 1,$$

it is now easy to see that the required limit is  $e^{-4}$ .

(iii) We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^n}{\sqrt{9^n + 6^{n+2}} - \sqrt{9^n - n}} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^n (\sqrt{9^n + 6^{n+2}} + \sqrt{9^n - n})}{9^n + 6^{n+2} - (9^n - n)} \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^n (\sqrt{9^n + 6^{n+2}} + \sqrt{9^n - n})}{6^{n+2} + n} \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sqrt{1 + \frac{6^{n+2}}{9^n}} + \sqrt{1 - \frac{n}{9^n}}}{36 + \frac{n}{6^n}} \\
 &= \frac{\sqrt{1+0} + \sqrt{1-0}}{36+0} \\
 &= \frac{1}{18}.
 \end{aligned}$$

(b) The function is only continuous at  $x = 3$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Take  $\delta = \min \left\{ 1, \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \right\}$  so that  $0 < |x - 3| < \delta \implies |f(x) - 4| < \varepsilon$ . Indeed, we have

$$|f(x) - 4| \leq \sup \left\{ \frac{4}{|x-1|} |x-3|, |x-3| \right\} < 4|x-3| < 4 \times \frac{\varepsilon}{4} = \varepsilon.$$

Thus, the function is continuous at  $x = 3$ .

For  $x \neq 3$ , consider two cases. If  $x$  is rational, then  $f(x) = \frac{8}{x-1}$ . Consider a sequence of irrational numbers  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  that converges to  $x$ . Then,  $f(x_k) = x_k + 1$  for each positive integer  $k$ .

Since  $x \neq 3$ , the limit  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (x_k + 1) = x + 1$  does not equal to  $f(x) = \frac{8}{x-1}$ . Thus, the function is not continuous at rational values other than 3. The case for  $x$  is irrational can be handled similarly.

## Question 2

(a) (i) We have

$$0 < \sqrt{4^n + n^2 + 1} - 2^n = \frac{4^n + n^2 + 1 - 4^n}{\sqrt{4^n + n^2 + 1} + 2^n} = \frac{n^2 + 1}{\sqrt{4^n + n^2 + 1} + 2^n} < \frac{n^2 + 1}{2^{n+1}}.$$

Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{\frac{n^2 + 1}{2^{n+1}}} = \frac{1}{2}$ , the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^2 + 1}{2^{n+1}}$  converges by root test. Hence, the original series converges by comparison test.

(ii) Using ratio test, we see that

$$L := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{\frac{(2(n+1))!}{(n+1)!(n+1)^{n+1}}}{\frac{(2n)!}{n!n^n}} \right| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2 \times \frac{2n+1}{n+1} \times \frac{n^n}{(n+1)^n}.$$

Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2n+1}{n+1} = 2$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^n}{(n+1)^n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n+1}\right)^n = \frac{1}{e}$ , we have  $L = \frac{4}{e} > 1$ . Thus, the series diverges.

(b) We note that the series is an alternating series since  $n^2 - n - 3$  is increasing for  $n \geq 3$  and  $\sin\left(n\pi + \frac{\pi}{2}\right) = 1$  for even  $n$  and  $\sin\left(n\pi + \frac{\pi}{2}\right) = -1$  for odd  $n$ . Hence, the series converges by alternating series test.

However, since for  $n > 3$ , we have  $n^2 - n - 3 < n^2 \implies \frac{1}{\sqrt{n^2 - n - 3}} > \frac{1}{n}$ , the series does not converge absolutely by comparison to p-series. Hence, the series converge conditionally.

### Question 3

- (a) The sequence converges. We first show that  $x_n > 5$  by induction. The case for  $n = 1$  is clear. Suppose  $x_k > 5$  for some positive integer  $k$ . We want show that  $x_{k+1} > 5$ . We have

$$x_k > 5 \implies \frac{3}{x_k} < \frac{3}{5} \implies \frac{3}{x_k} + 1 < \frac{8}{5} \implies \frac{8}{\frac{3}{x_k} + 1} = x_{k+1} > 5,$$

which completes the induction step. We now want to show that the sequence converges to 5. We have

$$|x_{n+1} - 5| = \left| \frac{8x_n}{x_n + 3} - 5 \right| = \frac{3}{3 + x_n} |x_n - 5| < \frac{3}{8} |x_n - 5|.$$

Thus, the sequence contracts and converges to 5.

- (b) Yes, such a  $c$  always exists. Suppose such a  $c$  does not exist.

Claim:  $(f(2c))^2 > f(c) \cdot f(4c) \forall c \in [1, 2] \vee (f(2c))^2 < f(c) \cdot f(4c) \forall c \in [1, 2]$ .

Proof: Assume that  $\exists c_1 \in [1, 2]$  such that  $(f(2c_1))^2 > f(c_1) \cdot f(4c_1)$  and  $\exists c_2 \in [1, 2]$  such that  $(f(2c_2))^2 < f(c_2) \cdot f(4c_2)$ .

Then the continuous function  $g(x) = (f(2x))^2 - f(x) \cdot f(4x)$  will have the property:

$$g(c_1) > 0 \wedge g(c_2) < 0.$$

By the intermediate value theorem,  $\exists c' \in (\inf\{c_1, c_2\}, \sup\{c_1, c_2\})$  such that  $g(c') = 0$ . Then  $(f(2c'))^2 = f(c') \cdot f(4c')$  which is a contradiction.

Without loss of generality, suppose that  $(f(2c))^2 > f(c) \cdot f(4c) \forall c \in [1, 2]$ . Then, we have

$$(f(2))^2 > f(1) \cdot f(4) \text{ and } (f(4))^2 > f(2) \cdot f(8).$$

Multiplying both inequalities yield

$$(f(2)f(4))^2 > f(1)f(2)f(4)f(8) \implies f(2)f(4) > f(1)f(8)$$

which is a contradiction. The proof is similar for the latter case.

### Question 4

- (a) The statement is true. Suppose  $X := \{x_{g(n)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  and  $Y := \{y_{g(n)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  are two subsequences so that  $z = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_{g(n)}}{y_{g(n)}}$ .

Since  $X$  and  $Y$  are both bounded sequences, by Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem, there exists subsequences  $\{x_{f(n)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  and  $\{y_{h(n)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of  $X$  and  $Y$  respectively so that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{f(n)} = x$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{h(n)} = y$ . In particular, there is no subsequence of  $Y$  that converges to 0. Suppose there is one such sequence  $\{y_{k(n)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ . Then, for a given  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ , there exists a positive integer  $N$  so that  $|y_{k(n)}| < \varepsilon_1$  for all  $n \geq N$ . Hence,  $\left| \frac{x_{k(n)}}{y_{k(n)}} \right| > \frac{1}{\varepsilon_1}$ , which

contradicts the fact that  $\left| \frac{x_{k(n)}}{y_{k(n)}} \right|$  is bounded. Thus  $y \neq 0$  so  $\frac{x}{y}$  is well-defined. Now, it is easy to check that  $\frac{x}{y} = z$ .

- (b) The statement is true. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Since  $g$  is continuous on  $[0, 1]$ , it is uniformly continuous. Thus there exists  $\delta_1 > 0$  so that  $|x - y| < \delta_1 \implies |g(x) - g(y)| < \varepsilon$ . On the other hand, by uniform continuity of  $f$ , there exists  $\delta_2 > 0$  so that  $|x - y| < \delta_2 \implies |f(x) - f(y)| < \delta_1$ .

Consequently,  $|x - y| < \delta_2 \implies |f(x) - f(y)| < \delta_1 \implies |h(x) - h(y)| = |g \circ f(x) - g \circ f(y)| < \varepsilon$ .

## Question 5

- (a) (i) The limit is  $12 \cos 5$ . Clearly,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 5} \cos x = \cos 5.$$

Now, we examine the limit  $L := \lim_{x \rightarrow 5} \left( \lfloor 2x \rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{75}{x^2} \right\rfloor \right)$ . Observe that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 5^-} \left( \lfloor 2x \rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{75}{x^2} \right\rfloor \right) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 5^-} \lfloor 2x \rfloor + \lim_{x \rightarrow 5^-} \left\lfloor \frac{75}{x^2} \right\rfloor = 9 + 3 = 12$$

and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 5^+} \left( \lfloor 2x \rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{75}{x^2} \right\rfloor \right) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 5^+} \lfloor 2x \rfloor + \lim_{x \rightarrow 5^+} \left\lfloor \frac{75}{x^2} \right\rfloor = 10 + 2 = 12.$$

Hence,  $L = 12$ . Thus, the final limit is  $12 \cos 5$ .

- (ii) We show that the limit  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 3} \sin \left( \frac{x}{3-x} \right)$  does not exist. Let  $L$  be the limit and let  $\varepsilon = 1$ . Suppose  $L \geq 0$ .

Then, for each positive integer  $n$ , we see that if  $x = \frac{\frac{9\pi}{2} + 6n\pi}{1 + \frac{3\pi}{2} + 2n\pi}$ , then  $\sin \left( \frac{x}{3-x} \right) = -1$ . In fact, for

any  $\delta > 0$ , there exists a positive integer  $N$  so that  $|x-3| = \frac{3}{1 + \frac{3\pi}{2} + 2n\pi} < \delta$  for all  $n \geq N$ . Thus, we

get  $\left| \sin \left( \frac{x}{3-x} \right) - L \right| \geq 1 = \varepsilon$ . The proof is similar for  $L < 0$ .

Suppose the limit  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 3} (x+1) \sin \left( \frac{x}{3-x} \right)$  exists. Then, we see that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 3} (x+1) \sin \left( \frac{x}{3-x} \right) \cdot \frac{1}{x+1} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 3} \sin \left( \frac{x}{3-x} \right)$  also exists since  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 3} \frac{1}{x+1}$  exists. This is a contradiction and we are done.

- (b) Yes, such a number always exists. We first prove that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = \sup \{f(x) : x \in [1, \infty)\}$ .

Let  $L := \sup \{f(x) : x \in [1, \infty)\}$ . Then, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $x_0 \geq 1$  so that  $f(x_0) > L - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . Since  $f$  is continuous, there exists  $\delta > 0$  (and less than  $x_0$ ) such that

$$0 < |x - x_0| < \delta \implies f(x_0) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < f(x) < f(x_0) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2},$$

which implies that

$$L - \varepsilon < f(x) \leq L < L + \varepsilon.$$

Note that there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $x_0 < n\delta$ . Let  $M = n(x_0 + \delta)$  and  $x > M$ . Also, let  $k = \left\lfloor \frac{x}{x_0} \right\rfloor$ .

Then, we have  $k \leq \frac{x}{x_0} < k+1$ . But since  $x > M$ , it follows that  $\frac{x}{x_0} > n + n\frac{\delta}{x_0} > n+1$  and so  $k > n$ .

Since

$$-k\delta < 0 \leq x - kx_0 < x_0 < n\delta < k\delta,$$

we get that  $|x - kx_0| < k\delta \implies \left| \frac{x}{k} - x_0 \right| < \delta$ . As such, we have

$$L + \varepsilon > L \geq f(x) = f \left( \frac{kx}{k} \right) \geq f \left( \frac{x}{k} \right) > L - \varepsilon$$

and so  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = L$ . Since  $0 \leq f(x) \leq 1$ , we conclude that  $0 \leq L \leq 1$ .

## Question 6

- (a) Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Then choose  $\delta = \min \left\{ \frac{1}{4}, 2\varepsilon \right\}$  so that  $0 < |x - 2| < \delta \implies \left| \frac{x^2 - 6}{2x - 5} - 2 \right| < \varepsilon$ . We have

$$\left| \frac{x^2 - 6}{2x - 5} - 2 \right| = \left| \frac{x^2 - 4x + 4}{2x - 5} \right| = \left| \frac{(x - 2)^2}{2x - 5} \right| = |x - 2| \left| \frac{x - 2}{2x - 5} \right| < \frac{1}{2} |x - 2| < \frac{1}{2} \times 2\varepsilon = \varepsilon.$$

The result follows.

- (b) Let  $M = f(2) - f(1) > 0$ . Split the interval  $[1, 2]$  into two equal intervals, i.e.  $[1, 1.5]$  and  $[1.5, 2]$ . Note that we either have

$$f(2) - f(1.5) \geq f(1.5) - f(1) \iff f(2) - f(1.5) \geq \frac{M}{2}$$

or

$$f(1.5) - f(1) \geq f(2) - f(1.5) \iff f(1.5) - f(1) \geq \frac{M}{2}.$$

Pick the subinterval  $I_1 := [x_1, y_1]$  so that  $f(y_1) - f(x_1) \geq \frac{M}{2}$  and  $y_1 - x_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ .

Suppose that we have picked one such interval  $I_n := [x_n, y_n]$  where  $f(y_n) - f(x_n) \geq \frac{M}{2^n}$  and  $y_n - x_n = \frac{1}{2^n}$  for some positive integer  $n$ . Split  $I_n$  into two subintervals of equal length,  $I_{n_1} := [x_{n_1}, y_{n_1}]$  and  $I_{n_2} := [x_{n_2}, y_{n_2}]$ . Set  $I_{n+1}$  to be the interval  $I_{n_k}$  that satisfy the inequality  $f(y_{n_k}) - f(x_{n_k}) \geq \frac{M}{2^{n+1}}$ .

Since  $[1, 2] \supset I_1 \supset I_2 \supset \dots \supset I_n \supset \dots$  are closed intervals whose length tends to 0, by Nested Interval Theorem, there exists a value  $a$  so that  $a \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$ .

Now, for each  $t \in [0, 1]$ , choose the smallest positive integer  $n$  so that  $I_n \subseteq (a - t, a + t)$ . Then, we must have  $I_{n-1} \not\subseteq (a - t, a + t)$ . Observe that at least one of  $a - t$  or  $a + t$  is in  $I_{n-1}$ . If  $a - t \in I_{n-1}$ , we have

$$a - (a - t) = t \leq y_{n-1} - x_{n-1} = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}},$$

and if  $a + t \in I_{n-1}$ , we have

$$(a + t) - a = t \leq y_{n-1} - x_{n-1} = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}.$$

Thus, in either case we have  $t \leq \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}$ .

Finally, since  $f$  is increasing, we get

$$f(a + t) - f(a - t) \geq f(y_n) - f(x_n) \geq \frac{M}{2^n} = \frac{M}{2} \times \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \geq \frac{M}{2} t.$$

Pick  $c = \frac{M}{2}$  to complete the proof.