

NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SINGAPORE  
MATHEMATICS SOCIETY

PAST YEAR PAPER SOLUTIONS  
with credits to Chang Hai Bin

**MA2101S Linear Algebra II (S)**  
AY10/11 Sem 1

**Question 1**

- (a) Since  $\alpha$  satisfy the polynomial  $x^2 - x = x(x - 1)$ ,  $\gcd(x, x - 1) = 1$ ,  
 $V = \text{Im}(\alpha) \oplus \text{Im}(\alpha - \text{Id}_V) = \text{Im}(\alpha) \oplus \ker(\alpha)$

Note:

This is based on the proposition:

if  $\alpha$  is a linear operator on vector space  $V$ , and  $\alpha$  satisfies  $p(x)q(x)$  for some monic  $p(x), q(x) \in F[x]$ , and  $\gcd(p(x), q(x)) = 1$ , then:

- (i)  $\ker(p(\alpha)) = \text{Im}(q(\alpha))$ ,  $\ker(q(\alpha)) = \text{Im}(p(\alpha))$ ,
- (ii)  $p(\alpha)|_{\text{Im}(p(\alpha))}$  and  $q(\alpha)|_{\text{Im}(q(\alpha))}$  are bijective linear operators on their respective domain.
- (iii) hence, we can show that  $V = \text{Im}(p(\alpha)) \oplus \text{Im}(q(\alpha))$ .

- (b) Let  $W = \text{Im}(\alpha)$ ,  $\dim(W) = n$ ,  $\dim(L(W, W)) = n^2$  (the vector space of operators from  $W$  to  $W$ )  
So  $\{\text{Id}_W, \alpha, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^{(n^2)}\}$  is linearly independent,  $p(\alpha) = \lambda_0 \text{Id}_W + \dots + (\lambda_{n^2})\alpha^{(n^2)} = 0_{L(W, W)}$  for some  $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_{n^2} \in F$ .

So,  $q(\alpha) = \alpha p(\alpha) = \alpha (\lambda_0 \text{Id}_W + \dots + (\lambda_{n^2})\alpha^{(n^2)}) = 0_{L(W, W)}$

Since  $\alpha$  satisfy some non-trivial  $q(x) \in F[x]$ , the minimal polynomial exists.

$\text{Im}(\alpha^3) = \text{Im}(\alpha|_{\text{Im}(\alpha^2)}) = (\alpha|_{\text{Im}(\alpha)}) = \text{Im}(\alpha^2)$ ,

we can use induction to show that  $\text{Im}(\alpha^i) = \text{Im}(\alpha) \forall i \in \mathbb{N}$

Assume for a contradiction that  $m_\alpha(x)$  is divisible by  $x^2$ ,

so  $\exists w, v \in V, k \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha(v) = w \neq 0$ , but  $\alpha^k(w) = 0$

But this means that if  $\{w, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}\}$  is a basis for  $\text{Im}(\alpha)$ ,

$\text{Im}(\alpha^{k+1}) = \text{span}\{\alpha^k(w), \alpha(a_1), \dots, \alpha(a_{n-1})\} = \text{span}\{\alpha(a_1), \dots, \alpha(a_{n-1})\}$

and so  $\dim[\text{Im}(\alpha^{k+1})] < \dim[\text{Im}(\alpha)]$ , a contradiction.

So,  $m_\alpha(x)$  is not divisible by  $x^2$

Using the Notes for part (a), as shown above, if  $m_\alpha(x) = xp(x)$  for some monic  $p(x) \in F[x]$ , and  $\gcd(x, p(x)) = 1$ ,

So  $V = \text{Im}(\alpha) \oplus \text{Im}(p(\alpha)) = \text{Im}(\alpha) \oplus \ker(\alpha)$  (Since  $\text{Im}(p(\alpha)) = \ker(\alpha)$ , according to the notes above)

- (c) It does not hold. Counterexample: Let  $V = \{\text{infinite sequences in } \mathbb{C}\}$ ,  
define  $\alpha : V \rightarrow V, \alpha[(a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots)] = (a_2, a_3, a_4, \dots)$  (the left shift operator)  
So  $\text{Im}(\alpha) = V$  (since  $\forall v_1 = (b_1, b_2, \dots) \in V, \exists v_2 = (0, b_1, b_2, \dots) \in V$ , such that  $\alpha(v_2) = v_1$ )  
But  $\ker(\alpha) = \text{span}\{(1, 0, 0, \dots)\}$ .  
So,  $\ker(\alpha) \cap \text{Im}(\alpha) \neq \{0\}$

**Question 2**

- (a) Choose the ordered basis  $\mathcal{B} = \{b_{11}, b_{21}, \dots, b_{n1}, b_{12}, b_{22}, \dots, b_{n2}, \dots, b_{1n}, \dots, b_{nn}\}$   
 where  $b_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{the } i\text{-th row, } j\text{-th column entry;} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Let  $c_i$  is the  $i$ -th column of  $A$ .

One can easily show that  $\phi(b_{ji}) = c_j$  for all  $i, j$ .

$$\text{and } [\phi]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} A & & & \\ & A & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & A \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{So } \det(\phi) = \det([\phi]_{\mathcal{B}}) = [\det(A)]^n$$

- (b) Define  $\alpha : \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_n$ ,  $\alpha(W) = \overline{B}^T W$

$$\text{So } \det(\alpha) = [\det(\overline{B}^T)]^n \text{ (by result from (a))}$$

$$\text{Define } \beta : \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_n, \beta(W) = WB$$

Similar to part (a), we can show that  $\det(\beta) = [\det(B)]^n$ .

Alternatively, since  $\beta = \tau \circ \alpha \circ \tau$  (where  $\tau$  is the transpose function)

$$\det(\beta) = \det(\tau) \det(\alpha) \det(\tau) = \det(\tau \circ \tau) \det(\alpha) = \det(\text{Id}) \det(\alpha) = 1 \cdot \det(\alpha) = \det(\alpha)$$

$$\text{So } \Phi = \alpha \circ \beta$$

$$\det(\Phi) = \det(\alpha \circ \beta) = \det(\alpha) \det(\beta) = [\det(\overline{B}^T)]^n [\det(B)]^n$$

$$= [\det(\overline{B}^T) \det(B)]^n = [\det(\overline{B}) \det(B)]^n$$

$$= [\overline{\det(B)} \det(B)]^n = |\det(B)|^{2n}$$

### Question 3

Let  $\alpha$  represents any particular linear operator on a finite-dimensional vector space  $V$ . (eg. if  $V = F^n$ , then  $\alpha(v) = Av$  for any  $n$ -dimensional column vector  $v$ )

One can easily show that, for the minimal polynomial and characteristic polynomial of  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha^*$ ,  $\chi_{\alpha}(x) = \chi_{\alpha^*}(x)$  and  $m_{\alpha}(x) = m_{\alpha^*}(x)$

Next we need the lemma (proven later):

If  $\alpha$  is a linear operator on  $W$  (finite dimensional), and if  $m_{\alpha}(x) = (f(x))^k$  for some monic irreducible  $f(x) \in F[x]$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $W$  is  $\alpha$ -cyclic, then  $W^*$  is  $\alpha^*$ -cyclic.

Then, since (according to assumption in the question)  $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k U_i$ , where each of the  $U_i$  is  $\alpha$ -cyclic, and the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha|_{U_i}$  is  $(f_i(x))^{k_i}$ ,  $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f_i(x)$  irreducible,

There exist  $\alpha$ -cyclic basis  $B_i = \{v_i, \alpha(v_i), \dots\}$  for  $U_i$ ,  $\alpha^*$ -cyclic basis  $B_i^* = \{g_i, \alpha^*(g_i), \dots\}$  for  $U_i^*$ ,  
 $m_{v_i}(x) = m_{\alpha|_{U_i}} = m_{\alpha^*|_{U_i^*}} = m_{g_i}(x)$

$$\text{So } [\alpha|_{U_i}]_{B_i} = C(m_{v_i}(x)) = C(m_{g_i}(x)) = [\alpha^*|_{U_i^*}]_{B_i^*}$$

$$\text{Where } C(f(x)) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 & -a_0 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & -a_1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -a_2 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 & -a_{n-2} \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 1 & -a_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{If } f(x) = x^n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i x^i$$

Let  $B_1 = \{v_1, \alpha(v_1), \dots\}$ ,  $B_2 = \{v_2, \alpha(v_2), \dots\}$  be the  $\alpha$ -cyclic basis for each of the  $U_i$ s.

Let  $B = B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \dots \cup B_k$

Let  $B_1^* = \{g_1, \alpha^*(g_1), \dots\}$ ,  $B_2^* = \{g_2, \alpha^*(g_2), \dots\}$  be the  $\alpha$ -cyclic basis for each of the  $U_i$ s.  
 Let  $B^* = B_1^* \cup B_2^* \cup \dots \cup B_k^*$   
 then

$$[\alpha]_B = \begin{bmatrix} C(m_{v_1}(x)) & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & C(m_{v_n}(x)) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} C(m_{g_1}(x)) & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & C(m_{g_n}(x)) \end{bmatrix} = [\alpha^*]_{B^*}$$

So, if  $\alpha(x) = Ax$ , then for the standard basis  $S$ ,  $[\alpha]_S = A$ ,  $[\alpha^*]_{S^*} = A^T$ ,  
 but  $\alpha, \alpha^*$  are represented by the matrix above.  
 So,  $A, A^T$  are both similar to that matrix,  
 So,  $A$  is similar to  $A^T$ .

Lemma 1:

If  $\alpha$  is a linear operator on  $W$  (finite dimensional), and if  $m_\alpha(x) = (f(x))^k$  for some monic irreducible  $f(x) \in F[x]$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $W$  is  $\alpha$ -cyclic, then  $W^*$  is  $\alpha^*$ -cyclic.

Proof: If  $W$  is finite dimensional, then  $\dim(W^*) = \dim(W)$

Since  $m_{\alpha^*}(x) = m_\alpha(x) = (f(x))^k$ ,  
 $\exists g \in W^*, g \in \ker(f(x))^k \setminus \ker(f(x))^{k-1}$

Let  $Z = \langle g \rangle_{\alpha^*}$

Using Lemma 2 (provided below),  $m_g(x) = m_{\alpha^*|_Z}(x)$

Note that  $m_{\alpha^*|_Z}(x) | m_{\alpha^*}(x) = f(x)^k$ , and  $f(x)$  is irreducible,  
 So  $m_{\alpha^*|_Z}(x) = f(x)^i$  for some  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $i \leq k$ .

But  $\alpha^*|_Z$  does not satisfy  $(f(x))^{k-1}$  (consider  $g \in Z \setminus \ker(f(\alpha^*))^{k-1}$ )

So  $m_{\alpha^*|_Z}(x) = f(x)^k$

$\dim(\langle g \rangle_{\alpha^*}) = \deg(m_g(x)) = \deg(f(x)^k) = \deg(\chi_{\alpha^*}(x)) = \dim(W^*)$

So  $\langle g \rangle_{\alpha^*} = W^*$ ,  $W^*$  is  $\alpha$ -cyclic.

Lemma 2:

Let  $\alpha : V \rightarrow V$ , let  $v \in V \setminus \{0\}$ , and  $\dim(\langle v \rangle_\alpha) = n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

Then

(i)  $\deg(m_v(x)) = n$

(ii)  $\chi_{\alpha|_{\langle v \rangle_\alpha}}(x) = m_{\alpha|_{\langle v \rangle_\alpha}}(x) = m_v(x)$

#### Question 4

- (a) Not true. Counter-example:  $A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , the principle minors of  $A$  are all negative (both are  $-1$ ), but consider  $x = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  
 then  $\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x} = 1 > 0$

Note: For arbitrary field  $F$ , let  $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_n(F)$ ,

then the principal minors of  $A$  are  $\det(A_1), \dots, \det(A_n)$ , where  $A_r = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1r} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2r} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{r1} & a_{r2} & \cdots & a_{rr} \end{bmatrix}$

- (b) Not true. Counter-example:  $V = \{\text{infinitesequenceswithfinitenumberofnon-zeroelements}\}$

Let  $B_v = \{e_1, e_2, \dots\}$  be the "standard basis" for  $V$ .

Let  $U = \text{span}\{e_2\}$

Define  $\phi(e_i, e_j) = \begin{cases} 1, & i=1 \text{ or } j=1; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

and define  $\phi(u, v)$  according to their bilinear sum with respect to the basis  $B$ .

So,  $\phi$  can be "represented" with the infinite matrix:  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}$

$U^\perp = \text{span}\{e_2, e_3, \dots\}$  (Since  $\phi(e_i, e_2) = 0 \forall i \in \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}$ , and  $\phi(e_1, e_2) \neq 0$ )

and  $(U^\perp)^\perp = \text{span}\{e_2, e_3, \dots\}$  (Since  $\phi(e_i, e_j) = 0 \forall i, j \in \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}$ , and  $\phi(e_1, e_j) \neq 0$  for any particular  $j$ )

So, in this case,  $U \neq (U^\perp)^\perp$ .

- (c) Not true. Counter-example:  $[\phi]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\mathcal{B} = \{\text{standard basis } \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}\}$

Let  $U = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} r \\ r \end{pmatrix} : r \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$  with the basis  $B_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ .

Then  $[\phi|_{U \times U}]_{B_1} = \left[ \phi \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \right] = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = [0]$ , which is degenerate.

- (d) True. We will try to prove a more general statement:

If  $\phi$  is a bilinear form on  $V$ ,  $U$  is a vector subspace of  $V$ ,  $U$  is finite dimensional,  $\phi, \phi|_{U \times U}$  are both non-degenerate, then  $\phi|_{U^\perp, L \times U^\perp, L}, \phi|_{U^\perp, R \times U^\perp, R}$  are both non-degenerate.

We will only prove for the case  $\phi|_{U^\perp, L \times U^\perp, L}$ .

In particular, if  $\phi$  is symmetric bilinear form, then  $U^{\perp, L} = U^{\perp, R} = U^\perp$

Proof: We need the following proposition:

if  $\phi$  is a bilinear form on  $V$ ,  $U \subseteq V$ ,  $U$  finite dimensional, then the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $\phi|_{U \times U}$  is non-degenerate
- (ii)  $U \oplus U^{\perp, L} = V$
- (iii)  $U \cap U^{\perp, L} = \{0\}$
- (iv)  $U \oplus U^{\perp, R} = V$
- (v)  $U \cap U^{\perp, R} = \{0\}$

Fix any  $x \in U^{\perp, L} \setminus \{0\}$ , then  $\phi(x, u) = 0 \forall u \in U$ .

Since  $\phi$  is non-degenerate,  $\exists y \in V, \phi(x, y) \neq 0$ ,

Using the proposition above, let  $y = y_u + y'$ ,  $y_u \in U, y' \in U^{\perp, L}$

Then  $0 \neq \phi(x, y) = \phi(x, y_u) + \phi(x, y') = 0 + \phi(x, y') = \phi(x, y')$

So,  $\forall x \in U^{\perp, L}, \exists y' \in U^{\perp, L}$ , such that  $\phi(x, y') \neq 0$

Now, to show that  $\exists w \in U^{\perp,L}$ , such that  $\phi(w, x) \neq 0$ .

(Note: In the special case that  $\phi$  is symmetric, then this part is trivial by letting  $w = y'$ . We are proving for the general case, that is,  $\phi$  may not be symmetric)

Assume (for a contradiction) that  $\forall w \in U^{\perp,L}, \phi(w, x) = 0$

Let  $\mathcal{B}_u = \{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$  be a basis for  $U$ ,

Lemma:  $\exists! u \in U, \phi(u_i, u) = \phi(u_i, x) \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

Proof: Since  $[\phi|_{U \times U}]_{\mathcal{B}_u} = \begin{bmatrix} \phi(u_1, u_1) & \phi(u_1, u_2) & \cdots & \phi(u_1, u_n) \\ \phi(u_2, u_1) & \phi(u_2, u_2) & \cdots & \phi(u_2, u_n) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \phi(u_n, u_1) & \phi(u_n, u_2) & \cdots & \phi(u_n, u_n) \end{bmatrix}$  is invertible,  $(\phi|_{U \times U})$  is non-degenerate)

$$\text{Let } \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} = [\phi|_{U \times U}]_{\mathcal{B}_u}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \phi(u_1, x) \\ \vdots \\ \phi(u_n, x) \end{bmatrix}$$

And let  $u = \lambda_1 u_1 + \dots + \lambda_n u_n$ ,

$$\text{Then } \begin{bmatrix} \phi(u_1, x) \\ \vdots \\ \phi(u_n, x) \end{bmatrix} = [\phi|_{U \times U}]_{\mathcal{B}_u} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_j \phi(u_1, u_j) \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_j \phi(u_n, u_j) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \phi(u_1, u) \\ \vdots \\ \phi(u_n, u) \end{bmatrix}$$

if  $\exists v \in U, \phi(u_i, v) = \phi(u_i, x) \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , and  $v = \mu_1 u_1 + \dots + \mu_n u_n$ , then

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} = [\phi|_{U \times U}]_{\mathcal{B}_u}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \phi(u_1, x) \\ \vdots \\ \phi(u_n, x) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mu_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Let  $x = x' - u$ , then  $\forall w \in U^{\perp,L}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(w, x') &= \phi(w, x) - \phi(w, u) \\ &= \phi(w, x) - 0 \quad \text{Since } w \in U^{\perp,L} \\ &= 0 \quad \text{assumption (for contradiction)} \end{aligned}$$

So,  $\forall v \in V$ , let  $v = v_u + v', v \in U, v' \in U^{\perp,L}$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(v, x') &= \phi(v_u, x) - \phi(v', u) \\ &= \phi(v_u, x) - 0 \quad \text{as shown above} \\ &= 0 \quad (\text{Since } \phi(u_i, x') = 0 \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}) \end{aligned}$$

$x' \in V^{\perp,R} = \{\mathbf{0}\}$  (Since  $\phi$  is non-degenerate)

$x = u$ . Since  $x \in U^{\perp,L}, x = u \in U$

$x \in U^{\perp,L} \cap U = \{\mathbf{0}\}$  (Using the Proposition above)

This is a contradiction, since we assumed  $x \neq \mathbf{0}$ .

So,  $\forall x \in U^{\perp,L} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}, \exists y', w \in U^{\perp,L}$ , such that  $\phi(w, x), \phi(x, y') \neq 0$ .

Then  $\phi|_{U^{\perp,L} \times U^{\perp,L}}$  is non-degenerate.

Similarly, we can prove that  $\phi|_{U^{\perp,R} \times U^{\perp,R}}$  is non-degenerate.