

# MA2101S - Linear Algebra II(S) Suggested Solutions

(Semester 2 : AY2019/2020)

Written by : Pan Jing Bin  
Audited by : Chong Jing Quan

## Question 1

(i) Claim :  $\forall a \cdot 1 \in \mathbb{F}_p, (a \cdot 1)^p = a \cdot 1$ .

Proof : If  $a = 0$ , then trivially  $(a \cdot 1)^p = (0 \cdot 1)^p = 0 \cdot 1 = a \cdot 1$ . Thus we only consider the case where  $a \neq 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\text{For } a \neq 0, (a \cdot 1)^p &= \underbrace{(1 + 1 + \dots + 1)^p}_{\text{a times}} \\ &= 1^p + 1^p + \dots + 1^p - \text{By the hint in Question 1.} \\ &= 1 + 1 + \dots + 1 \\ &= a \cdot 1.\end{aligned}$$

To prove that  $F$  is an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -linear operator:

Let  $u, v \in \mathbb{F}_q$  and  $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_p$ .

$$\begin{aligned}F(xu + yv) &= (xu + yv)^p \\ &= x^p u^p + y^p v^p - \text{By the hint in Question 1.} \\ &= xu^p + yv^p - \text{By our claim.} \\ &= xF(u) + yF(v).\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $F$  is an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -linear operator.

To prove that  $F$  is an isomorphism:

Let  $w \in \ker(F)$ . Then  $F(w) = 0_V \rightarrow w^p = 0$ . Since  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is a field,  $w^p = 0 \rightarrow w = 0$ . Thus  $\ker(F) = \{0_V\}$  so  $F$  is injective.

Since  $F$  is a linear operator,  $F$  is injective  $\rightarrow F$  is surjective. (By the pigeonhole principle) Thus we conclude that  $F$  is an isomorphism.

(ii) By our claim in part(i),  $\forall a \cdot 1 \in \mathbb{F}_p, F(a \cdot 1) = a \cdot 1$ . Thus  $\mathbb{F}_p \subseteq E_1$ .

Claim:  $E_1 = \mathbb{F}_p$ .

Let  $k \in E_1$ . Then  $F(k) = k \rightarrow k^p - k = 0$  so  $k$  is a root of the  $p$ -degree polynomial  $x^p - x = 0$ . Since a  $p$ -degree polynomial have at most  $p$  roots,  $|E_1| \leq p$ . But  $|\mathbb{F}_p| = p \wedge \mathbb{F}_p \subseteq E_1$ . Thus we must have:  $E_1 = \mathbb{F}_p$ .

Our desired basis is simply:  $\{1\}$ .

## Question 2

(i) We first make 2 observations:

Observation 1:  $\forall p(x, y) \in P_1, \Delta(p(x, y)) = 0_V$ .

Observation 2:  $\forall p(x, y) \in P_n, \Delta(p(x, y)) \in P_{n-2}$ .

It is now easy to see that  $\forall p(x, y) \in P_n$ ,  $\Delta^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} + 1 \rfloor}(p(x, y)) = 0_V$ . Thus  $m_\Delta(x) \mid x^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} + 1 \rfloor}$  so  $m_\Delta(x) = x^j$  for some  $1 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} + 1 \rfloor$ .

On the other hand,  $\Delta^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}(x^n) = \begin{cases} n! & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \\ n!x & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$

In both cases,  $\Delta^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}(x^n) \neq 0_V$  so  $j > \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ . Thus we must have  $m_\Delta(x) = x^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} + 1 \rfloor}$ .

(ii) We start with the canonical basis for  $P_3$ , which is  $B = \{1, x, y, x^2, xy, y^2, x^3, x^2y, xy^2, y^3\}$ .

By (i),  $m_\Delta(x) = x^2$ . Thus we separate each vector in the basis into 2 (mutually exclusive) groups:  $\ker(\Delta)$  &  $\ker(\Delta^2) \setminus \ker(\Delta)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(1) &= 0, \quad \Delta(x) = 0 \\ \Delta(y) &= 0, \quad \Delta(x^2) = 2 \\ \Delta(xy) &= 0, \quad \Delta(y^2) = 2 \\ \Delta(x^3) &= 6x, \quad \Delta(x^2y) = 2y \\ \Delta(xy^2) &= 2x, \quad \Delta(y^3) = 6y. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously  $1, x, y, xy \in \ker(\Delta)$ .

$\Delta(x^2) = 2 \rightarrow \Delta(\frac{1}{2}x^2) = 1$ . Thus  $x^2 \in \ker(\Delta^2) \setminus \ker(\Delta)$  and the vector pair  $\{1, \frac{1}{2}x^2\}$  form the first  $J_2(0)$  Jordan block. Then note that  $\Delta(x^2 - y^2) = 0$ . Thus  $x^2 - y^2 \in \ker(\Delta)$  so we replace  $y^2$  in  $B$  with  $x^2 - y^2$ . Such a replacement will not affect the linear independence of our set so  $B$  is still a basis.

$\Delta(x^3) = 6x \rightarrow \Delta(\frac{1}{6}x^3) = x$ . Thus  $x^3 \in \ker(\Delta^2) \setminus \ker(\Delta)$  and the vector pair  $\{x, \frac{1}{6}x^3\}$  form the second  $J_2(0)$  Jordan block. Similarly,  $y^3 \in \ker(\Delta^2) \setminus \ker(\Delta)$  and the vector pair  $\{y, \frac{1}{6}y^3\}$  form the third  $J_2(0)$  Jordan block.

For the last 2 vectors,  $x^2y$  and  $xy^2$ , it is easy to see that:

$$y^3 - 3x^2y, x^3 - 3xy^2 \in \ker(\Delta).$$

Thus we replace  $x^2y$  with  $y^3 - 3x^2y$  and  $xy^2$  with  $x^3 - 3xy^2$ .

After reordering, our resultant basis  $B$  is:

$$\{1, \frac{1}{2}x^2, x, \frac{1}{6}x^3, y, \frac{1}{6}y^3, xy, x^2 - y^2, x^3 - 3xy^2, y^3 - 3x^2y\}$$

Which will result in the following standard matrix:

$$[\Delta]_{B,B} = \begin{pmatrix} J_2(0) & & & \\ & J_2(0) & & \\ & & J_2(0) & \\ & & & 0_{4 \times 4} \end{pmatrix}.$$

### Question 3

(i) Recall that:

$$P \text{ is invertible} \iff (P^T)^{-1} \text{ is invertible \& } D \text{ is diagonal} \rightarrow D = D^T.$$

$$\begin{aligned} A \text{ is diagonalizable} &\iff A = PDP^{-1} \text{ for some invertible matrix } P, \text{ diagonal matrix } D \\ &\iff A^T = (P^{-1})^T D^T P^T \\ &\iff A^T = (P^T)^{-1} D P^T \\ &\iff A^T = QDQ^{-1} \text{ where } Q = (P^T)^{-1} \\ &\iff A^T = QDQ^{-1} \text{ for some invertible matrix } Q, \text{ diagonal matrix } D \\ &\iff A^T \text{ is diagonalisable.} \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Let  $Au = \lambda u, A^T v = \mu v$  for some  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\text{ad}_A(uv^T) &= A(uv^T) - (uv^T)A \\ &= (Au)v^T - u(v^T A) \\ &= \lambda uv^T - u(A^T v)^T \\ &= \lambda uv^T - u(\mu v)^T \\ &= \lambda uv^T - \mu uv^T \\ &= (\lambda - \mu)uv^T.\end{aligned}$$

(iii)  $A$  is diagonalizable  $\rightarrow A^T$  is diagonalizable.

$\exists$  basis of eigenvectors  $B_1 = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$  for  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with respect to  $A$ .

Similarly,  $\exists$  a basis of eigenvectors  $B_2 = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  for  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with respect to  $A^T$ .

Claim: The set  $B = \{u_i v_j^T \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$  is a basis for  $M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$ .

Proof: Since  $|B| = n^2 = \dim(M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C}))$  and  $B \subseteq M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$ , it suffice to prove that  $B$  is a linearly independent set.

Consider the homogeneous equation:

$$\begin{aligned}a_{1,1}(u_1 v_1^T) + a_{1,2}(u_1 v_2^T) + \dots + a_{1,n}(u_1 v_n^T) + \\ a_{2,1}(u_2 v_1^T) + a_{2,2}(u_2 v_2^T) + \dots + a_{2,n}(u_2 v_n^T) + \\ \vdots \\ a_{n,1}(u_n v_1^T) + a_{n,2}(u_n v_2^T) + \dots + a_{n,n}(u_n v_n^T) = 0_{n \times n}.\end{aligned}$$

Collecting the terms:

$$u_1(\sum_{i=1}^n a_{1,i} v_i^T) + u_2(\sum_{i=1}^n a_{2,i} v_i^T) + \dots + u_n(\sum_{i=1}^n a_{n,i} v_i^T) = 0_{n \times n}$$

Let  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_{k,i} v_i^T = (e_{k,1} \ e_{k,2} \ \dots \ e_{k,n})$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq k \leq n$ .

Rewriting the homogeneous equation:

$$\begin{aligned}u_1(e_{1,1} \ \dots \ e_{1,n}) + u_2(e_{2,1} \ \dots \ e_{2,n}) + \dots + u_n(e_{n,1} \ \dots \ e_{n,n}) &= 0_{n \times n}. \\ (e_{1,1}u_1 \ \dots \ e_{1,n}u_1) + (e_{2,1}u_2 \ \dots \ e_{2,n}u_2) + \dots + (e_{n,1}u_n \ \dots \ e_{n,n}u_n) &= 0_{n \times n}. \\ (\sum_{i=1}^n e_{i,1}u_i \ \sum_{i=1}^n e_{i,2}u_i \ \dots \ \sum_{i=1}^n e_{i,n}u_i) &= 0_{n \times n}.\end{aligned}$$

By linear independence of  $B_1 = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$ , each  $e_{i,j} = 0$ .

Thus we reduce the homogeneous equation

$$u_1(\sum_{i=1}^n a_{1,i} v_i^T) + u_2(\sum_{i=1}^n a_{2,i} v_i^T) + \dots + u_n(\sum_{i=1}^n a_{n,i} v_i^T) = 0_{n \times n}$$

to :

$$(\sum_{i=1}^n a_{1,i} v_i^T) = (\sum_{i=1}^n a_{2,i} v_i^T) = \dots = (\sum_{i=1}^n a_{n,i} v_i^T) = 0_V.$$

By linear independence of  $B_2 = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ , we conclude that:

$$\forall 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n, a_{i,j} = 0.$$

Since only the trivial solution exists to the homogeneous equation, the set  $B$  is linearly independent.

By (ii), each vector in  $B$  is also an eigenvector of  $\text{ad}_A$ . Thus  $B$  is a basis of eigenvectors for  $M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$  with respect to  $\text{ad}_A$  so it is diagonalisable.

## Question 4

Let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & \dots & u_n \end{pmatrix}$  for column vectors  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n$ .  
Since  $\det(A) \neq 0$ ,  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n$  form a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

First apply the Gram-Schmidt process on the vectors  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n$  to obtain an orthogonal basis  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &= u_1, \\ v_2 &= u_2 - \frac{\langle u_2, u_1 \rangle}{\langle u_1, u_1 \rangle} v_1, \\ v_3 &= u_3 - \frac{\langle u_3, u_1 \rangle}{\langle u_1, u_1 \rangle} v_1 - \frac{\langle u_3, u_2 \rangle}{\langle u_2, u_2 \rangle} v_2, \\ &\vdots \\ v_n &= u_n - \frac{\langle u_n, u_1 \rangle}{\langle u_1, u_1 \rangle} v_1 - \dots - \frac{\langle u_n, u_{n-1} \rangle}{\langle u_{n-1}, u_{n-1} \rangle} v_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Construct matrix  $P'$  as follows:

$$P' = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{v_1}{\|v_1\|} & \frac{v_2}{\|v_2\|} & \dots & \frac{v_n}{\|v_n\|} \end{pmatrix}.$$

$\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  is an orthogonal basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$  so  $\{\frac{v_1}{\|v_1\|}, \frac{v_2}{\|v_2\|}, \dots, \frac{v_n}{\|v_n\|}\}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Since the columns of  $P'$  form an orthonormal basis,  $P'$  is an orthogonal matrix. Consider 2 cases:

Case 1 :  $\det(P') = 1$ .

Then  $P'$  is a special orthogonal matrix. Choose  $P = P'$  and construct matrix  $B$  as follows:

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \|v_1\| & \frac{\langle u_2, u_1 \rangle}{\langle u_1, u_1 \rangle} \|v_1\| & \frac{\langle u_3, u_1 \rangle}{\langle u_1, u_1 \rangle} \|v_1\| & \dots & \frac{\langle u_n, u_1 \rangle}{\langle u_1, u_1 \rangle} \|v_1\| \\ 0 & \|v_2\| & \frac{\langle u_3, u_2 \rangle}{\langle u_2, u_2 \rangle} \|v_2\| & \dots & \frac{\langle u_n, u_2 \rangle}{\langle u_2, u_2 \rangle} \|v_2\| \\ 0 & 0 & \|v_3\| & \dots & \frac{\langle u_n, u_3 \rangle}{\langle u_3, u_3 \rangle} \|v_3\| \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \|v_n\| \end{pmatrix}$$

Case 2 :  $\det(P') = -1$

Then construct matrix  $P$  by:

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{v_1}{\|v_1\|} & \frac{v_2}{\|v_2\|} & \dots & \frac{v_n}{\|v_n\|} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $\det(P) = 1$  and  $\{-\frac{v_1}{\|v_1\|}, \frac{v_2}{\|v_2\|}, \dots, \frac{v_n}{\|v_n\|}\}$  is still an orthonormal basis so  $P$  is special orthogonal. Construct matrix  $B$  as follows:

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} -\|v_1\| & \frac{\langle u_2, u_1 \rangle}{\langle u_1, u_1 \rangle} \|v_1\| & \frac{\langle u_3, u_1 \rangle}{\langle u_1, u_1 \rangle} \|v_1\| & \dots & \frac{\langle u_n, u_1 \rangle}{\langle u_1, u_1 \rangle} \|v_1\| \\ 0 & \|v_2\| & \frac{\langle u_3, u_2 \rangle}{\langle u_2, u_2 \rangle} \|v_2\| & \dots & \frac{\langle u_n, u_2 \rangle}{\langle u_2, u_2 \rangle} \|v_2\| \\ 0 & 0 & \|v_3\| & \dots & \frac{\langle u_n, u_3 \rangle}{\langle u_3, u_3 \rangle} \|v_3\| \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \|v_n\| \end{pmatrix}$$

It is easy to check that in both cases,  $B$  is upper triangular,  $P$  is special orthogonal and  $A = PB$ .

## Question 5

(i)

$$\begin{aligned} A &= -A^T \rightarrow iA = -iA^T \\ &\rightarrow iA = \overline{iA^T} \text{ - Recall that } A = \overline{A} \text{ since } A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R}) \\ &\rightarrow iA = (iA)^*. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $iA$  is a Hermitian matrix. Let  $u$  be an eigenvector of  $A$  associated with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ .

$$\begin{aligned} Au &= \lambda u \rightarrow iAu = i\lambda u \\ u^*(iA)u &= u^*(iA)^*u \\ u^*(iAu) &= (iAu)^*u \\ u^*(i\lambda u) &= (i\lambda u)^*u \\ i\lambda(u^*u) &= -i\overline{\lambda}(u^*u) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $u$  is an eigenvector,  $u \neq 0_V$  so  $u^*u \neq 0$ . Thus we have:

$$\begin{aligned} i\lambda &= -i\overline{\lambda} \\ \lambda &= -\overline{\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\lambda$  is purely imaginary.

(ii) Let  $\lambda$  be the eigenvalue associated with eigenvector  $u$  and write  $\lambda = ix$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned} A(u) &= A(\operatorname{Re}(u) + i\operatorname{Im}(u)) \\ ix\operatorname{Re}(u) - x\operatorname{Im}(u) &= A(\operatorname{Re}(u)) + iA(\operatorname{Im}(u)) \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\operatorname{Re}(u), \operatorname{Im}(u) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ . Thus we can safely compare real and imaginary parts:

$$A(\operatorname{Re}(u)) = -x\operatorname{Im}(u), \quad A(\operatorname{Im}(u)) = x\operatorname{Re}(u)$$

Since  $A(\operatorname{Re}(u)), A(\operatorname{Im}(u)) \in \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\operatorname{Re}(u), \operatorname{Im}(u)\}$ ,  $\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\operatorname{Re}(u), \operatorname{Im}(u)\}$  is  $L_A$ -invariant.

(iii) It suffices to prove that  $\exists$  an orthonormal basis  $C$  for  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $[L_A]_C = D$ . We will prove via mathematical induction.

Base Case: If  $A$  is a  $1 \times 1$  matrix, then  $A = -A^T \rightarrow A = 0_{1 \times 1}$ . Then choose  $C$  to be any orthonormal basis and  $[L_A]_C = 0_{1 \times 1} = J$ .

Induction Step: Consider  $A$  as a matrix in  $M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$ , for  $n \geq 2$ . Consider 2 cases:

Case 1:  $A = 0_{n \times n}$ .

Similarly, choose  $C$  to be any orthonormal basis and  $[L_A]_C = J$ .

Case 2:  $A \neq 0_{n \times n}$ .

$A = -A^T \rightarrow A = -A^*$  so obviously  $A$  is normal. Thus  $A$  is unitarily diagonalisable. Since  $A$  is diagonalisable and  $A \neq 0_{n \times n}$ ,  $A$  has a non-zero eigenvalue,  $\lambda$ .

Let  $u$  be an eigenvector associated with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{Au} &= \overline{\lambda u} \rightarrow \overline{A} \overline{u} = \overline{\lambda} \overline{u} \\ &\rightarrow A \overline{u} = \overline{\lambda} \overline{u}\end{aligned}$$

so  $\overline{u}$  is also an eigenvector of  $A$  associated with eigenvalue  $\overline{\lambda}$ . By (i),  $\lambda = -\overline{\lambda}$ . Since  $\lambda \neq 0, \lambda \neq \overline{\lambda}$ . In other words,  $u$  and  $\overline{u}$  are eigenvectors associated with different eigenvalues so  $u \neq \overline{u}$ .

Claim 1:  $C_1 = \left\{ \frac{\operatorname{Re}(u)}{\|\operatorname{Re}(u)\|}, \frac{\operatorname{Im}(u)}{\|\operatorname{Im}(u)\|} \right\}$  is an orthonormal set.

Proof: It suffices to prove the following 2 statements:

$$\|\operatorname{Re}(u)\| = \|\operatorname{Im}(u)\|. \quad (1)$$

$$\langle \operatorname{Im}(u), \operatorname{Re}(u) \rangle = 0. \quad (2)$$

Recall that since  $A$  is unitarily diagonalisable, and  $u$  and  $\overline{u}$  are eigenvectors associated with different eigenvalues,  $u$  and  $\overline{u}$  are orthogonal vectors so  $\langle u, \overline{u} \rangle = 0$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \operatorname{Re}(u) + i\operatorname{Im}(u), \operatorname{Re}(u) - i\operatorname{Im}(u) \rangle &= 0. \\ \langle \operatorname{Re}(u), \operatorname{Re}(u) \rangle - \langle \operatorname{Im}(u), \operatorname{Im}(u) \rangle + 2i\langle \operatorname{Im}(u), \operatorname{Re}(u) \rangle &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Comparing real parts:  $\langle \operatorname{Re}(u), \operatorname{Re}(u) \rangle = \langle \operatorname{Im}(u), \operatorname{Im}(u) \rangle \rightarrow \|\operatorname{Re}(u)\| = \|\operatorname{Im}(u)\|$ .

Comparing imaginary parts:  $\langle \operatorname{Im}(u), \operatorname{Re}(u) \rangle = 0$ .

Let  $W = \operatorname{span}\left\{ \frac{\operatorname{Re}(u)}{\|\operatorname{Re}(u)\|}, \frac{\operatorname{Im}(u)}{\|\operatorname{Im}(u)\|} \right\}$  over  $\mathbb{R}$ . By (ii),  $W$  is  $L_A$ -invariant and  $A\left(\frac{\operatorname{Re}(u)}{\|\operatorname{Re}(u)\|}\right) = -\lambda \frac{\operatorname{Im}(u)}{\|\operatorname{Im}(u)\|}$  and  $A\left(\frac{\operatorname{Im}(u)}{\|\operatorname{Im}(u)\|}\right) = \lambda \frac{\operatorname{Re}(u)}{\|\operatorname{Re}(u)\|}$ . Thus:

$$[L_A|_W]_{C_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda \\ -\lambda & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Claim 2:  $W^\perp$  is  $L_A$ -invariant.

Proof: First note that since the canonical basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is also orthonormal,  $L_A^*(v) = A^*v \ \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Let  $w \in W^\perp$ . Then  $\forall v \in W$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\langle v, w \rangle &= 0. \\ \langle L_A(v), w \rangle &= 0. \text{ -- (Recall that } W \text{ is } L_A\text{-invariant)} \\ \langle v, L_A^*(w) \rangle &= 0. \\ \langle v, A^*w \rangle &= 0. \\ \langle v, -Aw \rangle &= 0. \\ \langle v, Aw \rangle &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\dim(W) = 2$ ,  $\dim(W^\perp) = n - 2$  so by our induction hypothesis,  $\exists$  orthonormal basis  $C_2$  for  $W^\perp$  such that

$$[L_A|_{W^\perp}]_{C_2} = \begin{pmatrix} J' & 0 \\ 0 & 0_{(n-2-2m) \times (n-2-2m)} \end{pmatrix}$$

Where  $J'$  is of the same form as  $J$ .

Choose  $C = C_1 \cup C_2$ . Then  $C$  is still orthonormal and

$$[L_A]_C = \begin{pmatrix} [L_A|_W]_{C_1} & 0_{2 \times (n-2)} \\ 0_{(n-2) \times 2} & [L_A|_{W^\perp}]_{C_2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} J & 0 \\ 0 & 0_{(n-2-2m) \times (n-2-2m)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

## Question 6

(i) Let  $u, v \in U$  and  $x, y \in \mathbb{F}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{im}(xu + yv) &= \mathcal{B}(xu + yv, u_1)u_1 + \mathcal{B}(xu + yv, u_2)u_2 + \dots + \mathcal{B}(xu + yv, u_n)u_n \\ &= x\mathcal{B}(u, u_1)u_1 + y\mathcal{B}(v, u_1)u_1 + x\mathcal{B}(u, u_2)u_2 + \dots + y\mathcal{B}(v, u_n)u_n \\ &= x\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{im}(u) + y\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{im}(v).\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{im}$  is a linear transformation.

$$\begin{aligned}w \in \ker(\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{im}) &\iff \mathcal{B}(w, u_1)u_1 + \mathcal{B}(w, u_2)u_2 + \dots + \mathcal{B}(w, u_n)u_n = 0_V \\ &\iff \mathcal{B}(w, u_1) = \mathcal{B}(w, u_2) = \dots = \mathcal{B}(w, u_n) = 0 \\ &\iff w \in U^\perp.\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\ker(\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{im}) = U^\perp$ .

(ii) Yes. Obviously  $R(\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{im}) \subseteq U$ . Thus to prove  $R(\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{im}) = U$ , it suffices to prove that  $\dim(R(\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{im})) = \dim(U) = n$ .

Claim:  $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{im}(u_1), \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{im}(u_2), \dots, \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{im}(u_n)$  are linearly independent vectors.

Proof: Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that  $\exists a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ , not all zero, such that:

$$a_1\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{im}(u_1) + a_2\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{im}(u_2) + \dots + a_n\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{im}(u_n) = 0_V.$$

$\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{im}(a_1u_1 + a_2u_2 + \dots + a_nu_n) = 0_V \rightarrow a_1u_1 + a_2u_2 + \dots + a_nu_n \in \ker(\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{im})$ . By (i),  $a_1u_1 + a_2u_2 + \dots + a_nu_n \in U^\perp$ . But by definition of subspace,  $a_1u_1 + a_2u_2 + \dots + a_nu_n \in U$ . This is a contradiction as  $U^\perp \cap U = \{0_V\}$ . (Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is non-degenerate)

Thus the assumption is false and  $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{im}(u_1), \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{im}(u_2), \dots, \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{im}(u_n)$  are linearly independent vectors.

Since  $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{im}(u_1), \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{im}(u_2), \dots, \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{im}(u_n) \in R(\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{im})$ ,  $\dim(R(\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{im})) = n$  and our proof is complete.

Clearly  $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{im}$  has domain  $V$ , kernel  $U^\perp$  and range  $U$ . Thus by the first isomorphism theorem,  $V/U^\perp \cong U$ .

(iii) Disprove by counterexample: Choose  $V = \mathbb{R}^\infty$ , the vector space consisting of all infinite sequences of real numbers. Define the vector  $e_n$  by:

$$e_n = (\underbrace{0, 0, \dots, 0}_{1 \text{ at the } N\text{th entry}}, 1, 0, \dots).$$

Define  $U = \text{span}\{e_1, e_2, \dots\}$ . Then  $U^\perp = \{0_V\}$  so  $V/U^\perp = V$ .  $U$  has a countable basis while  $V$  has an uncountable basis so  $V \not\cong U$ . Thus  $V/U^\perp \not\cong U$ .

Remark: One way of showing that  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$  has an uncountable basis is by considering the linearly independent set:  $\{(t, t^2, t^3, \dots) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}$  which has the same cardinality as  $\mathbb{R}$ .