

NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SINGAPORE  
MATHEMATICS SOCIETY

PAST YEAR PAPER SOLUTIONS  
with credits to Teo Wei Hao

**MA3111S Complex Analysis (version S)**  
AY 2008/2009 Sem 2

**Question 1**

- (a) Let  $g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4 : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $g_5 : \mathbb{C} - \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be such that  $g_1(z) = e^z$ ,  $g_2(z) = \sin z$ ,  $g_3(z) = z$ , and  $g_4(z) = (z - \pi)^2$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ;  $g_5(z) = \frac{1}{z^2}$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ .

We notice that  $g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4, g_5$  are all analytic functions on their respective domains.

Since  $g_1 \circ g_5$  is well-defined on  $\mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ ,  $g_3(z) = 0$  only at  $z = 0$ , and  $g_4(z) = 0$  only at  $z = \pi$ , we have a well-defined function  $f : \mathbb{C} - \{0, \pi\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $f(z) = \frac{(g_1 \circ g_5)(z) \cdot g_2(z)}{g_3(z) \cdot g_4(z)}$ .

Also,  $f$  is analytic on  $\mathbb{C} - \{0, \pi\}$ , i.e.  $f$  has singularities at most at  $\{0, \pi\}$ .

For all  $z \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ , we have by Taylor's Theorem,

$$g_1(g_5(z)) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} g_5(z)^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k! z^{2k}}.$$

By uniqueness of Laurent series expansion, the above is the Laurent series expansion of  $(g_1 \circ g_5)(z)$  at 0, and so it has an essential singularity at 0. Together with the fact that  $\frac{g_2(z)}{g_3(z) \cdot g_4(z)}$  has a removable singularity at 0 with value  $\frac{1}{\pi^2} \neq 0$ , we have  $f$  to have essential singularity at 0.

For all  $z \in B(\pi, 1)$ , we have,

$$f(z) = \left( \frac{(g_1 \circ g_5)(z) \cdot g_2(z)}{g_3(z)} \right) \frac{1}{(z - \pi)^2}.$$

since  $\frac{(g_1 \circ g_5)(z) \cdot g_2(z)}{g_3(z)}$  is analytic at  $\pi$ ,  $f$  has a pole of order 2 at  $\pi$ .

- (b) Let us denote  $\text{Ann}(1, 1, 2) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid 1 < |z - 1| < 2\}$ .

For all  $z \in \text{Ann}(1, 1, 2)$ , we have  $\left| \frac{1}{z - 1} \right| < 1$  and  $\left| \frac{z - 1}{2} \right| < 1$ , and so,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{z^2 - z - 2} &= \frac{1}{(z - 2)(z + 1)} = -\frac{1}{3(z + 1)} + \frac{1}{3(z - 2)} \\ &= -\frac{1}{6\left(1 + \frac{z-1}{2}\right)} + \frac{1}{3(z - 1)\left(1 - \frac{1}{z-1}\right)} \\ &= -\frac{1}{6} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{z-1}{2}\right)^k + \frac{1}{3(z-1)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{z-1}\right)^k \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{6 \cdot 2^k} (z-1)^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{3(z-1)^k}. \end{aligned}$$

By uniqueness of Laurent series expansion, the above is the Laurent series expansion of the function  $\frac{1}{z^2 - z - 2}$  valid on the annulus  $\text{Ann}(1, 1, 2)$ .

**Question 2**

For  $u, w \in \mathbb{C}$ , let us denote the line segment  $[u, w] = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid z = (1 - \alpha)u + \alpha w, \alpha \in [0, 1]\}$ .

Let  $a, b, c \in U$  such that the triangle  $\triangle(a, b, c)$  lies in  $U$ .

Let  $\gamma : [0, 3] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be such that,

$$\gamma(t) = \begin{cases} (1-t)a + tb, & t \in [0, 1]; \\ (2-t)b + (t-1)c, & t \in (1, 2]; \\ (3-t)c + (t-2)a, & t \in (2, 3]. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\{\gamma\} = [a, b] \cup [b, c] \cup [c, a] = \partial\triangle(a, b, c)$ .

Let  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .

Since  $[a, b]$ ,  $[b, c]$  and  $[c, a]$  are line segments in  $U$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq N}$ , for all  $z \in \{\gamma\}$ , we have  $|f_k(z) - f(z)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{L(\gamma)}$ .

Thus, by ML-estimate, and the fact that  $f_k$  is analytic on  $U$ , we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz \right| &= \left| \int_{\gamma} f_k(z) dz - \int_{\gamma} f_k(z) - f(z) dz \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{\gamma} f_k(z) dz \right| + \left| \int_{\gamma} f_k(z) - f(z) dz \right| \\ &< 0 + L(\gamma) \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{L(\gamma)} \right) = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This give us  $\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = 0$ .

Therefore by Morera's Theorem,  $f$  is analytic on  $U$ .

**Question 3**

Assume on the contrary that there exists  $w \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , such that for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , we have  $f(z) \notin B(w, r)$ .

This implies that for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , we have  $f(z) \neq w$ .

Thus we can have a well-defined function  $g : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $g(z) = \frac{1}{f(z) - w}$ .

Since  $f$  is entire, we have  $g$  to be entire.

Also, for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , we have  $|g(z)| = \left| \frac{1}{f(z) - w} \right| < \frac{1}{r}$ , i.e.  $g$  is bounded.

Thus by Liouville Theorem, we have  $g$  to be a constant function, which implies that  $f$  is a constant function, a contradiction.

**Question 4**

For all  $w \in S$ , let the pole be of order  $n_w \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Let  $M = \max\{|w| \mid w \in S\}$ .

We can define an entire function  $F : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $F(z) = f(z) \prod_{w \in S} (z - w)^{n_w}$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C} - S$ , since  $F$  has removable singularities on  $S$  now.

Let  $G : \mathbb{C} - \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be such that  $G(z) = F\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)$ , which is an analytic function on  $\mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ .

For all  $z \in B'(0, M)$ , we have  $\frac{1}{z} \notin S$ , and so  $G(z) = f\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) \prod_{w \in S} \left(\frac{1}{z} - w\right)^{n_w} = g(z) \prod_{w \in S} \left(\frac{1}{z} - w\right)^{n_w}$ .

Let  $g$  has a pole of order  $n$  at 0. Then  $G$  has a pole of order  $N := n + \sum_{w \in S} n_w$  at 0.

Thus, we can define an analytic function  $H : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $H(z) = z^N G(z)$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ .

Let  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . Since  $H$  is continuous, there exists  $R \in \mathbb{R}^+$  such that for all  $z_1 \in B\left(0, \frac{2}{R}\right)$ , we have

$$H(z_1) \in B(H(0), 1). \text{ Let } z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ such that } |z| > K := \max \left\{ R, \frac{2^{N+1}(N+1)!(1+|H(0)|)}{\varepsilon} \right\}.$$

Let  $\gamma : [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the path  $\gamma(t) = 2|z|e^{it}$ . We have  $L(\gamma) = 2\pi(2|z|) = 4\pi|z|$ .

Then for all  $w \in \{\gamma\}$ , we have  $|w| = 2|z|$ ,  $|w - z| \geq |w| - |z| = |z|$ , and  $\frac{1}{w} \in B'\left(0, \frac{2}{R}\right)$ , and so,

$$\left| \frac{F(w)}{(w-z)^{N+2}} \right| \leq \frac{1}{|z|^{N+2}} \left| G\left(\frac{1}{w}\right) \right| = \frac{1}{|z|^{N+2}} \left| w^N H\left(\frac{1}{w}\right) \right| = \frac{2^N |z|^N}{|z|^{N+2}} \left| H\left(\frac{1}{w}\right) \right| \leq \frac{2^N}{|z|^2} (1 + |H(0)|).$$

Thus, by Cauchy Integral Formula for Derivatives and ML-estimate, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} |F^{(N+1)}(z)| &= \left| \frac{(N+1)!}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{F(w)}{(w-z)^{N+2}} dw \right| \\ &\leq \frac{(N+1)!}{2\pi} (4\pi|z|) \left( \frac{2^N}{|z|^2} (1 + |H(0)|) \right) \\ &= \frac{2^{N+1}(N+1)!}{|z|} (1 + |H(0)|) < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence,  $F^{(N+1)}$  is bounded on  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| > K\}$ .

Since  $F^{(N+1)}$  is entire, it is continuous on  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Since  $\overline{B(0, K)}$  is a closed and bounded (compact) set,  $F^{(N+1)}$  is bounded on  $\overline{B(0, K)}$ .

Combining with the above,  $F^{(N+1)}$  is bounded on  $\mathbb{C} = \overline{B(0, K)} \cup \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| > K\}$ .

Thus by Liouville's Theorem,  $F^{(N+1)}$  is a constant function, in particular,  $|F^{(N+1)}(z)| < \varepsilon$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ .

This implies that  $F^{(N+1)}(z) = 0$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , and so  $F$  is a polynomial of degree at most  $N$ .

Thus  $f$  is a rational function, i.e.  $f(z) = \frac{P(z)}{Q(z)}$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C} - S$ , where  $P(z) = F(z)$  and

$Q(z) = \prod_{w \in S} (z - w)^{n_w}$  are polynomials.

### Question 5

Let  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be such that  $f(z) = \frac{1 + iz - e^{iz}}{z^2}$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ ;  $f(0) = \frac{-1}{2}$ .

Then  $f$  is an entire function.

Let  $R \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $\gamma_{R_1} : [0, \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\gamma_{R_2} : [-R, R] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be such that,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{R_1}(t) &= Re^{it}; \\ \gamma_{R_2}(t) &= t. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\gamma_{R_1} + \gamma_{R_2}$  is a closed contour, and so  $\int_{\gamma_{R_1} + \gamma_{R_2}} f(z) dz = 0$ .

Also, we have,

$$\int_{\gamma_{R_1}} \frac{iz}{z^2} dz = \int_{\gamma_{R_1}} \frac{i}{z} dz = i(\text{Log}(Re^{i\pi}) - \text{Log}(R)) = i(i\pi) = -\pi.$$

Let  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . Let  $R = \frac{4\pi}{\varepsilon}$ .

For all  $z \in \{\gamma_{R_1}\}$ , since  $|e^{iz}| \leq e^0 = 1$ , we have  $\left| \frac{1 - e^{iz}}{z^2} \right| \leq \frac{1 + |e^{iz}|}{|z|^2} \leq \frac{2}{R^2} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi R}$ .

By ML-estimate, we have,

$$\left| \int_{\gamma_{R_1}} \frac{1 - e^{iz}}{z^2} dz \right| \leq (\pi R) \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi R} \right) < \varepsilon.$$

Thus, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\gamma_{R_2}} f(z) dz - \pi \right| &= \left| \int_{\gamma_{R_1} + \gamma_{R_2}} f(z) dz - \int_{\gamma_{R_1}} f(z) dz - \pi \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{\gamma_{R_1} + \gamma_{R_2}} f(z) dz \right| + \left| \int_{\gamma_{R_1}} \frac{1 - e^{iz}}{z^2} dz + \int_{\gamma_{R_1}} \frac{iz}{z^2} dz + \pi \right| \\ &\leq 0 + \left| \int_{\gamma_{R_1}} \frac{1 - e^{iz}}{z^2} dz \right| + \left| \int_{\gamma_{R_1}} \frac{iz}{z^2} dz + \pi \right| < 0 + \varepsilon = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

We notice that  $x \in [-R, R]$  iff  $z = x + i0 \in \{\gamma_{R_2}\}$ . Also,  $\operatorname{Re} f(z) = \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{1 - ix - e^{ix}}{x^2} \right) = \frac{1 - \cos x}{x^2}$ .

Therefore  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1 - \cos x}{x^2} dx = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-R}^R \frac{1 - \cos x}{x^2} dx = \operatorname{Re} \left( \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\gamma_{R_2}} f(z) dz \right) = \pi$ .

### Question 6

Let  $w = x_w + iy_w \in \mathbb{C} - U$ . Let  $u : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be such that  $u(x, y) = \operatorname{Log} \sqrt{(x - w_x)^2 + (y - w_y)^2}$ . For all  $x + iy \in U$ , since  $w \notin U$ , we have  $(x - w_x)^2 + (y - w_y)^2 \neq 0$ .

Thus we have  $u_x(x, y) = \frac{x - w_x}{(x - w_x)^2 + (y - w_y)^2}$  and  $u_y(x, y) = \frac{y - w_y}{(x - w_x)^2 + (y - w_y)^2}$ .

So,  $u_{xx}(x, y) = \frac{(y - w_y)^2 - (x - w_x)^2}{((x - w_x)^2 + (y - w_y)^2)^2}$  and  $u_{yy} = \frac{(x - w_x)^2 - (y - w_y)^2}{((x - w_x)^2 + (y - w_y)^2)^2}$ .

This give us  $u_{xx} + u_{yy} = 0$ , i.e.  $u$  is harmonic on  $U$ .

Thus, there exists analytic  $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $u$  is the real part of  $F$ .

This give us for all  $z = x + iy \in U$ , we have  $F'(z) = u_x(x, y) - iu_y(x, y) = \frac{1}{z - w}$ .

Therefore, given any closed contour  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  in  $U$ , by Fundamental Theorem of Calculus, we have  $n(\gamma, w) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{1}{z - w} dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} (F(1) - F(0)) = 0$ .

Therefore  $U$  is simply connected.