Introduction to Data Science

DSA1101

Semester 1, 2018/2019 Week 9

The Naïve Bayes Classifier

Naïve Bayes Classifier

- Naïve Bayes is a probabilistic classification method based on Bayes' theorem (or Bayes' law) with a few tweaks
- Bayes' theorem gives the relationship between the probabilities of two events and their conditional probabilities.
- Last week, we have looked at one example of applying Bayes' theorem in medical testing

Classification methods: Decision Trees



 We will look at another example of applying Bayes' theorem in email spam filtering

Source: The Straits Times

- Suppose that 5% of all emails are spams, and that the phrase "you are a winner" occurs in 50% of spam emails, and in 10% of non-spam emails.
- Given that we received an email with the phrase "you are a winner" in it, what is the conditional probability that it is a spam email?

- Define the events C = {email is spam} and
 A = {contains the phrase "you are a winner"}
- Let $\neg \mathcal{M}$ denote the negation of the event \mathcal{M}
- Based on the problem description, we have P(C) = 0.05, $P(\neg C) = 0.95$, P(A|C) = 0.50 and $P(A|\neg C) = 0.10$
- We wish to compute the conditional probability P(C|A).

- We wish to compute the conditional probability P(C|A).
- Based on the problem description, we have P(C) = 0.05, $P(\neg C) = 0.95$, P(A|C) = 0.50 and $P(A|\neg C) = 0.10$

$$P(C|A) = \frac{P(A|C)P(C)}{P(A)}$$

$$= \frac{P(A|C)P(C)}{P(A \cap C) + P(A \cap \neg C)}$$

$$= \frac{P(A|C)P(C)}{P(C) \times P(A|C) + P(\neg C)P(A|\neg C)}$$

$$= \frac{0.50 \times 0.05}{0.05 \times 0.50 + 0.95 \times 0.10} \approx 0.208$$

- That means that the probability of the email being a spam given that it contains the phrase "you are a winner" is about 20.8%
- Without any knowledge of occurrence of the phrase, the probability of the email being a spam is only 5%
- The probability of of the email being labelled a spam (Y)
 increases after incorporating the feature variable of phrase
 occurrence (X)

- Note that in the previous lectures on classification methods, we often use more than one feature variable X in making predictions.
- For example, the occurrence of the phrase "transfer bank account" can be another feature in predicting spam.
- The more general form of Bayes' theorem allows us to incorporate multiple feature variables or attributes.

- Suppose the categorical outcome variable Y takes or values in the set $\{y_1, y_2, ..., y_k\}$. For example, binary Y takes on values in $\{0,1\}$.
- A more general form of Bayes' theorem assigns a classified label to an object with *m* feature variables $X = \{X_1, X_2, ..., X_m\}$ such that the predicted label corresponds to the largest value of $P(Y = y_i | X)$, i = 1, 2, ..., k
- The value $P(Y = y_i | X)$ is given by for each value of Y, $P(Y = y_i|X)$ $= \frac{P(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, ..., X_m = x_m | Y = y_j) \times P(Y = y_j)}{P(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, ..., X_m = x_m)}$

binary

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{for } j=1,2,...,k \\ \text{for k values of y:} \\ \text{calculate max} \{P(Y=y(i)|X)\} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ll} \text{binary} \\ P(Y=0|X), P(Y=1|X) \\ \text{find max} \{P(Y=0|X), P(Y=1|X)\} \end{array}$$

Note by Bayes' theorem,

$$P(Y = y_j | X)$$

$$= \frac{P(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, ..., X_m = x_m | Y = y_j) \times P(Y = y_j)}{P(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, ..., X_m = x_m)},$$

for
$$j = 1, 2, ..., k$$

 With two simplifications, Bayes' theorem can be extended to become a naïve Bayes classifier.

 The first simplification is to use the conditional independence assumption which simplifies the computation of the numerator term,

$$P(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, ..., X_m = x_m | Y = y_j)$$

$$= P(X_1 = x_1 | Y = y_j) P(X_2 = x_2 | Y = y_j) ... P(X_m = x_m | Y = y_j)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{m} P(X_i = x_i | Y = y_j).$$

The second simplification is to ignore the term in the denominator,

$$P(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, ..., X_m = x_m)$$

since it is constant for all values in the set $\{y_1, y_2, ..., y_k\}$ of the outcome variable Y.

because we just need to compare the

• With these two simplifications, P(Y=y(i)|X) for i=1->k

$$P(Y = y_j|X) \propto P(Y = y_j) \times \prod_{i=1}^m P(X_i = x_i|Y = y_j),$$

for j = 1, 2, ..., k. The symbol \propto means "proportional to".

- A Heuristic explanation for ignoring the term in the denominator:
- Suppose we wish to compare $\frac{a}{c}$ versus $\frac{b}{c}$.
- Since *c* is constant, we just need to compare *a* versus *b*.
- Let us look at a simple example for naïve Bayes classifier

- Suppose we wish to predict the class of a fruit Y that takes on the values { banana, orange, other }.
- The binary feature variables X are whether the fruit is long, sweet and yellow.
- The tabulation on 1000 pieces of fruit is as follows:

Y	Long	Sweet	Yellow
Banana	200	100	200
Orange	20	100	180
Other	100	50	50

•	Y	Long	Sweet	Yellow
	Banana	200	100	200
	Orange	20	100	180
	Other	100	50	50

• We can first compute
$$P(Y = Banana) = \frac{200+100+200}{1000} = 0.5$$
, $P(Y = Orange) = \frac{20+100+180}{1000} = 0.3$ and $P(Y = Other) = \frac{100+50+50}{1000} = 0.2$

•	Y	Long	Sweet	Yellow	
	Banana	200	100	200	500
	Orange	20	100	180	300
	Other	100	50	50	200

• We can then compute the conditional probabilities

i	x _i	$P(x_i Y_i)$	Y = Banana)	$P(x_i Y = Orange)$	$P(x_i Y = Others)$
1	Long		<u>200</u> 500	20 300	100 200
2	Sweet		100 500	100 300	50 200
3	Yellow		<u>200</u> 500 €	180 300	50 200

 Suppose we want to predict the identity for a new piece of fruit which is long, sweet but not yellow, then

$$P(Y = Banana|X)$$

$$\propto P(Y = Banana) \times P(X_1 = Long|Y = Banana)$$

$$\times P(X_2 = Sweet|Y = Banana) \times P(X_3 = \neg Yellow|Y = Banana)$$

$$= 0.5 \times \frac{200}{500} \times \frac{100}{500} \times \left(1 - \frac{200}{500}\right)$$

$$= 0.024$$

We can similarly calculate

$$P(Y = Orange|X)$$

$$\propto P(Y = Orange) \times P(X_1 = Long|Y = Orange)$$

$$\times P(X_2 = Sweet|Y = Orange) \times P(X_3 = \neg Yellow|Y = Orange)$$

$$= 0.3 \times \frac{20}{300} \times \frac{100}{300} \times \left(1 - \frac{180}{300}\right)$$

$$\approx 0.0027$$

$$P(Y = Others|X)$$

$$\propto P(Y = Others) \times P(X_1 = Long|Y = Others)$$

$$\times P(X_2 = Sweet|Y = Others) \times P(X_3 = \neg Yellow|Y = Others)$$

$$= 0.2 \times \frac{100}{200} \times \frac{50}{200} \times \left(1 - \frac{50}{200}\right)$$

$$\approx 0.0188$$

• Since the maximum probability score is P(Y = Banana|X) = 0.024, we predict the fruit to be a banana.

- When looking at problems with a large number of feature values, or attributes with a high number of levels, the probability score values can become very small in magnitude (close to zero).
- This is the problem of numerical underflow, caused by multiplying several probability values that are close to zero.
- A way to alleviate the problem is to compute the logarithm of the probability scores:

$$\log P(Y = y_j) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \log P(X_i = x_i | Y = y_j),$$

for
$$j = 1, 2, ..., k$$
.

- We will use the naiveBayes function in the R package 'e1071' for fitting the naïve Bayes Classifier in our fruit example
- The dataset 'fruit.csv' has been posted to the course website under LectureNotes/DataSets/

- We will use the naiveBayes function in the R package 'e1071' for fitting the naïve Bayes Classifier in our fruit example
- The dataset 'fruit.csv' has been posted to the course website under LectureNotes/DataSets/

Read in dataset 'fruit.csv'

```
> fruit.dat= read.csv("fruit.csv")
2 > fruit.dat=fruit.dat[,-1]
3 > fruit.dat<- data.frame(lapply(fruit.dat, as.</pre>
                           change to level
     factor))
4 > head(fruit.dat)
     Fruit Long Sweet Yellow
 1 Banana
  2 Banana
  3 Banana
  4 Banana
10 5 Banana
11 6 Banana
```

Load the R package 'e1071' and fit naïve Bayes Classifier

```
library(e1071)

model <- naiveBayes(Fruit ~ Long+Yellow+Sweet,
fruit.dat)</pre>
```

 Predict the identity for a new piece of fruit which is long, sweet but not yellow

```
> newdata <- data.frame(Long=1, Sweet=1, Yellow=0)</pre>
  > newdata <- data.frame(lapply(newdata, as.factor)</pre>
3
  > results <- predict (model,newdata,"raw")</pre>
  > results
           Banana
                       Orange
                                Other
6
  [1,] 0.5284404 0.0587156 0.412844conditional probability
                                         (banana | new data)
8
  > results <- predict (model, newdata, "class")</pre>
10 > results
11 [1] Banana if there are many levels
12 Levels: Banana Orange Other
```