Tutorial 6 (Week 9): Functional dependencies.

- ${\bf 1.} \quad \hbox{Is the following rule correct? Prove your answer.} \\$
 - $\forall X \in R \ \forall Y \in R \ (if X \rightarrow Y, then Y \subseteq X)$
- 2. The following rule is called Pseudo-transitivity.

 \forall X \in R \forall Y \in R \forall Z \in R \forall V \in R (if X \rightarrow Y and Z \rightarrow V and Z \subseteq Y, then X \rightarrow V)

- a. Prove it using the Armstrong axioms.
- b. Argue that if we replace transitivity with pseudo-transitivity in the Armstrong's axioms we still have a set of axioms that is complete.
- 3. Consider the set of functional dependencies $F=\{\{A\}\rightarrow\{B\},\{C\}\rightarrow\{D\},\{B,D\}\rightarrow\{E\},\{D\}\rightarrow\{A,D\},\{A,C\}\rightarrow\{E,B\}\}\}$ on the relation scheme $R=\{A,B,C,D,E\}$.
 - a. Give an example instance of R that complies with the functional dependencies.
 - b. Give an example instance of R that violates the functional dependencies.
 - c. Compute F+ the closure of F.
 - d. Give an example of a trivial functional dependency in F+
 - e. Give an example of a completely non trivial functional dependency in F+
 - f. Give an example of a non-completely non-trivial and non-trivial functional dependency in F+
 - g. Compute {C}+ the closure of the set of attributes {C}.
 - h. Compute a minimal cover of F