# CS2102 Database Systems

### REVISION

# Schema Refinement

 $AB \rightarrow C$ ,  $C \rightarrow A$ ,  $BC \rightarrow D$ ,  $ACD \rightarrow B$ ,  $D \rightarrow E$ ,  $D \rightarrow G$ ,  $BE \rightarrow C$ ,  $CG \rightarrow B$ ,  $CG \rightarrow D$ ,  $CE \rightarrow A$ ,  $CE \rightarrow G$ 

**Q1.** Find all the candidate keys of R

 $BD^{+}=ABCDEG$ 

$A^+ = A$	$AB^+ = ABCDEG$	$DE^+= DEG$	ADE+= ADEG
$B_{+}=B$	$AC^+ = AC$	$DG^+=DEG$	ADG+= <b>ADEG</b>

$$C^{+}= AC$$
  $AD^{+}= ADEG$   $EG^{+}= EG$   $AEG^{+}= AEG$ 

$$D^+= DEG$$
  $AE^+= AE$   $DEG^+= DEG$ 

$$E^{+}=E$$
  $AG^{+}=AG$   
 $G^{+}=G$   $BC^{+}=ABCDEG$   $ADEG^{+}=ADEG$ 

$$BC^{+}=G$$
  $BC^{+}=ABCDEG$   $ADEG^{+}=ADEG$ 

 $BE^+=$  **ABCDEG** So, the candidate keys are:

 $BG^{+}=\mathbf{BG}$  AB, BC, BD, BE, CD, CE, CG

$$CE^+=$$
 **ABCDEG**

**Step 1**. Simplify the right hand side

N.A.

Step 2. Eliminate redundant attributes

$AB \rightarrow C$	$AB \rightarrow C$	Can we replace $AB \rightarrow C$ with $A \rightarrow C$
$BC \rightarrow D$	$BC \rightarrow D$	or B→C?
$ACD \rightarrow B$	$CD \rightarrow B$	No, since $A^+=\{A\}$ and $B^+=\{B\}$
$BE \rightarrow C$	$BE \rightarrow C$	, ( )
CG→B	$CG \rightarrow B$	Can we replace $CE \rightarrow A$ with $C \rightarrow A$
$CG \rightarrow D$	$CG \rightarrow D$	or $E \rightarrow A$ ?
$CE \rightarrow A$	$\mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$	Yes, since we have C→A
$CE \rightarrow G$	$CE \rightarrow G$	1es, since we have C—A
$D \rightarrow E$	$D \rightarrow E$	
$D \rightarrow G$	$D \rightarrow G$	Can we replace $ACD \rightarrow B$ with
$C \rightarrow A$		$AC \rightarrow B \text{ or } AD \rightarrow B \text{ or } CD \rightarrow B$
		or $A \rightarrow B$ or $C \rightarrow B$ or $D \rightarrow B$ ?
		Yes, since CD+={ABCDEG}

### Step 3. Eliminate redundant functional dependencies

	A	B	$\longrightarrow$	C
--	---	---	-------------------	---

 $BC \rightarrow D$ 

 $CD \rightarrow B$ 

 $BE \rightarrow C$ 

 $CG \rightarrow B$ 

 $CG \rightarrow D$ 

 $C \rightarrow A$ 

 $CE \rightarrow G$ 

 $D \rightarrow E$ 

 $D \rightarrow G$ 

Can we eliminate  $AB \rightarrow C$ ?

Compute AB<sup>+</sup> by using the other FDs

 $AB^+=\{AB\}$ 

Does not contain C. NO!

### Step 3. Eliminate redundant functional dependencies

 $AB \rightarrow C$ 

 $BC \rightarrow D$ 

 $CD \rightarrow B$ 

 $BE \rightarrow C$ 

 $CG \rightarrow B$ 

 $CG \rightarrow D$ 

 $C \rightarrow A$ 

 $CE \rightarrow G$ 

 $D \rightarrow E$ 

 $D \rightarrow G$ 

Can we eliminate  $CD \rightarrow B$ ?

Compute CD<sup>+</sup> by using the other FDs

CD+={ABCDEG}

CD<sup>+</sup> contains B. **YES!** 

Step 3. Eliminate redundant functional dependencies

 $AB \rightarrow C$ 

 $BC \rightarrow D$ 

 $CD \rightarrow B$ 

 $BE \rightarrow C$ 

 $CG \rightarrow B$ 

 $CG \rightarrow D$ 

 $C \rightarrow A$ 

 $CE \rightarrow G$ 

 $D \rightarrow E$ 

 $D \rightarrow G$ 

Can we eliminate  $CG \rightarrow D$ ?

Compute CG<sup>+</sup> by using the other FDs

CG<sup>+</sup>={ABCDEG}

CG<sup>+</sup> contains D. **YES!** 

**Step 3**. Eliminate redundant functional dependencies

$AB \rightarrow C$	$AB \rightarrow C$
$BC \rightarrow D$	$BC \rightarrow D$
$CD \rightarrow B$	$BE \rightarrow C$
$BE \rightarrow C$	$CG \rightarrow B$
CG→B	$C \rightarrow A$
$CG \rightarrow D$	$CE \rightarrow G$
$C \rightarrow A$	$D \rightarrow E$
$CE \rightarrow G$	$D \rightarrow G$
D→E	
$D \rightarrow G$	Minimal Cover

Step 4. Group all dependencies with the same left hand side into one

$AB \rightarrow C$	$AB \rightarrow C$
$BC \rightarrow D$	$BC \rightarrow D$
$BE \rightarrow C$	$BE \rightarrow C$
CG→B	$CG \rightarrow B$
$C \rightarrow A$	$C \rightarrow A$
CE→G	$CE \rightarrow G$
$\mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$	$D \rightarrow EG$
$\mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$	

**Extended Minimal Cover** 

### **Q3.** Is R in BCNF?

```
AB\rightarrowC Super key
BC\rightarrowD Super key
BE\rightarrowC Super key
CG\rightarrowB Super key
C\rightarrowA ??? Violate BCNF
CE\rightarrowG
D\rightarrowE
D\rightarrowG
```

The candidate keys are:

R is not in BCNF.

AB, BC, BD, BE, CD, CE, CG

#### **Example BCNF Decomposition**

The candidate keys are: AB, BC, BD, BE, CD, CE, CG

 $C \rightarrow A$  violate BCNF

Decompose R(A, B, C, D, E, G) with  $C \rightarrow A$ :

$$R1(A, \mathbb{C}) \qquad F1 = \{C \rightarrow A\}$$

$$R2(B, \mathbb{C}, D, E, G)$$
  $F2 = \{BC \rightarrow D, BE \rightarrow C, CG \rightarrow B, CE \rightarrow G, D \rightarrow EG\}$ 

D→EG violate BCNF

Decompose R2 with  $D \rightarrow EG$ :

$$R3(\mathbf{D}, E, G) \qquad F3 = \{D \rightarrow EG\}$$

$$R4(B, C, \mathbf{D}) \qquad F4 = \{BC \rightarrow D\}$$

Final set of relations are: R1(A,C)

R3(D, E, G)

 $R4(B, C, D) \qquad F + = F1 \cup F3 \cup F4$ 

Is this a dependency-preserving decomposition? No

$$BE \rightarrow C$$
,  $CG \rightarrow B$ ,  $CE \rightarrow G$  are not preserved

#### **Q4.** Is R in 3NF?

AB→C **Super key** 

BC→D **Super key** 

BE→C **Super key** 

CG→B **Super key** 

 $C \rightarrow A$  A is part of candidate key

CE→G **Super key** 

 $D \rightarrow E$  E is part of candidate key

 $D \rightarrow G$  G is part of candidate key

The candidate keys are:

R is in 3NF.

AB, BC, BD, BE, CD, CE, CG

- Suppose Bob is the owner of the table
   Part (pno, pname, cost, sname)
- If Jane want to view the content of this table.

What should Bob do?

- GRANT SELECT ON Part TO Jane
- ❖ If Tom needs to update content of this table and also pass this privilege to others. What should Bob do?
  - GRANT UPDATE ON Part TO Tom
     WITH GRANT OPTION

- Part (pno, pname, cost, sname)
- ❖ Security class: TS > S > C > U

pno	pname	cost	sname	security
1	P1	10	S1	TS
2	P2	15	S1	S
3	P3	10	S2	S
4	P3	20	S2	С

- If Tom with security level S issues the query:
  - SELECT P.pname FROM Part p
- What results will Tom see?
  - {P2, P3, P3}

- Part (pno, pname, cost, sname)
- ❖ Security class: TS > S > C > U

pno	pname	cost	sname	security
1	P1	10	S1	TS
2	P2	15	S1	S
3	P3	10	S2	S
4	P3	20	S2	С

- If Jane with security level C issues the query:
  - SELECT avg(P.cost) FROM Part p
- What results will Jane see?
  - **•** {20}


- Part (pno, pname, cost, sname)
- ❖ Security class: TS > S > C > U

pno	pname	cost	sname	security
1	P1	10	S1	TS
2	P2	15	S1	S
3	P3	10	S2	S
4	P3	20	S2	С


- If Alice with security level U issues the query:
  - SELECT \* FROM Part p
- What results will Alice see?
  - { }

### ER Model


- Each pharmaceutical company is identified by name and has a phone number.
- \* For each drug, the trade name and formula must be recorded. Each drug is manufactured by a pharmaceutical company, and the trade name identifies a drug uniquely from the other products of that company. If a pharmaceutical company is deleted, we need not keep track of its products any more.




- Each pharmacy has a name, address and phone number.
- Each pharmacy sells several drugs and has a price for each. A drug could be sold at several pharmacies, and the price could vary from one pharmacy to another.



\* Pharmaceutical companies have long term contracts with pharmacies. A pharmaceutical company can contract with several pharmacies, and a pharmacy can contract with several pharmaceutical companies. For each contract, you have to store a start date, an end date, and the text of the contract.



\* If drugs are sold at a fixed price by all pharmacies:



# SQL DDL

```
PharmaCompany(cname, cphone)
Drug(cname, tname, formula)
Pharmacy (pname, address, phone)
CREATE TABLE PharmaCompany (
      cname VARCHAR(20) PRIMARY KEY,
      cphone VARCHAR(20))
CREATE TABLE Drug (
      cname VARCHAR(20),
      tname VARCHAR(20),
      PRIMARY KEY (cname, tname),
      FOREIGN KEY (cname) REFERENCES PharmaCompany(cname))
CREATE TABLE Pharmacy (
      pname VARCHAR(20),
      address VARCHAR(50),
      phone VARCHAR(20),
      PRIMARY KEY (pname, address))
                                                            21
```

```
Sell (cname, tname, pname, price)
Contract (cname, pname, startDate, endDate,text)
CREATE TABLE Sell (
      cname VARCHAR(20), tname VARCHAR(20),
      pname VARCHAR(20),
      price NUMERIC,
      PRIMARY KEY (cname, tname, pname),
      FOREIGN KEY (cname, tname) REFERENCES Drug(cname, tname),
      FOREIGN KEY (pname) REFERENCES Pharmacy(pname))
CREATE TABLE Contract (
      cname VARCHAR(20),
      pname VARCHAR(20),
      startDate DATE,
      endDate DATE,
          VARCHAR(200),
      text
      PRIMARY KEY (cname, tname, startDate),
      FOREIGN KEY (cname) REFERENCES PharmaCompany(cname),
      FOREIGN KEY (pname) REFERENCES Pharmacy(pname))
```

### Relational Model

```
Part (pno, pname, cost, sname)
ComplexPart (pno, laborCost)
SubPart (pno, subPartOf, qty)
CREATE TABLE Part (pno NUMERIC PRIMARY KEY,
                    pname VARCHAR(20),
                    cost NUMERIC,
                    sname VARCHAR(20))
CREATE TABLE ComplexPart (
      pno NUMERIC PRIMARY KEY REFERENCES Part(pno),
      laborCost NUMERIC)
CREATE TABLE SubPart(pno NUMERIC,
      subPartOf NUMERIC, qty NUMERIC,
      PRIMARY KEY (pno, subPartOf),
      FOREIGN KEY (pno) REFERENCES Part(pno),
      FOREIGN KEY (subPartOf) REFERENCES Part(pno))
```

## SQL

Part (<u>pno</u>, pname, cost, sname) ComplexPart (<u>pno</u>, laborCost) SubPart (<u>pno</u>, subPartOf, qty)

**Q1.** List the part numbers and part names of all basic parts whose cost is more than \$10.

SELECT pno, pname FROM Part WHERE cost > 10

AND pno NOT IN (SELECT pno FROM ComplexPart);

Part (<u>pno</u>, pname, cost, sname) ComplexPart (<u>pno</u>, laborCost) SubPart (<u>pno</u>, subPartOf, qty)

**Q2.** Find all the pairs of complex parts that have the same labor cost.

SELECT P1.pname, P2.pname FROM ComplexPart P1, ComplexPart P2 WHERE P1.laborCost = P2.laborCost AND P1.pno <> P2.pno

**Q3.** Find the names of the suppliers that supplies at least two parts, with the average cost of these parts.

SELECT sname, AVG(cost)
FROM Part
GROUP BY sname
HAVING Count (\*) >= 2;

# Relational Algebra

Part (pno, pname, cost, sname)

ComplexPart (pno, laborCost)

SubPart (pno, subPartOf, qty)

**Q4.** List the names of suppliers who supply all complex parts whose labor cost is more than \$100.

$$\pi_{\text{sname, pno}}(\text{Part}) / \pi_{\text{pno}}(\sigma_{\text{laborCost}} > 100}(\text{ComplexPart}))$$

**Q5.** List the names of suppliers who supply at least two parts.

```
ρ (R1, Part)
```

$$\pi_{R1.sname}(\sigma_{R1.sname = R2.sname \land R1.pno} \Leftrightarrow R2.pno (R1 x R2))$$

### Relational Calculus

```
Part (<u>Pno</u>, Pname, Cost, Sname)
ComplexPart (<u>Pno</u>, LaborCost)
SubPart (<u>Pno</u>, SubPartOf, Qty)
```

**Q6.** Find the name of the cheapest part.

```
TRC:
```

```
\{T \mid \exists P1 \in Part (\neg (\exists P2 \in Part (P2.Cost < P1.Cost))) \land T.Pname = P1.Pname\}
```

#### DRC:

```
\{ < X > | \exists P, C, S (< P, X, C, S > \in Part) \land \neg (\exists P2, X2, C2, S2 (< P2, X2, C2, S2 > \in Part \land C2 < C) \}
```

```
Part (<u>Pno</u>, Pname, Cost, Sname)
ComplexPart (<u>Pno</u>, LaborCost)
SubPart (<u>Pno</u>, SubPartOf, Qty)
```

Q7. Find the name of the cheapest basic part.

```
TRC:
```

```
{ T | ∃ P1 ∈ Part (¬(∃ P2 ∈ Part (P2.Cost < P1.Cost))) ∧ ¬ (∃ P3 ∈ ComplexPart (P3.Pno = P1.Pno)) ∧ T.Pname = P1.Pname}
```

#### DRC:

```
Part (<u>Pno</u>, Pname, Cost, Sname)
ComplexPart (<u>Pno</u>, LaborCost)
SubPart (<u>Pno</u>, SubPartOf, Qty)
```

**Q8.** List the part numbers that are first and second level subparts of part number p200.

#### TRC:

```
{ T | ∃ P1 ∈ SubPart (P1.SubPartOf = "p200") ∨ 
(∃ P2 ∈ SubPart ∃ P3 ∈ SubPart (P2.SubPartOf = P3.Pno ∧ 
P3.SubPartOf = "p200")) ∧ T.pno = P1.pno}
```

#### DRC:

$$\{ \mid \exists \ Q\ ( \in SubPart) \lor$$
   
  $\exists P, Q1, Q2\ ( \in SubPart ∧  ∈ SubPart) \}$