

CS2102 Database Systems
2013/2014 Semester I

Tutorial #5 Functional Dependencies

1. Is the following rule correct?

$$\forall X \in R \ \forall Y \in R \text{ (if } X \rightarrow Y \text{ then } Y \subseteq X)$$

The rule is not correct.

For instance, {id} \rightarrow {name}, but {name} is not a subset of {id}.

2. The following rule is called pseudo-transitivity. Use Armstrong axioms to prove it.

$$\forall X \in R \ \forall Y \in R \ \forall Z \in R \ \forall W \in R \text{ (if } X \rightarrow Y \text{ and } Z \rightarrow W \text{ and } Z \subseteq Y, \text{ then } X \rightarrow W)$$

Assume that $X \rightarrow Y$ (1), $Z \rightarrow W$ (2), and $Z \subseteq Y$ (3)

Since $Z \subseteq Y$ (3), then $Y \rightarrow Z$ (4) (reflexivity)

Since $X \rightarrow Y$ (1) and $Y \rightarrow Z$ (4), then $X \rightarrow Z$ (5) (transitivity)

Since $X \rightarrow Z$ (5) and $Z \rightarrow W$ (2) then $X \rightarrow W$ (transitivity)

3. Consider the set of functional dependencies:

$F = \{ \{A\} \rightarrow \{B\}, \{C\} \rightarrow \{D\}, \{B, D\} \rightarrow \{E\}, \{D\} \rightarrow \{A, D\}, \{A, C\} \rightarrow \{E, B\} \}$ on the relation scheme $R = \{A, B, C, D, E\}$.

- a. Give an example instance of R that complies with the functional dependencies.

Empty instance or an instance with only one tuple.

- b. Give an example instance of R that violates the functional dependencies.

(1,1,1,1,1) and (1,2,2,2,2).

- c. Compute F^+ , the closure of F.

- d. Give an example of a trivial functional dependency in F^+ .

$AB \rightarrow A$

- e. Give an example of a non-trivial functional dependency in F^+ .

$A \rightarrow B$

f. Compute $\{C\}^+$, the closure of the set of attributes $\{C\}$.

$$C^+ (0) = \{C\}$$

$$C^+ (1) = \{C, D\} \text{ by using } \{C\} \rightarrow \{D\}$$

$$C^+ (2) = \{C, D, A\} \text{ by using } \{D\} \rightarrow \{A, D\}$$

$$C^+ (3) = \{C, D, A, B\} \text{ by using } \{A\} \rightarrow \{B\}$$

$$C^+ (4) = \{C, D, A, B, E\} \text{ by using } \{B, D\} \rightarrow \{E\}$$

$C^+ = \{C, D, A, B, E\}$, we can stop, we have every attribute. $\{C\}$ is a superkey

There is no proper subset which is a superkey (only one proper subset \rightarrow and it is not a superkey), therefore $\{C\}$ is a candidate key.

It is the only one. $\{C\}$ is a primary key.

g. Compute a minimal cover of F.

1. Simplify the right-hand side

$$F' = \{ \{A\} \rightarrow \{B\}, \{C\} \rightarrow \{D\}, \{B, D\} \rightarrow \{E\}, \{D\} \rightarrow \{A\}, \{D\} \rightarrow \{D\}, \{A, C\} \rightarrow \{E\}, \{A, C\} \rightarrow \{B\} \}$$

2. Simplify the left-hand side

$$F'' = \{ \{A\} \rightarrow \{B\}, \{C\} \rightarrow \{D\}, \{D\} \rightarrow \{E\}, \{D\} \rightarrow \{A\}, \{D\} \rightarrow \{D\}, \{C\} \rightarrow \{E\} \}$$

$\{A, C\} \rightarrow \{B\}$ can be removed because $\{A\} \rightarrow \{B\}$ is there (and $\{A\} \rightarrow \{A, B\}$)

$\{B, D\} \rightarrow \{E\}$, can be replaced by $\{D\} \rightarrow \{E\}$, (because $\{D\} \rightarrow \{A\}$ and $\{A\} \rightarrow \{B\}$)

$\{A, C\} \rightarrow \{E\}$ can be replaced by $\{C\} \rightarrow \{E\}$, (because $\{C\} \rightarrow \{D\}$ and $\{D\} \rightarrow \{E\}$)

3. Eliminate redundant rules

$$\text{Min}(F) = \{ \{A\} \rightarrow \{B\}, \{C\} \rightarrow \{D\}, \{D\} \rightarrow \{E\}, \{D\} \rightarrow \{A\} \}$$

$\{D\} \rightarrow \{D\}$, can be removed because it is trivial

$\{C\} \rightarrow \{E\}$ can be removed because it can be obtained from $\{C\} \rightarrow \{D\}$, $\{D\} \rightarrow \{E\}$.