

Repository Analysis Report

for [test_repo_2](#)



- Repository Name: [test_repo_2](#)
- Owner: [Ekarerina-Ulyanova](#)
- Created at: 30.09.2025 06:27

Statistics	Values
Stars Count	2
Forks Count	1
Issues Count	0

Metric	Values
README Presence	✓
License Presence	X
Documentation Presence	X
Examples Presence	X
Requirements Presence	✓
Tests Presence	X
Description Presence	✓

Repository Structure:

- Compliance: The repository structure is relatively simple and functional for a small project. It includes core application files, tests, and a requirements file.
- Missing files: LICENSE
- Organization: The project appears reasonably organized with a clear separation of application code (`budget_manager.py`, `database.py`, `main.py`) and tests (`test_app.py`, `test_new_module.py`). The README and requirements files are also present at the root level.

README Analysis:

- Quality: The README is very short and contains only the project name.
- Project description: Partial
- Installation: No
- Usage examples: No
- Contribution guidelines: No
- License specified: No
- Badges present: No

Documentation:

- Tests present: Yes
- Documentation quality: No dedicated documentation is present beyond the README.
- Outdated content: No

Key Shortcomings:

- Lack of a license file.
- Insufficient README content - missing project description, installation instructions, and usage examples.
- Absence of comprehensive documentation.

Recommendations:

- Add a LICENSE file to clearly define the project's licensing terms.
- Expand the README file to include a detailed project description, installation instructions, and basic usage examples.
- Consider adding more comprehensive documentation, even if it's just basic comments within the code, to improve maintainability and understanding.