Homework 5

Math 3607, Autumn 2021

Xiaoya Gao

Gao.1666

Table of Contents

Problem 1 (Improved triangular substitution)	
Part(a)	1
Part(b)	1
Problem 2 (Triangular substitution and stability)	
Part(a)	2
Part(b)	3
Problem 3 (Vectorizing mylu.m)	
Part(a)	4
Part(b)	
Problem 4 (Application of LU factorization)	
Part(a)	
Part(b)	
Problem 5 (Proper usage of lu)	
Problem 6 (FLOP Counting)	8
Part(a)	
Part(b)	
Part(c)	
Problem 7 (Matrix norms)	
Part(a)	
Part(b)	
Problem 1 functions	
Problem 3 function	
Problem 4 function	11

Problem 1 (Improved triangular substitution)

Part(a)

Programs backsub.m and forelim.m are included at the end of the livescript.

Part(b)

If AX = I, then X = the inverse matrix of A. Then we can use the forelim function to find the inverse matrix of lower trangular matrix A by letting B be the n by n identity matrix.

Program ltinverse is included at the end of the live script. HW#5 Hint is used in solving this problem.

```
L1 = [2,0,0; 8,-7,0; 4,9,-27]; % L1 given inv_L1 = [1/2,0,0; 4/7,-1/7,0; 50/189,-1/21,-1/27]; % inverse matrix given Numinv_L1 = ltinverse(L1); % numerically calculated inverse of L1, using ltinverse norm(inv_L1 - Numinv_L1) % gives the norm of the difference of the two
```

```
ans =
    0

L2 = [1,0,0,0; 1/3,1,0,0; 0,1/3,1,0; 0,0,1/3,1]; % L2 given
inv_L2 = [1,0,0,0; -1/3,1,0,0; 1/9,-1/3,1,0; -1/27,1/9,-1/3,1];
Numinv_L2 = ltinverse(L2); % numerically calculated inverse of L2, using ltinverse
norm(inv_L2 - Numinv_L2) % gives the norm of the difference of the two

ans =
    0
```

The norm of the difference seems to be zero for both lower triangular matrices. That's the way provided by the HW#5 hints. Comparing the numerical solution with the given exact solutions, we can find that they are basically the same.

```
format rational % puts the decimal elements in rational form; mentioned in lecture 1
Numinv_L1
Numinv_L1 =
                                     0
       1/2
                      0
       4/7
                     -1/7
                                     0
      50/189
                     -1/21
                                    -1/27
Numinv L2
Numinv_L2 =
       1
                      0
                                     0
                                                     0
      -1/3
                      1
                                                     0
                                     0
       1/9
                     -1/3
                                     1
                                                     0
                                                     1
      -1/27
                      1/9
                                    -1/3
```

Problem 2 (Triangular substitution and stability)

Part(a)

```
Ax=
                                  Хz
                                  Х3
                          0
                          -1
                                  XΨ
                                  XZ
       X1-X2+(A-B)X4+BX5
       DX_1 + X_2 - X_3 + DX_4 + DX_5
       0X1+0X2+X3-X4+0X5
        0X_1 + 0X_2 + 0X_3 + X_4 - X_5
       DX1+DX2+DX3+DX4+X5
        X1-X2+(a-B)X4+BX5
               X2-X3
               X3-X4
                                                               X_1 - X_2 + (a - B)X_4 + BX_5 = a
               X4-X5
                 X۶
                                                               X_2 - X_3 = 0
  using matrix multiplification. So from AX=B we have
                                                               X3 - X4 = 0
   From the system we get that X_2 = X_3 = X_4 = X_5 = 1.
                                                               X4 - X5 = 0
   The system of equations becomes
                                          X1+1+a-B+B=a
                                                               X5 = 1
   Here XI must satisfy that
                                          1-1=0
   X1-1+a-B+B=a. So X1=1.
                                          1-1=0
  Therefore, X=(1,1,1,1,1) is the
                                          1-1=0
   Solution to the system.
                                          1 = 1
```

Part(b)

```
for i = 10.^[1:12] % i = beta value
    a = [1,-1,0,(0.1-i),i; 0,1,-1,0,0; 0,0,1,-1,0; 0,0,0,1,-1; 0,0,0,0,1];
    x = a \ b; % compute x = a^-1 b

    j = abs(x(1,1)-1);
    fprintf(' %3.2e %5.4f \n', i, j);
end
```

```
1.00e+01 0.0000
1.00e+02 0.0000
1.00e+03 0.0000
1.00e+04 0.0000
1.00e+05 0.0000
```

```
1.00e+06 0.0000

1.00e+07 0.0000

1.00e+08 0.0000

1.00e+09 0.0000

1.00e+10 0.0000

1.00e+11 0.0000

1.00e+12 0.0000
```

From (a) we can find that the elements of x must satisfy that $x_2 = x_3 = x_4 = x_5 = 1$,

so the euqation involving x_1 becomes $x_1 - 1 + \alpha - \beta + \beta = \alpha$, and after simplifying we get $x_1 - 1 = 0$.

Therefore, no matter what value α , β has, we will get $x_1 = 1$.

Thus the table above shows that abs(x(1,1)-1)=0 for all β .

Problem 3 (Vectorizing mylu.m)

Part(a)

The modified function is placed at the end of the script.

After making the changes, i becomes the vector [j+1, j+2, j+3, ..., n].

Every element L(i,j) and A(i,j) is accessed the same as being accessed in the for-loop.

To verify that the function works properly, we test the changed part with an random matirx A.

I wrote the following script to verify that the chaged function works the same.

type VerifyChange.m

```
format short
A1 = [1,2,3; 4,5,6; 7,8,9]; % random test matrix A1
% part of the function (unchanged)
n1 = length(A1);
L1 = eye(n1);
for j1 = 1:n1-1
    for i1 = j1+1:n1
        L1(i1,j1) = A1(i1,j1) / A1(j1,j1);
        A1(i1,j1:n1) = A1(i1,j1:n1) - L1(i1,j1)*A1(j1,j1:n1);
    end
end
A2 = [1,2,3; 4,5,6; 7,8,9]; % same random test matrix A2
% part of the function (changed)
n2 = length(A2);
L2 = eye(n2);
for j2 = 1:n2-1
    i2 = j2+1:n2;
    L2(i2,j2) = A2(i2,j2) / A2(j2,j2);
```

```
A2(i2,j2:n2) = A2(i2,j2:n2) - L2(i2,j2)*A2(j2,j2:n2); end U1 = triu(A1) U2 = triu(A2) L1 L2
```

VerifyChange

We can see that the <u>outputs are the same before and after the part of the function is modified</u>. Thus we have varified that the function can work properly after we vectorize the group of operations.

Part(b)

In the iteration with j=3, the vector i becomes $[4\ 5]$, (n=5), $L([4\ 5], 3) = A([4\ 5], 3) / A(3, 3)$ assigns $L(4, 3) = \frac{A(4, 3)}{A(3, 3)}$. and assigns $L(5, 3) = \frac{A(5, 3)}{A(3, 3)}$ first. $A(i, j:n) \text{ becomes } A([4\ 5], [3\ 4\ 5]), \text{ since } [j:n] = [3\ 4\ 5].$ $A([4\ 5], [3\ 4\ 5]) = A([4\ 5], [3\ 4\ 5]) - L([4\ 5], 3) * A(3, [3\ 4\ 5]) \text{ assigns}$ A(4,3) = A(4,3) - L(4,3) * A(3,3), A(4,4) = A(4,4) - L(4,3) * A(3,4). A(4,5) = A(4,5) - L(4,3) * A(3,5), A(5,4) = A(5,4) - L(5,3) * A(3,4), A(5,5) = A(5,5) - L(5,3) * A(3,4), A(5,5) = A(5,5) - L(5,3) * A(3,5).

Problem 4 (Application of LU factorization)

Part(a)

```
we have learned in linear algebra that det(A) = det(L) det(U).
Also the determinant of triangular matrices = t_1, t_2, t_n, for T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}
                   det(L)=1 using the formula above. It's actually 1 if we
L=
                   calculate det (L) using the cofactor and submatrix method.
      631623 1 O
                                              + D", since the cofactors are all D.
                   det(L)=1. det 1 0 0
And also since the cofactors of
the n-1 submatrices are all 1. we get det(L) = 1 + 0^{-1} = l_{11}l_{22}l_{33} \cdot l_{11} = 1.
                          use the last row as the cofactors for all submatrices,
     ULI UIZ UI3 .. UIN
      O UZZ UZZ. UZN
                          we get det(u) = u11 uzz u33 ... unn = TI Uis.
             U33 - U3n
              D · · Unn
Since det(L)= 1 and det(u)= Tui, we get that det(A)= det(L) det(u)= Tui.
```

Part(b)

```
for n = 3:7
    M = magic(n);
    detM = determinant(M); % detM calculated using my function
    rel_err = (detM - det(M))/det(M); % relative error compare to det(M)
    if detM < 0
    fprintf('%d %4.3e %4.3f \n', n, detM, rel_err);
    else
    fprintf('%d %5.4e %4.3f \n', n, detM, rel_err);
    end
end
  -3.600e+02
             -0.000
3
 3.6238e-13
             -0.294
5 5.0700e+06
              -0.000
  0.0000e+00
             -1.000
```

Problem 5 (Proper usage of lu)

0.000

-3.481e+11

A=Lu, Ax=b, $x=\bar{A}'b=(Lu\bar{)}'b=\bar{u}'\bar{L}'b$. $X=u\setminus(L\setminus b)$ is the correct code to calculate $X=\bar{A}'b$, that $u\setminus(L\setminus b)=u\setminus(\bar{L}'b)=\bar{u}'\bar{L}'b=\bar{A}'b$, whereas $u\setminus L\setminus b=(\bar{u}'L)\setminus b=(\bar{u}'L\bar{)}'b=\bar{L}'ub$, Since concecutive \setminus has left precedence,

Problem 6 (FLOP Counting)

Part(a)

```
x = A *(B *(C *(D*b)));
```

Here, $u = D^*b$: $\sim 2n^2$ flops, $C^*(D^*b) = C^*u$: $\sim 2n^2$ flops. So similarly, $B^*(C^*(D^*b))$: $\sim 2n^2$ flops, and $A^*(B^*(C^*(D^*b)))$: $\sim 2n^2$ flops.

In total, it takes ~8n² flops.

Part(b)

```
[L,U,P] = lu(A);
x = B(U \(L \(P*b)));
```

Here lu(A): \sim (2/3) n^3 flops, $B^*(U \setminus (P^*b))$: \sim 8 n^2 flops. In total, it takes \sim (2/3) n^3 flops.

Part(c)

```
[L,U,P] = lu(C+A);
x = B(U \(L \(P*b)));
```

Here lu(C+A): ~(2/3)n³ flops(neglecting the lower power terms), B*(U \(L \(P*b)): ~8n² flops. In total, it takes ~(2/3)n³ flops.

Problem 7 (Matrix norms)

Part(a)

```
\begin{split} &||A||_{1} = \max_{1 \leq j \leq 2} \sum_{i=1}^{2} |a_{ij}| = \max_{1 \leq j \leq 2} (1+0, 2+3) = 5. \\ &||A||_{2} = \sqrt{\lambda_{1}} \max_{1 \leq j \leq 2} |a_{ij}| = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq 2} |a_{ij}| = \max_{1 \leq j \leq 2} |a_{ij}|^{2} |a_{ij}|^{2} = (1+13)^{N_{2}} = \sqrt{14}. \end{split}
```

Part(b)

```
function X = MatrixNorm(A, j)
% MatrixNorm
               computes matrix norms
% Usage:
    mat_norm(A, 1) returns the 1-norm of A
    mat_norm(A, 2) is the same as mat_norm(A)
    mat_norm(A, 'inf') returns the infinity-norm of A
    mat_norm(A, 'fro') returns the Frobenius norm of A
if j == 1
    X = max(sum(abs(A))); % largest value of the column sum
elseif j == 2
    t = A(:)'*A(:); % stores A^T A
    m = max(eig(t)); % finds the maximum eigenvalue
    X = sqrt(m);
elseif j == inf
    X = max(sum(abs(A), 2)); % largest value of the row sum
elseif j == fro
    t = A(:)'*A(:); % stores A^T A
    X = sqrt(sum(diag));
end
end
```

Problem 1 functions

Function X = backsub(A,B):

```
function X = backsub(A,B)
```

Function X = forelim(A,B):

Function invL = Itinverse(L):

```
function invL = ltinverse(L)
% LTINVERSE invL = ltinverse(L)
% Computes the inverse matrix of the lower triangular matrix.
% Input: L (lower triangular square matrix, n-by-n)
% Output: invL (soution of A*invL=I, n-by-n)

n = length(L); % number of columns(/rows)

I = zeros(n,n); % preallocate right-hand side identity matrix, n-by-n
```

Problem 3 function

function [L,U] = mylu(A)

```
function [L,U] = mylu(A)
% MYLU
       LU factorization
% Input: A (square matrix)
% Output: L (unit lower triangular)
          U (unit upper triangular, LU=A)
    n = length(A);
    L = eye(n); % ones on diagonal
    % Gaussian elimination
    for j = 1:n-1
        i = j+1:n;
        L(i,j) = A(i,j) / A(j,j); % row multiplier
        A(i,j:n) = A(i,j:n) - L(i,j)*A(j,j:n);
    end
     U = triu(A);
end
```

Problem 4 function

```
function detA = determinant(A)
% DETERMINANT detA = determinant(A)
% finds the determinant of an input matirx A
% Input: A (square matrix, n by n)
% Output: detA (scalar, determinant of A)

[~,U] = mylu(A); % gets the upper triangular matrix
% computes the cumulative product of U's diagonals
proU = cumprod(diag(U));
detA = proU(end,1); % gets the cumulative product
end
```