

ASSIGNMENT #1

- (1) Given \rightarrow i) $E \neq \emptyset$
ii) $E \subseteq S$ (S is an ordered set)
iii) α is a lower bound of E
iv) β is an upper bound of E

T.P. $\rightarrow \alpha \leq \beta$

Proof \rightarrow Let's assume, for contradiction that

$$\alpha > \beta \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

acc. to given (iii) \leftarrow (iv)

$$\forall \gamma \in E$$

$$\beta \geq \gamma \geq \alpha$$

$$\text{when } \begin{aligned} \beta &= \gamma \text{ and } \gamma = \alpha \\ \beta &= \alpha \end{aligned} \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

By eq. (1) & (2) we get,

$$\alpha > \alpha \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

$\because S$ is an ordered set and $E \subseteq S$

$\Rightarrow E$ is an ordered set

By ~~lemma~~, for an ordered set E

$\forall \alpha \in E$ $\alpha > \alpha$ does not exist.

Eq. (3) is impossible, proving our assumption wrong. Hence,

$$\underline{\alpha \leq \beta}$$

- (2) T.P. \rightarrow There are infinite prime numbers.

Proof \rightarrow Let's assume for contradiction that

there's a finite set of prime numbers
 $\{p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots, p_n\}$

let's take a new number M such that,
 $M = (p_1 \times p_2 \times p_3 \times \dots \times p_n) + 1$

M leaves remainder 1 when divided by $p_i \forall i \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$

which makes M a prime number as long as
 $M \neq 1$.

Since, 2 is a prime number

\Rightarrow set $\{p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots, p_n\}$ is not empty.

$$\{p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots, p_n\} \neq \phi$$

$\Rightarrow M$ is a prime number.

$$M > p_1, M > p_2, M > p_3, \dots, M > p_n$$

we can say that

$$M > p_i \quad \forall i \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\} \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

Eqⁿ. (1) contradicts our assumption that
 set $\{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, \dots, p_n\}$ is a finite set.

proving,

Hence, there are infinite prime numbers.

③ Given \rightarrow i) $A \neq \phi$

ii) A is a set of Real numbers.

iii) A is bounded below.

iv) $-A$ is a set of all numbers $-x \quad \forall x \in A$.

To prove $\rightarrow \inf(A) = -\sup(-A)$

Since A is bounded below and an ordered set.

Proof \rightarrow $\inf(A)$ is defined as

$$\forall x \in A \quad \text{if } x \geq \alpha \\ \text{then } \alpha = \inf(A)$$

We can say that

$$\forall x \in A \quad x \geq \inf(A) \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

① Suppose $x \in A$, then $-x \in -A$
 $\sup(-A)$ is defined as

$$\forall -x \in -A, \quad \boxed{-x \leq \sup(-A)} \\ \text{or} \\ x \geq -\sup(-A) \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

① By eqⁿ ① & ②, we get

$$x \geq \inf(A) \quad \text{and} \quad x \geq -\sup(-A)$$

$\because A$ is set of Real numbers.

$\Rightarrow A$ is an ordered set

this implies

$$\boxed{\inf(A) = -\sup(-A)}$$

④

To prove \rightarrow

$$|z_1 + z_2 + \dots + z_n| \leq |z_1| + |z_2| + \dots + |z_n|$$

Proof \rightarrow

Using property of Theorem 1.33 (e) of complex numbers on page 14 of ch-1 Rudin.

(e) $|z+w| \leq |z|+|w|$

test

$\therefore \bar{z}w$ is conjugate of $z\bar{w}$

$$z\bar{w} + \bar{z}w = 2\operatorname{Re}(z\bar{w})$$

where $\operatorname{Re}(z) = \text{Real part of the complex no. } z$.

$$\begin{aligned} |z+w|^2 &= (z+w)(\bar{z}+\bar{w}) = z\bar{z} + z\bar{w} + \bar{z}w + w\bar{w} \\ &= |z|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}(z\bar{w}) + |w|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$|z+w|^2 \leq |z|^2 + 2|z\bar{w}| + |w|^2 \left\{ \because 2|z\bar{w}| \geq 2\operatorname{Re}(z\bar{w}) \right\}$$

$$\leq |z|^2 + 2|z||w| + |w|^2$$

$$|z+w|^2 \leq (|z|+|w|)^2 \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

taking square root on both sides of (1)

$$|z+w| \leq (|z|+|w|) \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

Extending eqⁿ (2)

$$\text{let } z = |z_1 + z_2 + \dots + z_{n-1}|$$

$$w = |z_n|$$

thus using property (2)

$$|z_1 + z_2 + \dots + z_{n-1} + z_n| \leq |z_1 + z_2 + \dots + z_{n-1}| + |z_n|$$

$$|z_1 + z_2 + z_3 + \dots + z_{n-1} + z_n| \leq |z_1 + z_2 + \dots + z_{n-1}| + |z_n| \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

Hence, by applying property (2) over eqⁿ (3) recursively leads to

$$|z_1 + z_2 + z_3 + \dots + z_n| \leq |z_1| + |z_2| + \dots + |z_n|$$

⑤ Given :
 $A = \{x | x^2 + x \geq 1, x \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{Q}\}$

T.P. \rightarrow A does not have ^{greatest} lower bound in \mathbb{Q} .

Proof : \rightarrow let's check domain of A

$$x^2 + x \geq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad x \geq 0$$

$$x^2 + x - 1 \geq 0$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} x &\geq \frac{-1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \quad \text{or} \\ x &\leq \frac{-1 - \sqrt{5}}{2} \end{aligned} \right\} \text{ but } x \geq 0$$

so x cannot be $\frac{-1 - \sqrt{5}}{2}$

hence, $x \geq \frac{-1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}$

$$2x + 1 \geq \sqrt{5} \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

$\because x \in \mathbb{Q}$

$$2x + 1 \in \mathbb{Q}$$

which means left side of eq^y (1) is rational.
 So, right side of eq^y (1) must also be rational
 for equality to hold.

let's assume for contradictⁿ that $\sqrt{5}$ is a rational no.

do, $\sqrt{5} = \frac{p}{q}$ (where p, q does not share 5 as common factor and p and q are co-prime numbers.)

$$5 = \frac{p^2}{q^2}$$

$$5q^2 = p^2 \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

This means p^2 is divisible by 5

hence p is divisible by 5 --- (3)

$\Rightarrow p^2$ will also be divisible by 25

which implies that left side of 2 will also be divisible by 25.

$\Rightarrow q^2$ is also divisible by 5

$\Rightarrow q$ is divisible by 5 --- (4)

(3) & (4) contradicts the fact that in our assumptⁿ

p, q did not share a factor of 5 and are co-prime which implies that our assumptⁿ of $\sqrt{5}$ being rational was wrong.

hence, $\sqrt{5}$ is an irrational no.

$\Rightarrow \boxed{\frac{p}{q} \text{ is also irrational number}}$

we can also say that,

$$\sqrt{5} \notin \mathbb{Q}$$

let's assume set X of all positive rationals p such that

$$p^2 < 5$$

and let set Y of all positive rationals p such that $p^2 > 5$

Let's take a number q between p and $\sqrt{5}$

$$q = p - (p - \sqrt{5})$$
$$= p - \frac{(p - \sqrt{5})(p + \sqrt{5})}{(p + \sqrt{5})}$$

$$= p - \frac{(p^2 - 5)}{p + \sqrt{5}} \rightarrow A$$

\rightarrow dividing eqⁿ by $p + \sqrt{5}$ would make A more less

$$= p - \frac{(p^2 - 5)}{p + \sqrt{5}} \quad \text{--- (5)}$$

$$= \frac{p^2 + \sqrt{5}p - p^2 + 5}{p + \sqrt{5}}$$

$$q = \frac{5(p+1)}{p+\sqrt{5}} \quad \text{--- (6)}$$

\rightarrow squaring both sides

$$q^2 = \frac{25(p+1)^2}{(p+\sqrt{5})^2} \quad \rightarrow \text{subtracting } -5 \text{ both sides}$$

$$q^2 - 5 = \frac{25(p^2 + 1 + 2p) - 5(p^2 + 2\sqrt{5}p + 5)}{(p+\sqrt{5})^2}$$

$$= \frac{25p^2 + 25 + 50p - 5p^2 - 12.5\sqrt{5}p - 25}{(p+\sqrt{5})^2}$$

$$= \frac{20p^2 - 100}{(p+\sqrt{5})^2} \Rightarrow \frac{20(p^2 - 5)}{(p+\sqrt{5})^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow q^2 - 5 = \frac{20(p^2 - 5)}{(p+\sqrt{5})^2} \quad \text{--- (6)}$$

case-2

if p is in $X \rightarrow p^2 - 5 < 0$


Eqⁿ (5) shows that $q > p$ and

Eqⁿ (6) shows that $q^2 < 5 \Rightarrow q$ is also in A

Case 2

If p is in B then $p^2 > 5$
 $\{ \text{eq. (5)} \rightarrow 0 < q < p \text{ \& eq. (4) shows } q^2 > 5 \}$
 $\Rightarrow q$ is in B .

Acc. to case ① & case ② if an irrational number is there, you can find infinite rational/irrational numbers greater than that and infinite numbers smaller than that. — ⑦

$\sqrt{5}$  \rightarrow hole on real number line

\therefore we proved that $2x+1$ is not rational
 And acc. to eq. ⑦ irrational number i.e. $\sqrt{5}$ does not have any lower or upper bound.

~~set~~ A does not have any lower bound
 as

$$\forall x \in A \quad x \geq \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2} \quad \therefore \inf(A) = \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}$$

but $\sqrt{5}$ is an irrational number which
 $\therefore A$ does not have greatest lower bound in \mathbb{Q} . | does not have lower/upper bound.

$\Rightarrow \underline{\inf(A) \notin \mathbb{Q}}$