UNIVERSITY OF TORINO

M.Sc. in Stochastics and Data Science

Final dissertation

Thesis Title

Supervisor: Elena Cordero
Co-supervisor: Stefano Barbero

Candidate: Francesco Moraglio

ACADEMIC YEAR 2019/2020

Summary

Insert here a summary of your thesis

Acknowledgements

You can insert here possible thanks and acknowledgements

Contents

List of Tables

List of Figures

Chapter 1

Neural Cryptography 1: History

1.1 Pioneers of Neural Cryptography

1.2 Neural Networks in Cryptography: an interesting attempt

This section describes one of the first attempts in designing a neural network to be practically used in both cryptography and cryptoanalysis. It must be said that the results attained in Volná (2000), the paper to which I refer, are still quite rough. However, its importance lies in influencing subsequent works. (CITATIONS NEEDED!!!!!).

1.2.1 Genetic Algorithms in Neural Network Design

Main element in this research are feedforward neural nets with backpropagation, but the most interesting characteristic of Volna's approach is that it relies on EP (Evolutionary Programming): genetic algorithms are used for optimization of the designed NN topology. This is based on a previous work of the same author, that is Volná (1998).
The criterion of choice is the minimization of the sum of square of deviation of output from neural network. At first, the maximal architecture of the nets is proposed, then, at each step, to optimize the population it is necessary to solve the cryptographic problems of interest. Thereafter the process of genetic algorithms is applied. An optimal population is found either when it achieves the maximal generation or when fitness function achieves the maximal defined value.

At this point, it is required to complete the "best" architecture by adapting weights and hence three digits are generated for every connection coming out from a unit. If the connection does not exist, three zeroes are assigned, else weights are computed this way:

$$w_{i,j,k,l} = \eta[e_2(e_1 2^1 + e_0 2^0)], \tag{1.1}$$

where $w_{i,j,k,l} = w(x_{i,j}, x_{k,l})$ is the weight value between the j-th unit in the i-th layer and the l-th unit in the k-th layer and

$$\begin{aligned} \eta &= \text{learning parameter}; \quad \eta \in (0,1) \\ e_i &= \text{random digits} \quad (i = 0,1) \\ e_2 &= \text{sign bit}. \end{aligned}$$

Error between the desired and the real output is the computed and stored in the vector $\vec{E}$. On the basis of it, the algorithm computes the fitness precursor value $f_i^\star$, for each individual $i = 1, \ldots, N$, that is

$$f_i^\star = k_1(E_i)^2 + k_2(U_i)^2 + k_3(L_i)^2, \tag{1.2}$$

where k_j, $j = 1,2,3$ are fixed constants and

$$\begin{aligned} E_i &= \text{error for network } i \\ U_i &= \text{number of hidden units} \\ L_i &= \text{number of hidden layers}. \end{aligned}$$

The general fitness function f is then calculated as follows:

$$f_i = \begin{cases} k - (f_i^\star + k_5) & \text{if} \quad E_i > k_4 \\ k - f_i^\star & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In the above expressions, k, k_4 and k_5 also denote constants. The genetic algorithm used by Volná makes use of standard crossover and mutation procedures, as the ones described in the specific chapter. Here we omit details. Adaptation of the best found network architecture is finished with back-propagation.

1.2.2 Volná's experiment

In this work, the parameters of the adapted neural network become the key of an encryption/decryption algorithm. Topology of such NN clearly depends on the training set that, in Volná's case, is represented in table 1.1,

Plaintext			**Cyphertext**
Char	*ASCII Code*	*Bit String Representation*	*Bit String Representation*
a	97	00001	000010
b	982	00010	100110
c	99	00011	001011
d	100	00100	011010
e	101	00101	100000
f	102	00110	001110
g	103	00111	100101
h	104	01000	010010
i	105	01001	001000
j	106	01010	011110
k	107	01011	001001
l	108	01100	010110
m	109	01101	011000
n	110	01110	011100
o	111	01111	101000
p	112	10000	001010
q	113	10001	010011
r	114	10010	010111
s	115	10011	100111
t	116	10100	001111
u	117	10101	010100
v	118	10110	001100
w	119	10111	100100
x	120	11000	011011
y	121	11001	010001
z	122	11010	001101

Table 1.1: The training set.

while the chain of chars of the plain text is equivalent to a binary value, that is 96 less than its ASCII code. The cipher text is a randomly generated chain of bits. Thus, the decrypting neural network has six input units and five output ones, with an unspecified number of hidden units. Viceversa, the net that performs encryption has five input neurons and six output ones.
This encryption scheme is symmetric: it uses a single key for both encryption and decryption. It is interesting to notice that Volná, in his publication, thought that this feature was very bad for his encryption system, due to the popularity and goodness of asymmetric, non-neural cryptography. In fact, this model has many limits, but we'll see in next chapters that most modern (and secure) neurocryptographic protocols still are symmetric. Leaving

aside asymmetric protocols is indeed one of the main strengths of this new approach to cryptography.
Going back to the protocol, the key will include the adapted neural network parameters; that is its topology (architecture) and its configuration (the weight values on connections). Uniquely identifying the NN is hence equivalent to uniquely characterizing the encryption/decryption function.

1.3 The KKK Key Exchange Protocol

Hello smirnoff!

Chapter 2

Chapter title

2.1 Section title

Body of text, with unnumbered equations

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + \varepsilon$$

if not referenced, or numbered equations

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + \varepsilon \tag{2.1}$$

to be referenced like this (2.1) if needed.

Start of a new paragraph here, where you can have inline math $y = a+bx$.

2.2 Another section

Tex of the section with example of theorem

Theorem 2.2.1. *Example of theorem*

Proof. proof of the theorem □

to be referenced like Theorem 2.2.1.

Same for proposition

Proposition 2.2.2. *Example of proposition*

or lemma

Definition 2.2.3. Example of definition □

Remark 2.2.4. Example of remark □

Lemma 2.2.5. *Example of lemma*

Algorithm 1: Algorithm title

Data: $y_{t_j} = (y_{t_j,1}, \ldots, y_{t_j,m_{t_j}})$
Set parameters $\alpha = \theta P_0,\ \theta > 0,\ P_0 \in M_1(\mathbb{Y})$
Initialise
$y \leftarrow \emptyset,\ y^* = \emptyset,\ m \leftarrow 0,\ M \leftarrow 0,\ M \leftarrow \{0\},\ K_m \leftarrow 0,\ w_0 \leftarrow 1$
For $j = 0, \ldots, J$
Title set of instructions 1
read data y_{t_j}
$m \leftarrow m + \mathrm{card}(y_{t_j})$
$y^* \leftarrow$ distinct values in $y^* \cap y_{t_j}$
$K_m = \mathrm{card}(y^*)$
Title set of instructions 2
for $M \in M$
$n \leftarrow t(y_{t_j}, M)$
$w_n \leftarrow w_M\, \mathrm{PU}_\alpha(y_{t_j} \mid y)$
$M \leftarrow t(y_{t_j}, M)$
for $M \in M$
$w_M \leftarrow w_M / \sum_{\ell \in M} w_\ell$
$X_{t_j} \mid y, y_{t_j} \sim \sum_{M \in M} w_M \Pi_{\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^{K_m} m_i \delta_{y_i^*}}$
Return $y \leftarrow y \cup y_{t_j}$

Example of pseudo code of algorithm which is referred as Algorithm 1.

Example of table

Temperatura °C	Densità t/m^3
0	13,8
10	13,6
50	13,5
100	13,3

Table 2.1: Densità del mercurio. Si può fare molto meglio usando il pacchetto booktabs.

Items in the bibliography to be referenced like this Ethier and Kurtz (1986) and this Ethier and Kurtz (1981), check the different style for books and articles.

Abbreviations of Journal names can be found at this link
msc2010.org/MSC2010-CD/extras/serials.pdf

Bibliography

Ethier, S.N. and Kurtz, T.G. (1981). The infinitely-many-neutral-alleles diffusion model. *Adv. Appl. Probab.* **13**, 429–452.

Ethier, S.N. and Kurtz, T.G. (1986). *Markov processes: characterization and convergence.* Wiley, New York.

Mitchell, M. (1998). *An introduction to Genetic Algorithms.* MIT Press.

Volnà, E. (2000). *Using Neural Network in Cryptography.* University of Ostrava.

Volnà, E. (1998).*Learning algorithm which learns both architectures and weights of feedforward neural networks.* Neural Network World. Int. Journal on Neural and Mass-Parallel Compo and Inf. Systems.

Kanter, I., Kinzel, W. and Kanter, E. (2001). *Secure exchange of information by synchronization of neural networks.* Bar Ilan University.

Klimov, A., Mityagin, A. and Shamir, A. (2002). *Analysis of Neural Cryptography.* Weizmann Institute.

Abadi, M. and Andersen, D. G. (2016). *Learning to protect communications with Adversarial Neural Cryptography.* Google Brain.

Coutinho, M., Robson de Oliveira Albuquerque, R., Borges, F. , Villalba, L. J. G. and Kim T. H. (2018). *Learning Perfectly Secure Cryptography to Protect Communications with Adversarial Neural Cryptography.* University of Brasília.

Jayachandiran, K. (2018). *A Machine Learning Approach for Cryptanalysis.* Rochester Institute of Technology.

Gohr, A. (2019). *Improving Attacks on Round-Reduced Speck32/64 Using Deep Learning.* Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik (BSI).

NIELSEN, M. A. and CHUANG, I. L. (2000). *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information*. Cambridge University Press.

BERNSTEIN, D. J., BUCHMANN, J. and DAHMEN, E. (2009). *Post-Quantum Cryptography*. Springer.

SHI, J., CHEN, S., LU, Y., FENG, Y., SHI, R., YANG, Y. and LI, J. (2020). *An Approach to Cryptography Based on Continuous-Variable Quantum Neural Network*. Nature.