

Development of an open-source calibration framework for superconducting qubits

Elisa Stabilini

One of the challenges in gate-based quantum computing is achieving high-fidelity qubits for both single-qubit and two-qubit gate operations. In superconducting qubit platforms, maintaining high fidelity is fundamental for accurately executing quantum circuits and enabling scalable, fault-tolerant quantum computing. To achieve this, qubits must have sufficiently long coherence times to support multiple gate operations, while the implemented quantum gates must minimize errors as much as possible.

During my thesis work, conducted within the Qibo collaboration and specifically in the Qibocal and Qibolab groups, I focused on the study and development of calibration routines for the **Qibocal** library aimed at improving both single-qubit and two-qubit gate fidelities.

In chapter one I introduce the theoretical framework underlying this work, beginning with a description of Josephson junctions and their operation [1]. These nonlinear electronic elements enable the definition of a two-dimensional subspace that constitutes the qubit. The discussion then proceeds to early models of superconducting qubits, from Cooper Pair Boxes (CPBs) [2] to flux-tunable transmon qubits [3], and how these systems can be employed to implement single and two qubit gates. The final part of the chapter focuses on the mechanisms for qubit state readout and control, concluding with a discussion on the degradation of the qubit state over time.

Chapter 2 presents the experimental setup used for all measurements and protocols developed during this work. The experiments were conducted on the Contralto-D chip developed by QuantWare and operated at the Technology Innovation Institute (TII) in Abu Dhabi. Control and data acquisition were managed using the OPX100 platform provided by Quantum Machines. The chapter also includes a detailed description of the single-qubit calibration procedure performed with **Qibocal**. This procedure was initially applied to the D line of the chip and is summarized through key performance metrics, including readout and assignment fidelity, coherence times (T_1 and T_2), and an estimate of the average Clifford gate infidelity obtained via standard randomized benchmarking (RB). This benchmarking routine plays a central role, as it forms the basis for the optimization strategies discussed in the following chapter.

The first part of this project focused on optimizing the average Clifford gate fidelity, drawing inspiration from the ORBIT protocol (Optimized Randomized Benchmarking for Immediate Tune-up) introduced by Kelly et al. in 2014 [4]. The goal was to explore whether modern optimization techniques could be used to efficiently increase gate fidelity, starting from already well-calibrated configurations (above 90%), to values approaching 99.9%, while also assessing the robustness of these methods under realistic drift conditions.

Specifically, the optimization targeted three parameters: the amplitude and frequency of the microwave pulse implementing the $R_X(\pi)$ gate, and the DRAG correction parameter β , which scales the second quadrature component of a DRAG pulse [5]. Various optimization libraries were tested, including **Optuna** and **CMA-ES**, to evaluate their effectiveness and reliability.

The methods details and results, detailed in Chapter 3, show that meaningful improvements in gate fidelity are achievable. However, several limitations remain. The main bottleneck is the cost associated with the RB routine used to evaluate fidelity, which must be run multiple times during each optimization cycle. This makes the overall procedure time-consuming and difficult to scale. On the other hand, this work also demonstrates the potential of such approaches: with more advanced tools for qubit control, the automation of the recalibration process is not only feasible but also highly desirable, particularly in systems involving a large number of qubits.

Chapter 4 is dedicated to the second part of this work in cui I addressed specific practical needs emerging from experimental operations.

In particular, I introduced modifications to **Qibolab** to enable native support for the $R_X(\pi/2)$ gate. At the same time, I updated the corresponding calibration routines in **Qibocal**, which were originally designed for the $R_X(\pi)$ rotation, to support the independent calibration of this additional native gate. Prior to this addition, the $R_X(\pi/2)$ gate was typically implemented by halving the amplitude of a calibrated π -pulse. However, as shown in the first part

of this chapter, nonlinearities in the system, arising from the electronics or other components, introduce systematic errors when using this approximation. These errors lead to inaccurate estimates of the pulse parameters required to implement a true $R_X(\pi/2)$ rotation.

The inclusion of $R_X(\pi/2)$ as a native gate is important as such $\pi/2$ rotations are frequently used in quantum algorithms and benchmarking protocols. An inaccurate calibration would propagate systematic errors throughout the execution of quantum circuits, ultimately degrading their overall fidelity.

The second major result presented in this chapter is the implementation of a routine to correct distortions in flux pulses arising from imperfections in the control lines. Precise dynamical control of the qubit frequency is essential for the realization of high-fidelity single- and two-qubit gates. In superconducting qubits, this control is typically achieved by modulating the magnetic flux threading a SQUID loop. However, as the control signal propagates through various electronic components along the flux line, it is subject to linear dynamical distortions which can significantly degrade gate performance, compromising both the fidelity and repeatability of quantum operations.

To address this issue, we implemented the Cryoscope protocol, originally introduced by M. A. Rol in 2019 [6], which enables a time-domain characterization of these distortions and the design of appropriate pre-distorted control pulses. The application of these corrections improves the quality of flux-based quantum gate operations, as demonstrated, for example, in the results obtained from the chevron calibration routine in *Qibocal*. This routine plays a central role as it enables the calibration of native two-qubit gates such as CNOT and iSWAP, which are implemented through flux pulses and are particularly sensitive to distortions in the control signal.

This thesis work was devoted to investigating and integrating quantum optimal control tools within the *Qibocal* library. Although the first part of this project demonstrated that fully automated optimization of average Clifford gate fidelity is currently not practical on the TII hardware, these results highlight the potential of such techniques. Automatic optimization algorithms were shown to yield meaningful improvements, suggesting that future work could explore more advanced methods, such as machine learning approaches trained on emulators and later applied to real hardware.

Moreover, given that human intervention will likely remain an essential part of the calibration process for the foreseeable future, expanding the toolkit available to researchers remains a priority. Tools like Cryoscope, which enable high-precision flux pulse correction, are valuable additions in this regard. Future developments in *Qibocal* could include additional calibration routines, such as optimization of readout pulse parameters, implementation of active qubit reset, or techniques to minimize leakage outside the computational basis. These enhancements would further support the goal of achieving robust, high-fidelity quantum operations in increasingly complex superconducting qubit systems.

References

- [1] B.D. Josephson. “Possible new effects in superconductive tunnelling”. In: *Physics Letters* 1.7 (1962), pp. 251–253. ISSN: 0031-9163. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-9163\(62\)91369-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-9163(62)91369-0). URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0031916362913690>.
- [2] D. Vion et al. “Manipulating the quantum state of an electrical circuit”. In: *Science* 296.5569 (2002), pp. 886–889. DOI: 10.1126/science.1069372.
- [3] Jens Koch et al. “Charge insensitive qubit design derived from the Cooper pair box”. en. In: *Physical Review A* 76.4 (Oct. 2007). arXiv:cond-mat/0703002, p. 042319. ISSN: 1050-2947, 1094-1622. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.76.042319. URL: <http://arxiv.org/abs/cond-mat/0703002> (visited on 02/24/2025).
- [4] J. Kelly et al. “Optimal Quantum Control Using Randomized Benchmarking”. en. In: *Physical Review Letters* 112.24 (June 2014), p. 240504. ISSN: 0031-9007, 1079-7114. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.240504. URL: <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.240504> (visited on 02/24/2025).
- [5] F. Motzoi et al. “Simple Pulses for Elimination of Leakage in Weakly Nonlinear Qubits”. In: *Physical Review Letters* 103.11 (Sept. 2009). ISSN: 1079-7114. DOI: 10.1103/physrevlett.103.110501. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.110501>.
- [6] M. A. Rol et al. “Time-domain characterization and correction of on-chip distortion of control pulses in a quantum processor”. en. In: *Applied Physics Letters* 116.5 (Feb. 2020). arXiv:1907.04818 [quant-ph], p. 054001. ISSN: 0003-6951, 1077-3118. DOI: 10.1063/1.5133894. URL: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1907.04818> (visited on 02/24/2025).