

# Development of an open-source calibration framework for superconducting qubits

Master degree in Physics

---

**Candidate:**

Elisa Stabilini  
28326A

July 4th 2025

Università degli Studi di Milano - Department of Physics

---

**Supervisor:**

Prof. Dr. Stefano Carrazza

**Co-supervisors:**

Dr. Alessandro Candido

Dr. Andrea Pasquale


Dott. Edoardo Pedicillo



# Table of contents

1. Superconducting qubits
2. Average Clifford gate fidelity optimization
3. Library additions
4. Conclusions & Outlooks

# Qibo framework



# Superconducting qubits

---

# Artificial atoms

Qubit: two level system

Superconducting qubits: use Josephson Junctions to build anharmonic oscillators





Figure 1: DOI: 10.1109/MAP.2022.3176593

## State readout

Qubit - resonator hamiltonian:


$$\hat{H} = \hbar\omega_r \hat{a}\hat{a}^\dagger - \frac{\hbar\omega_{01}}{2} \hat{\sigma}_z + \hbar g (\hat{\sigma}^+ \hat{a} + \hat{\sigma}^- \hat{a}^\dagger)$$

Dispersive regime ( $g \ll \omega_q - \omega_r$ ):

$$\hat{H}_{disp} = \hbar(\omega_r - \chi \hat{\sigma}_z) \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} - \frac{\hbar}{2}(\omega_{01} + \chi) \hat{\sigma}_z$$

dispersive shift:  $\chi = \frac{g^2}{\Delta}$ ,

$$\Delta = \omega_q - \omega_r$$




**Figure 2:** DOI: 10.1109/MAP.2022.3176593

# Calibration

1. Resonator characterization
2. Qubit characterization
3. Gate calibration
4. Gate set characterization

Metrics: Fidelity,  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$




## Average Clifford gate fidelity optimization

---



# Randomized Benchmarking


Randomized benchmarking estimates average gate fidelity by applying random sequences of Clifford gates followed by an inverting gate.



**Figure 3:** DOI: 10.1007/s10773-024-05811-8

# Randomized Benchmarking

Randomized benchmarking estimates average gate fidelity by applying random sequences of Clifford gates followed by an inverting gate.



## RB optimization [Kelly et al. 2014]

## Library additions


---

Qibolab native gates:  $RX$ ,  $MZ$

# Flux pulse reconstruction [Rol et al. 2020]


Transmon flux dependence:

$$f_q(\Phi_q) \approx \left( \sqrt{8E_J E_C} \left| \cos \left( \pi \frac{\Phi_q}{\Phi_0} \right) \right| \right)$$




Detuning as function of the flux pulse:

$$\Delta f_R = \frac{1}{\Delta \tau} \int_{\tau}^{\tau + \Delta \tau} \Delta f_Q(\Phi_{Q, \tau + \Delta \tau}(t))$$







**Figure 4:** DOI: 10.1039/D2TC01258H

# Filter determination



1. Determine exponential correction
2. Obtain IIR filters from exponential correction
3. Determine FIR
4. Apply pre-distortion

# Impact on chevron plots







## Conclusions & Outlooks

---

Questions?

## References

---

-  Kelly, J. et al. (June 2014). **“Optimal Quantum Control Using Randomized Benchmarking”**. en. In: *Physical Review Letters* 112.24, p. 240504. ISSN: 0031-9007, 1079-7114. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.240504. URL: <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.240504> (visited on 02/24/2025).
-  Rol, M. A. et al. (Feb. 2020). **“Time-domain characterization and correction of on-chip distortion of control pulses in a quantum processor”**. en. In: *Applied Physics Letters* 116.5. arXiv:1907.04818 [quant-ph], p. 054001. ISSN: 0003-6951, 1077-3118. DOI: 10.1063/1.5133894. URL: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1907.04818> (visited on 02/24/2025).

## Backup slides

---

# What is for?

























- **Simulation of quantum system:**

"Nature isn't classical, dammit, and if you want to make a simulation of nature, you'd better make it quantum mechanical, and by golly it's a wonderful problem, because it doesn't look so easy"

- Optimization and modeling (chemistry, finance, traffic, weather...), eg. VQE, QAOA
- Quantum Algorithms
- Quantum Machine Learning



# Qubit platforms

	atoms	electron superconducting loops & controlled spin					photons	
								
	trapped ions	cold atoms	quantum annealing	superconducting	silicon	NV centers	topological	photons
vendors								
labs (*)								

©d Oliviertravry, October 2021

(cc) Olivier Ezratty, October 2021

# Standard Randomized Benchmarking protocol

## RB protocol

1. Initialize the system in the ground state
2. For each sequence length  $m$  draw a sequence of Clifford group elements
3. Calculate the inverse gate
4. Measure sequence and inverse gate
5. Repeat the process for multiple sequences of the same length while varying the length

## RB features

robust to SPAM errors

faster than state tomography

hardware-agnostic

# Clifford gates

- Special subset of quantum gates that map Pauli operators to Pauli operators under conjugation
- Clifford gates group is generated by  $H$ ,  $S$ ,  $CNOT$  gates
- Quantum circuits that consist of only Clifford gates can be efficiently simulated with a classical computer (Gottesman–Knill theorem)

**Hadamard (H)**



$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

**Phase (S, P)**



$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{bmatrix}$$

**Controlled Not (CNOT, CX)**



$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$