

Elad Ohayon

The proposed time complexity of the algorithm to solve the XenoHematology problem is estimated to be $O(n)$ with an interesting observation. This algorithm consists of a set containing the custom class, `IncompatibleTree` (not a tree :)), that holds 2 sets one to track compatibles and one to track incompatibles along with Sedgewick's Union Find. However, the set of `IncompatibleTree` isn't filled until we have data on members of the population, meaning the runtime is **dependent** on the amount of data we have, as we do more tests the run time converges to $O(n)$. Upon initialization, the array used for union-find must be filled with numbers $0 \dots \text{populationSize}-1$, making the constructor $O(n)$. Since Union find is implemented through a tree, all operations are $O(\log n)$ except the initialization. Going through the program method by method, the first method, `setIncompatible` has a runtime of $O(n)$. This method first preforms checks which are $O(1)$ for valid input, and $O(\log n)$ to determine whether `xeno1` and `xeno2` were previously set compatible through union find. Next, this method loops through the set of `IncompatibleTree` to determine whether we already have data on `xeno1` and `xeno2` which behaves at some fraction $*O(n)$ where with more data, the ratio converges to $1/2$ as we must look at more `IncompatibleTree`'s to locate `xeno1` and `xeno2`, but $0.5N$ pairs at most. If we have previous data on `xeno1` and `xeno2` the time complexity will be $O(n)$ with respect to the number of elements in each set since we merge `IncompatibleTrees`, else the complexity is $O(1)$ since simple `set.add()` is done. This program behaves at starting $O(1)$ (only if we have no data), jumps to some fraction $* O(n)$ and converges to $O(n)$, but since we don't care about constants the runtime is simply $O(n)$.

`AreIncompatible()` has the exact same behavior as `setIncompatible`. The program checks the input for $O(1)$, does a $O(\log n)$ check if the two numbers are compatible, and lastly loops through every instance of `IncompatibleTree` in the set to determine whether the 2 numbers are in the same `IncompatibleTree` meaning they are incompatible. Moving onto `setCompatible`, this function also has a runtime complexity of $O(1)$ (no data) jumps to fraction $*O(n)$ and converges to $O(n)$ as it must check if a pair was previously declared incompatible to prevent setting an incompatible pair compatible which is $O(n)$ at most, union the two values together which is $O(\log n)$. Lastly, `areCompatible` modifies the proper instance of `IncompatibleTree` to set `xeno1`'s incompatibles equal to `xeno2`'s Incompatibles, merging if necessary. This has the exact same logic as `setIncompatible` just that we change the set for compatibles instead making the runtime vary from fraction $* O(n)$ to $O(n)$ where we drop the constant.

Lastly, the method `areCompatible`, is the only one that runs in $O(\log n)$ time as it merely runs $O(1)$ checks to determine if the inputs are valid and then connected `xeno1` and `xeno2` to determine compatibility which is a $O(\log n)$ operation since it is implemented through a tree. Overall, the time complexity of this algorithm is $O(n)$ since all its methods converge to $O(n)$ `areCompatible`. However, **the cost to setup the algorithm is $O(n)$** for the union find and constants are dropped.