

1. In implementing Stack ADT, using which of the following data structure gives worst asymptotic runtime for push? (Assume we require to push at the end of list or array)

- A. **[Correct Answer]** **[Your Answer]** Singly linked list with head pointer only.
- B. All options provide the same runtime.
- C. Singly linked list with head and tail pointer.
- D. Array (size of array larger than possible elements in stack).
- E. Doubly linked list with head and tail pointer.

2. What is the result of executing the following code snippet?

Assume all required libraries are included and no compile-time/runtime errors occur.

```
int main() {
    list<int> myList;
    for (int i=1; i<6; i++)
        myList.push_back(i);

    for (list<int>::iterator it = myList.begin(); it != myList.end(); it++)
        *it = *it * 3;

    for (list<int>::iterator it = myList.begin(); it != myList.end(); it++)
        cout << *it << " ";

    return 0;
}
```

- A. 3 6 9 12
- B. **[Correct Answer]** **[Your Answer]** 3 6 9 12 15
- C. 1 2 3 4 5
- D. None of the other options is correct.
- E. 1 2 3 4

3. We have implemented the Stack ADT as an array. Every time the array is full, you resize the array creating a new array that can hold 3 elements more than the previous array and copy values over from the old array. What is the total running time for n pushes to the stack.

- A. $O(n)$.
- B. $1/3 * O(n)$.
- C. **[Correct Answer]** $O(n^2)$.
- D. **[Your Answer]** $O(\log n)$.
- E. $O(1)$.

4. Suppose we have implemented the Queue ADT as a singly-linked-list with head and tail pointers and no sentinels. Which of the following best describe the tightest running times for the functions enqueue and dequeue, assuming there are $O(n)$ items in the list, and that the front of the queue is at the head of the list?

- A. $O(1)$ for enqueue and $O(n)$ for dequeue.
- B. None of the options is correct
- C. $O(n)$ for enqueue and $O(1)$ for dequeue.
- D. **[Correct Answer]** **[Your Answer]** $O(1)$ for both.
- E. $O(n)$ for both.

5. Suppose `queue<int> q` contains 6 elements 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 (enqueued in that order). What is the result of executing the following code snippet? (Assume member function `front()` returns the value found at the front of the queue without removing it.)

```
for(int i = 1; i<7; i++){
    if(i%2==0) {
        q.enqueue(q.front());
        q.dequeue();
    }
}
```

- A. q remains the same.
- B. The elements q are reversed.
- C. The even numbers in q are reversed.
- D. **[Correct Answer]** **[Your Answer]** The front half of the original q is now at the back half.
- E. The odd numbers in q are reversed.