

Lecture 2: Exercise Solutions

MSE Algorithms - Metaheuristics



Solutions: Time Complexity of TSP Heuristics

Task 1: Time Complexity of TSP Heuristics

- a) *Nearest Neighbor* has time complexity $O(n^2)$, since there are $n-1$ cities that need to be added to the tour, and at each step the nearest neighbor (to the last city added) out of the j remaining cities has to be computed, which takes $O(j)$ (with $j = n-1, n-2, \dots, 2, 1$) for each city. So it's
 $O((n-1) + (n-2) + \dots + 2 + 1) = O(n^2)$.
- b) The *Pilot Method* with Nearest Neighbor has time complexity $O(n^4)$, since there are $n-1$ cities that need to be added to the tour, and at each step, the best partial tour so far has to be extended in j different ways by one of the j remaining cities each, and then using the Nearest Neighbor strategy. According to Subtask a) (with $j = n-1, n-2, \dots, 2$) we get
 $O((n-1) \cdot (n-2)^2) + O((n-2) \cdot (n-3)^2) + \dots + O(2 \cdot 1^2) = O(n^4)$.
- c) *Beam Search* has time complexity $O(B \cdot n^{k+1})$, since there are $n-1$ cities that need to be added to the tour. In each step, the B best partial tours so far are extended by k cities each, out of all the j remaining cities (with $j = n-2, n-3, \dots, k$). So it's
 $O(B \cdot (n-2)^k) + O(B \cdot (n-3)^k) + \dots + O(B \cdot k^k) = O(B \cdot n^{k+1})$.
Note, that this is an upper bound which is the more pessimistic, the larger k is chosen (in comparison to n).

Solutions: Performance of heuristics for TSP

Name	berlin52	bier127	tsp225	Pr1002	Pr2391	RI5915	reseau_suisse	sw24978
Simon Stuck stucks2@students.zhaw.ch	8283	123011	401196	276094	412763	615697	146088	920118
Will be updated periodically until end of semester...								
Samuel Beer – ZHAW			MSE Algorithms					3