

S1 Clone Tasks Assessment Brief

Key Information

Deadline	27 October – 9 November, 2025
Marking tutor	Mr. Oliver I C. Malapit
Contribution to overall module mark	50%

The Brief

This assessment tests your ability to write high-quality and responsive HTML/CSS. For each task, you must create a webpage based on the specification provided. The specification includes wireframes showing what the page should look like on different screen sizes, as well as a written statement with additional details. You must implement the specification as accurately as possible. However, the specifications are not comprehensive, and you will also be marked the quality of the design choices you make when specifics have not been given.

There are two tasks:

- **Task 1:** Responsive Layout and Typography
- **Task 2:** Advanced Layout

You must attempt both tasks to pass the assessment.

The tasks will predominately test your ability to implement responsive designs with CSS Media Queries and to implement complex layouts with CSS Grid and Flexbox. You can test your solutions on different screen sizes using the browser developer tools in your web browser.

In summary, you must ensure that your solutions:

- Match the specification as accurately as possible.
- Make good design decisions when the specification is incomplete.
- Respond well across all screen sizes.
- Make effective use CSS Media Queries, Flexbox, and Grid.
- Use CSS selectors, classes, and styling techniques efficiently.
- Use semantic HTML elements thoroughly.
- Are well commented and formatted.

The specifications, as well as any media assets required to create the page, are included in your GitHub repository.

Deliverables

The deliverables for this assignment are as follows:

- Your solution to each Clone Task. (A GitHub repository containing all HTML, CSS, and media assets required to view the webpage).

Submission

Please follow the submission instructions below. Work that is submitted incorrectly may not be accepted or could incur a points penalty.

For this assessment, you should ensure your solution for each task has been submitted to your GitHub repository. You must then submit the link of your repository to the submission portal.

Please adhere to the following method:

- Check your solutions are functioning as expected.
- Commit and push your solutions to your GitHub repository.
- Copy the link to your repository. (e.g. <https://github.com/web-dev/web-dev-ii-24-25-j-ponte>)
- Paste the link into the submission portal to confirm your submission.

Only code pushed to your GitHub repository before the assessment deadline will be marked. Ensure you give yourself enough time before your final push. If you are unsure, ask your tutor.

Marking Criteria

Each task will be marked out of 50. The overall mark is calculated by adding together the mark for each task. Submissions will be marked against the following criteria:

- **Quality (30%)** – Accuracy to specification and quality of design choices.
- **Technical Implementation (70%)** – Efficient use of HTML/CSS techniques. Use of code conventions (e.g. comments, indentation, kebab-case, etc) and GitHub (e.g. file structure, regular commits, etc).

Criteria	Description	Mark Range
Quality (30%) Accuracy to specification and quality of design choices.	Very little or no resemblance to the specification.	0 – 19 (Low Fail)
	Some resemblance to the specification, yet there are major errors. Key visual elements may be missing entirely or respond poorly between devices.	20 – 39 (Fail)
	A basic attempt includes all key visual elements, but with some major errors in their sizing, colour or position. Design may respond poorly between devices, but remains coherent across devices.	40 – 49 (Third)

	A fair attempt that responds well between devices. Most details in sizing, colour and position meet the specification, or else are chosen appropriately, but there is scope for refinement on some screen sizes.	50 – 59 (2:2)
	A good attempt that responds soundly between devices. Most details are accurate to the target design across devices, but there may be obscure or difficult to solve inaccuracies. Choices for unspecified details are consistent with the overall design.	60 – 69 (2:1)
	A very good attempt that is accurate across all devices. Much attention is paid to size, colour, position and spacing. Some obscure or difficult to solve details match the target design. Choices for unspecified details are compelling.	70 – 79 (First)
	An excellent attempt that is almost indiscernible from the target design. Care and attention have been given to obscure and unspecified details.	80 – 89 (High First)
	Flawless recreation of the target design that demonstrates excellent judgement. Beyond expectations for this level of study.	90 – 100 (Outstanding)
Technical Implementation (70%)	A very limited implementation that does not deploy critical techniques required for the	0 – 19 (Low Fail)

Efficient use of HTML/CSS techniques. Use of code conventions (e.g. comments, indentation, kebab-case, etc) and GitHub (e.g. file structure, regular commits, etc).	task, or is missing vital HTML/CSS, leading to an entirely flawed outcome.	
	A poor implementation that may deploy critical techniques required for the task, but with major errors. HTML elements may be misused. CSS rules may contain significant errors in the use of selectors or may omit expected properties entirely.	20 – 39 (Fail)
	A basic implementation that deploys the critical techniques required for the task, but with modest results. Appropriate HTML elements are used, but some may not be semantic. Use of CSS selectors and properties are largely appropriate, but major refinement is needed. There is likely several instances of code duplication. Repository presentation and/or use of coding conventions may be substandard.	40 – 49 (Third)
	A fair implementation that successfully deploys the critical techniques required for the task, but may have scope for refinement. Semantic HTML elements are used with little or no error. Use of CSS styling techniques is appropriate, but some refinement in the use of selectors, properties, and classes/IDs is needed. There may be several instances of	50 – 59 (2:2)

	code duplication. Repository presentation and use of coding conventions is fair.	
	A good technical implementation that deploys the critical techniques required for the task well. HTML elements are semantic throughout. CSS rules use well chosen selectors and properties. There are minor instances of code duplication or opportunities for refinement. Repository presentation and use of coding conventions feature only minor errors.	60 – 69 (2:1)
	A very good technical implementation that skilfully deploys the critical techniques required for the task in combination with other techniques, demonstrating an understanding of the industry-standard. HTML and CSS is well-structured, though there are likely some minor errors or scope for refinement. Repository presentation and use of coding conventions are to a high standard.	70 – 79 (First)
	An excellent technical implementation that deploys the critical techniques required for the task to an industry standard. HTML and CSS is deployed in an efficient and well-structured manner. There are few errors, if any. Repository presentation and use of coding conventions are to a very high standard.	80 – 89 (High First)

	Beyond expectations for this level of study.	90 – 100 (Outstanding)
--	--	---------------------------

Intended Learning Outcomes (ILOs)

ILO	Assessed
The application of HTML5, CSS and JavaScript to deliver interactive and responsive web content suitable for key device types.	✓
An ability to implement web applications that conform to contemporary web design conventions (semantic markup, accessibility, SEO).	✓
Successful deployment of a range of strategies for testing, troubleshooting and debugging responsive and interactive web projects.	✓
An ability to document the successes/limitations of an original build and identify personal learning opportunities for improving web development skills.	

Mark penalties may be applied to late submissions without prior approval of an extension. Please ensure that you prepare and submit your work in good time to allow for any issues that may arise.