

Why are the images produced by pdfimages different when using the - all flag?

Asked 3 years, 5 months ago Active 8 days ago

tive 8 days ago Viewed 4k times

Testing some basic edits with Foxit Reader, in Linux Ubuntu, in this file. Here's some text. I've also done some highlighting and stuff.



It's my understanding that pdfimages -all extracts images from PDFs in their native formats.



Therefore, I expected that the JPG (lossy) images extracted from that command would have the same pixel information as the .ppm and .pbm files produced without the __all option, as well as the PNG (lossless) files created when I right-click and save the image in Evince.



However, my use of the ImageMagick compare command tells me that there are differences in the images contained within the JPG files compared to the other options above. To reproduce, download the PDF in this link (https://fccid.io/document.php?id=2149405), use it as an argument for pdfimages and pdfimages -all and use the first .ppm file and the first .jpg file as arguments for compare . When I do this, it produces an image file containing red to indicate a difference in the images.

Is there something that I don't understand? Is pdfimages adding pixel information by default when it creates .ppm and .pbm files?

command-line

ndf

image-processing

imagemagick

edited Nov 1 at 17:15



53.9k • 15 • 150 • 253

asked May 24 '16 at 5:51



Orion751 38 • 1 • 5

- Just how much difference is there between these images? Can you supply examples? John1024 May 24
- '16 at 6:38
- @John1024 I'm trying to get images displaying the problem, but the PNG's seem to be too large for Stack
- Overflow/Imgur. Orion751 May 24 '16 at 22:30
- @John1024 Would a link to a PDF source be of any help? To reproduce, download it, use it as an
- argument for pdfimages and pdfimages -all and use the first .ppm file and the first .jpg file as arguments for compare. When I do this, it produces an image file containing red to indicate a difference in the images fccid.io/document.php?id=2149405 Orion751 May 25 '16 at 3:36
- I tried that and saw some red. I also tried using convert to convert a jpg to ppm (no pdf involvement)
- and then running compare on the two; I still got differences. It might be that there are some roundingerror issues with the conversion process that convert detects. – John1024 May 25 '16 at 7:18
- @John1024 Are you suggesting that these rounding-error issues may also apply to pdfimages -all?
- Prior to using a newer version of pdfimages for the -all flag, I was able to use convert on the example to convert it from .ppm to .png without getting red, but I also got red when I tried converting to .jpg with it. Orion751 May 26 '16 at 17:04

1 Answer



pdfimages -all returns the exact file that was stored in the pdf.



We can test this by doing a round-trip: starting with a jpg image, we add it to a pdf using LaTeX, extract it using pdfimages -all, and then compare it to the original. (The reason for using LaTeX will be explained later.)



I have the first jpg image as extracted from your link and I named it device.jpg. Let's put it in a PDF file using LaTeX:

```
$ cat img.tex
\documentclass{article}
\usepackage{graphicx}
\begin{document}
\includegraphics[width=5in, keepaspectratio]{device}
\end{document}
$ pdflatex img
[...snip...]
Output written on img.pdf (1 page, 672455 bytes).
Transcript written on img.log.
```

Now, let's extract it using pdfimages -all and compare it with the original:

```
$ pdfimages -all img.pdf img-all
$ cmp device.jpg img-all-000.jpg
$
```

The extracted jpg is *byte-for-byte identical* to the original.

Footnote: the reason for using LaTeX

The above test cannot be done using just any PDF creator. This is because not all PDF creators will put images into a PDF unmolested. For example, let's try ImageMagick's convert:

```
$ convert device.jpg device.pdf
$ pdfimages -all device.pdf device-all
$ cmp device.jpg device-all-000.jpg
device.jpg device-all-000.jpg differ: byte 4, line 1
```

convert re-sampled the image to a smaller size before placing it in the pdf.

```
$ ls -1s device.jpg device-all-000.jpg
528 device-all-000.jpg
656 device.jpg
```

Image accuracy was part of pdflatex's design goals. Other PDF creation software may, by default, "optimize" images before placing them in the PDF.

answered May 27 '16 at 19:40

