

High Energy Analysis at KamLAND and Application to Dark Matter Search

Michinari Sakai

University of Hawaii, Manoa

michinar@hawaii.edu

July 20, 2015

Overview

Introduction

Neutrino directionality

Issues

Idea

Validation


Track reconstruction and particle discrimination

Algorithm


Validation

Search for dark matter


KamLAND: ν detector in Japan



KamLAND: ν detector in Japan



KamLAND: ν detector in Japan



KamLAND: features

- ▶ Commissioned: 2001
- ▶ Medium: liquid scintillator
- ▶ Size: 1 kt
- ▶ Photomultiplier tubes (Hamamatsu):
 - ▶ 1325 17-inch, 7 ns rise-time
 - ▶ 779 20-inch, 10 ns rise-time
- ▶ Analysis: $\sim \text{MeV } \bar{\nu}_e$ (inverse-beta decay)
- ▶ Energy resolution: $7.0 \pm 0.1\% / \sqrt{E(\text{MeV})}$
- ▶ Vertex resolution: $13.8 \pm 2.3 \text{ cm}$

KamLAND: features


- ▶ Commissioned: 2001
- ▶ Medium: liquid scintillator
- ▶ Size: 1 kt
- ▶ Photomultiplier tubes (Hamamatsu):
 - ▶ 1325 17-inch, 7 ns rise-time
 - ▶ 779 20-inch, 10 ns rise-time
- ▶ Analysis: $\sim \text{MeV } \bar{\nu}_e$ (inverse-beta decay)
- ▶ Energy resolution: $7.0 \pm 0.1\% / \sqrt{E(\text{MeV})}$
- ▶ Vertex resolution: $13.8 \pm 2.3 \text{ cm}$
- ▶ Directional sensitivity: thought to be **NONE**

KamLAND: features

- ▶ Commissioned: 2001
- ▶ Medium: liquid scintillator
- ▶ Size: 1 kt
- ▶ Photomultiplier tubes (Hamamatsu):
 - ▶ 1325 17-inch, 7 ns rise-time
 - ▶ 779 20-inch, 10 ns rise-time
- ▶ Analysis: $\sim \text{MeV } \bar{\nu}_e$ (inverse-beta decay)
- ▶ Energy resolution: $7.0 \pm 0.1\% / \sqrt{E(\text{MeV})}$
- ▶ Vertex resolution: $13.8 \pm 2.3 \text{ cm}$
- ▶ Directional sensitivity: thought to be **NONE**
- ▶ No analysis at higher energies

Directionality in water


Super-Kamiokande



► Cherenkov ring

Directionality in water


Super-Kamiokande



- ▶ Cherenkov ring
- ▶ shows charged particle direction


Directionality in water

Super-Kamiokande




- ▶ Cherenkov ring
- ▶ shows charged particle direction
- ▶ Can we do something similar in scintillator?


In scintillator...



In scintillator...




In scintillator...




- ▶ Cherenkov is emitted

In scintillator...



- ▶ Cherenkov is emitted
- ▶ Along with isotropic scintillation


In scintillator...



- ▶ Cherenkov is emitted
- ▶ Along with isotropic scintillation
- ▶ \Rightarrow Cannot simply use Cherenkov for directionality


Furthermore...

Inverse-beta decay



Furthermore...


Inverse-beta decay



- ▶ KamLAND is used to see simple kinematics at low energies (\sim MeV)

Furthermore...


Inverse-beta decay




- ▶ KamLAND is used to see simple kinematics at low energies (\sim MeV)
- ▶ Single final-state lepton

But at higher energies, the kinematics is not so simple


Resonance production




Deep inelastic scattering




Many photons at high energy in scintillator




Hit time vs energy
(data)




Many photons at high energy in scintillator




Hit time vs energy
(data)



Many photons at high energy in scintillator




Hit time vs energy
(data)




- ▶ Fitters must to be robust against these statistical outliers

Many photons at high energy in scintillator




Hit time vs energy (data)



- ▶ Fitters must to be robust against these statistical outliers
- ▶ Or we can just use LAPPDs!

Direction reconstruction technique


Fit direction with **charge** and **time**



Idea: John Learned

Direction reconstruction technique

Fit direction with charge and time




- ▶ Use center of charge to fit middle of track

Idea: John Learned

Direction reconstruction technique

Fit direction with charge and time




- ▶ Use center of charge to fit middle of track
- ▶ Use center of time to fit near end of track

Idea: John Learned

Direction reconstruction technique

Fit direction with charge and time



- ▶ Use center of charge to fit middle of track
- ▶ Use center of time to fit near end of track
- ▶ And just connect dots to find direction!

Idea: John Learned

Question:

Question:


- ▶ But, what do we use for the weights in the **weighted mean**:

$$\frac{\sum_i w_i x_i}{\sum_i w_i}$$


when calculating center of charge and time?

Let's review some basic
physics...

What weight is used for *center of gravity*?




What weight is used for *center of gravity*?



To find center of gravity:

$$\text{net torque} = -(m_1g)l_1 + (m_2g)l_2 = 0$$


What weight is used for *center of gravity*?



To find center of gravity:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{net torque} &= -(m_1g)l_1 + (m_2g)l_2 = 0 \\ \implies -(m_1)l_1 + (m_2)l_2 &= 0\end{aligned}$$

What weight is used for *center of gravity*?




To find center of gravity:

$$\text{net torque} = -(m_1g)l_1 + (m_2g)l_2 = 0$$

$$\implies -(m_1)l_1 + (m_2)l_2 = 0$$

\therefore weight is **mass**: $w_i = m_i$

What weight is used for *center of charge*?




$$q_1 \propto \frac{1}{l_1^2}, \quad q_2 \propto \frac{1}{l_2^2}$$

$$\implies \sqrt{q_1} \propto \frac{1}{l_1}, \quad \sqrt{q_2} \propto \frac{1}{l_2}$$

$$\implies -(\sqrt{q_1})l_1 + (\sqrt{q_2})l_2 = 0$$

\therefore weight is **charge**: $w_i = \sqrt{q_i}$

What weight is used for *center of time*?



$$\text{Let } \Delta t_i \equiv t_i - t_0$$

$$\implies \Delta t_1 = \frac{l_1}{c}, \quad \Delta t_2 = \frac{l_2}{c}$$


$$\implies -\left(\frac{1}{\Delta t_1}\right)\frac{l_1}{c} + \left(\frac{1}{\Delta t_2}\right)\frac{l_2}{c} = 0$$

$$\implies -\left(\frac{1}{\Delta t_1}\right)l_1 + \left(\frac{1}{\Delta t_2}\right)l_2 = 0$$


\therefore weight is **inverse of time**: $w_i = \frac{1}{\Delta t_i}$

Test algorithm against μ (Data)




Cosmic ray μ



Agreement with μ -fitter
which uses
entry/exit points



Test algorithm against ν (MC)



Legend:

- 1σ of reconstructed angle from ν direction
- 1σ of lepton angle from ν direction

Test algorithm against T2K events (Data)


(Selected with spill-time so no backgrounds)

Map



Agreement with J-PARC

direction




Test algorithm against T2K events (Data)

(Selected with spill-time so no backgrounds)

Map



Agreement with MC



Track Reconstruction and Particle ID

Hellgartner's algorithm

(former LENA grad student)

$$h(\vec{x}, t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{PMT}}} \Theta(q_i - q_{\text{threshold}}) \sum_{j=1}^{N_{\gamma}} f(t_{ij} - t_i^{\text{TOF}}, t)$$

N_{PMT} : number of PMTs

N_{γ} : number of photon hits to count per PMT

q_i : charge on i -th PMT, $q_{\text{threshold}}$: minimum charge for analysis


t_{ij} : j -th hit time on i -th PMT

t_i^{TOF} : expected time-of-flight between i -th PMT and \vec{x}

$$f(\Delta t, t) \propto (t - \Delta t) \exp \left[-\frac{(\Delta t - t)^2}{2\sigma_{\text{tts}}} \right]$$


Figure of merit for each test point in space $= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |h(\vec{x}, t)|^2 dt$

Test Hellgartner on double 1 GeV muons (MC)




Dominikus Hellgartner

Test Hellgartner on 2 GeV ν_e (MC)




Test Hellgartner on T2K events (Data)

($E_{\text{reconstructed}} = 363 \text{ MeV}$)



Test lepton discrimination (MC)

(Using track ellipticity)





Search for dark matter


Dark matter detection scheme

Signal: Dark matter (WIMP)
annihilation induced ν

Background: atmospheric ν



Earth Model (PREM)





Neutrino Oscillation Parameters

(normal hierarchy, PDG 2014)


- ▶ $\sin^2(2\theta_{12}) = 0.846 \pm 0.021$
 $\implies \theta_{12} = 33.45^\circ$
- ▶ $\sin^2(2\theta_{13}) = (9.3 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-2}$
 $\implies \theta_{13} = 8.88^\circ$
- ▶ $\sin^2(2\theta_{23}) = 0.999^{+0.001}_{-0.018}$
 $\implies \theta_{23} = 44.09^\circ$
- ▶ $\Delta m_{21}^2 = 7.53 \pm 0.18 \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}$
- ▶ $\Delta m_{31}^2 = 2.52 \pm 0.06 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}$

$1 \text{ GeV } \nu_e$ oscillation probability $P(\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_x)$ from inside Earth to KamLAND




Dark matter capture in Earth vs mass m_x

(Spin-independent cross-section $\sigma_{\text{SI}} = 1 \times 10^{-40} \text{ cm}^2$)




High energy (\gtrsim GeV) calibration

Cosmic ray μ
traversing scintillator




$\frac{dQ}{dx}$ [p.e./MeV] (data)




High energy (\gtrsim GeV) calibration


Cosmic ray μ
traversing scintillator




$\frac{dQ}{dx}$ [p.e./MeV] (data)



Fit $\frac{dE}{dx}$ for μ in scintillator (MC)




Fit $\frac{dE}{dx}$ for μ in scintillator (MC)



- ▶ Peak is stable across huge energy range!

Fit $\frac{dE}{dx}$ for μ in scintillator (MC)



- ▶ Peak is stable across huge energy range!
- ▶ ⇒ Use **peak** instead of mean for calibration

Data selection

- ▶ Live time: 3671 days (\sim 10 years)

Data selection

- ▶ Live time: 3671 days (\sim 10 years)
- ▶ Event selection criteria:

Data selection

- ▶ Live time: 3671 days (\sim 10 years)
- ▶ Event selection criteria:
 - ▶ Fully contained events

Data selection


- ▶ Live time: 3671 days (~ 10 years)
- ▶ Event selection criteria:
 - ▶ Fully contained events
 \implies Outer detector PMT hits < 5

Data selection


- ▶ Live time: 3671 days (~ 10 years)
- ▶ Event selection criteria:
 - ▶ Fully contained events
 - \implies Outer detector PMT hits < 5
 - ▶ $E_{\text{reconstructed}} > 1 \text{ GeV}$ (predicted by theory)

Reconstructed Vertex

$E_{\text{reconstructed}} > 30 \text{ MeV}$




$E_{\text{reconstructed}} > 1 \text{ GeV}$




Legend:

- 5.2 m radius fiducial volume cut
- 6.5 m radius balloon edge


Fit data to background model



Vertex χ^2_{time} (test of event point-likeness)



Vertex χ^2_{time} (test of event point-likeness)




- ▶ Reject events that are too elongated in shape (most likely μ)


Fit data to background model

(with $\chi_T^2 < 3.32$ cut)

No χ_T^2 cut




$\chi_T^2 < 3.32$




Fit data to background model

(with $\chi_T^2 < 3.32$ cut)

No χ_T^2 cut



$\chi_T^2 < 3.32$



Event rate equation


$$\text{rate}_{\text{signal}} = \Gamma_A \times \sum_{\substack{\text{channel} = i \\ \nu-\text{flavor} = \alpha}} \left[B_i \int dE_\alpha \frac{dN_{i,\alpha}}{dE_\alpha} \frac{\sigma_{\text{effective}}(E_\alpha)}{4\pi R_{\text{Earth}}^2} \right]$$

$$\begin{cases} \Gamma_A = \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_C & (\chi\bar{\chi} \text{ annihilation rate at equilibrium}) \\ \Gamma_C = \sigma_{\chi-\text{nucleon}} C_0 & (\chi \text{ capture rate}) \\ E_\alpha & (\text{energy of neutrino for flavor } \alpha) \\ N_{i,\alpha} & (\text{neutrino yield of flavor } \alpha \text{ per annihilation for channel } i) \\ \sigma_{\text{effective},\alpha}(E_\alpha) & (\text{effective detector cross-section}) \\ R_{\text{Earth}} & (\text{Earth radius}) \end{cases}$$

bound on $\text{rate}_{\text{signal}}$ \implies bound on $\sigma_{\chi-\text{nucleon}}$

WIMP σ_{SI} bounds

(90 % C.L.)



Summary

- ▶ Developed and tested **directionality** and **track reconstruction** techniques for high energy ν in scintillator.
- ▶ Studied **lepton flavor discrimination** algorithms in scintillator.
- ▶ Studied **high-energy calibration** using cosmic ray μ .
- ▶ Placed bounds on **dark-matter-nucleon cross-sections** by looking at annihilation induced ν from Earth's core.
- ▶ One of **first physics application** of ν directionality in scintillator.

Thank you for listening!

Backup slides

KamLAND: features

- ▶ Commissioned: 2001
- ▶ Medium: liquid scintillator
 - ▶ Decay constants: $\tau_1 = 4.0 \text{ ns}$, $\tau_2 = 8.6 \text{ ns}$
- ▶ Size: 1 kt
- ▶ Photomultiplier tubes (Hamamatsu):
 - ▶ 1325 17-inch, 7 ns rise-time, 3.5 ns TTS
 - ▶ 779 20-inch, 10 ns rise-time, 5.5 ns TTS
 - ▶ 34 % photocathode coverage
- ▶ Analysis: $\sim \text{MeV } \bar{\nu}_e$ (inverse-beta decay)
- ▶ Energy resolution: $7.0 \pm 0.1 \% / \sqrt{E(\text{MeV})}$
- ▶ Vertex resolution: $13.8 \pm 2.3 \text{ cm}$
- ▶ Directional sensitivity: thought to be **NONE**
- ▶ No analysis at higher energies