Points in Time

•0000

## L08 Early Detection of Misconfiguration

#### Markus Raab

Institute of Information Systems Engineering, TU Wien

19.05.2021

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons "Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International" license.



### Points in Time

- Points in Time
- 2 Push vs. Pull

- Recapitulation
- Talks
- Assignments
- Preview

## **Learning Outcomes**

Points in Time

#### Students will be able to

- recall points of time relevant in configuration management.
- remind some arguments about pull vs. push.
- remember various strategies for earlier reduction of misconfiguration.

From the application's perspective:

Implementation-time: Configuration accesses are hard-coded in the source code. For example, architectural decisions [1] lead to implementation-time settings.

From the application's perspective:

Implementation-time: Configuration accesses are hard-coded in the source code. For

example, architectural decisions [1] lead to implementation-time

settings.

Compile-time: Configuration accesses are resolved by the build system while

compiling.

From the application's perspective:

Implementation-time: Configuration accesses are hard-coded in the source code. For

example, architectural decisions [1] lead to implementation-time

settings.

Compile-time: Configuration accesses are resolved by the build system while

compiling.

Deployment-time: Configuration accesses are while the software is installed.

Points in Time

From the application's perspective:

Implementation-time: Configuration accesses are hard-coded in the source code. For

example, architectural decisions [1] lead to implementation-time

settings.

Compile-time: Configuration accesses are resolved by the build system while

compiling.

Deployment-time: Configuration accesses are while the software is installed.

Load-time: Configuration accesses are during the start of applications.

From the application's perspective:

Implementation-time: Configuration accesses are hard-coded in the source code. For

example, architectural decisions [1] lead to implementation-time

settings.

Compile-time: Configuration accesses are resolved by the build system while

compiling.

Deployment-time: Configuration accesses are while the software is installed.

Load-time: Configuration accesses are during the start of applications.

Run-time: Configuration accesses are during execution after the startup

procedure.

#### Viewpoint

Points in Time

Different viewpoint: now from configuration management perspective.

Phases when we can detect misconfigurations:

• Compilation stage in configuration management tool

#### Viewpoint

Different viewpoint: now from configuration management perspective.

Phases when we can detect misconfigurations:

- Compilation stage in configuration management tool
- Writing configuration settings on nodes

#### Viewpoint

Points in Time

Different viewpoint: now from configuration management perspective.

Phases when we can detect misconfigurations:

- Compilation stage in configuration management tool
- Writing configuration settings on nodes
- Starting applications (load-time)

#### Viewpoint

Points in Time

Different viewpoint: now from configuration management perspective.

Phases when we can detect misconfigurations:

- Compilation stage in configuration management tool
- Writing configuration settings on nodes
- Starting applications (load-time)
- When configuration setting is actually used (run-time)
  - $\rightarrow \ \, \text{Latent Misconfiguration}$

#### Viewpoint

Points in Time

Different viewpoint: now from configuration management perspective.

Phases when we can detect misconfigurations:

- Compilation stage in configuration management tool
- Writing configuration settings on nodes
- Starting applications (load-time)
- When configuration setting is actually used (run-time)
  - → Latent Misconfiguration

#### Problem

Earlier versus more context.

Meeting

## L08 Early Detection of Misconfiguration

#### Markus Raab

Institute of Information Systems Engineering, TU Wien

19.05.2021

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons "Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International" license.



- Points in Time
- 2 Push vs. Pull
- 3 Early Detection
- 4 Meeting
  - Recapitulation
  - Talks
  - Assignments
  - Preview

• Push is more interactive.

- Push is more interactive.
- Push cannot do its job if nodes are not reachable.

- Push is more interactive.
- Push cannot do its job if nodes are not reachable.
- Push needs additional techniques to scale with many nodes.

- Push is more interactive.
- Push cannot do its job if nodes are not reachable.
- Push needs additional techniques to scale with many nodes.
- Push demands access to servers from a single server.

- Push is more interactive.
- Push cannot do its job if nodes are not reachable.
- Push needs additional techniques to scale with many nodes.
- Push demands access to servers from a single server.
- Pull needs additional monitoring to know when a patch has been applied.

- Push is more interactive.
- Push cannot do its job if nodes are not reachable.
- Push needs additional techniques to scale with many nodes.
- Push demands access to servers from a single server.
- Pull needs additional monitoring to know when a patch has been applied.
- Pull needs resources even if nothing is to do.

Points in Time

- Push is more interactive.
- Push cannot do its job if nodes are not reachable.
- Push needs additional techniques to scale with many nodes.
- Push demands access to servers from a single server.
- Pull needs additional monitoring to know when a patch has been applied.
- Pull needs resources even if nothing is to do.

#### Task

Do you prefer push or pull? What does your CM tool of choice use?

Points in Time

### L08 Early Detection of Misconfiguration

#### Markus Raab

Institute of Information Systems Engineering, TU Wien

19.05.2021

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons "Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International" license.



## Early Detection

- Points in Time
- 2 Push vs. Pull
- 3 Early Detection
- 4 Meeting
  - Recapitulation
  - Talks
  - Assignments
  - Preview

• 12% - 39% configuration settings are not used at all during the application's startup procedure.

- 12% 39% configuration settings are not used at all during the application's startup procedure.
- Applications often have latent misconfigurations (14% 93%).

- 12% 39% configuration settings are not used at all during the application's startup procedure.
- Applications often have latent misconfigurations (14 % − 93 %).
- Latent misconfigurations are particular severe (75 % of high-severity misconfigurations).

- 12 % − 39 % configuration settings are not used at all during the application's startup procedure.
- Applications often have latent misconfigurations (14% 93%).
- Latent misconfigurations are particular severe (75 % of high-severity misconfigurations).
- Latent misconfiguration needs longer to diagnose.

Using checkers as plugins exclude whole classes of errors such as:

• Invalid file paths using the plugin "path".

Points in Time

Using checkers as plugins exclude whole classes of errors such as:

- Invalid file paths using the plugin "path".
- Invalid IP addresses or host names using the plugins "network" or "ipaddr".

Points in Time

Using checkers as plugins exclude whole classes of errors such as:

- Invalid file paths using the plugin "path".
- Invalid IP addresses or host names using the plugins "network" or "ipaddr".

Because the checks occur before the resources are actually used, the checks are subject to race conditions.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>For example, a path that was present during the check, can have been removed when the application tries to access it.

Points in Time

Using checkers as plugins exclude whole classes of errors such as:

- Invalid file paths using the plugin "path".
- Invalid IP addresses or host names using the plugins "network" or "ipaddr".

Because the checks occur before the resources are actually used, the checks are subject to race conditions.<sup>1</sup>

In some situations facilities of the operating system help<sup>2</sup>, in others we have fundamental problems.<sup>3</sup>

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ For example, a path that was present during the check, can have been removed when the application tries to access it.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>For example, we open the file during the check and pass /proc/<pid>/fd/<fd> to the application. This file cannot be unlinked, but unfortunately the file descriptor requires resources.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>For example, if the host we want to reach has gone offline after validation.

# Example [2]

Squid uses diskd\_program but not before requests are served. Latent misconfiguration caused 7h downtime and 48h diagnosis effort.

# Example [2]

Squid uses diskd\_program but not before requests are served. Latent misconfiguration caused 7h downtime and 48h diagnosis effort.

#### **Finding**

Configuration from all externals programs need to be checked, too.

### Conclusion

• provide external specifications for other tooling and configuration management

### Conclusion

- provide external specifications for other tooling and configuration management
- use code generation to keep internal specifications consistent with external specifications (e.g. for refactoring)

- provide external specifications for other tooling and configuration management
- use code generation to keep internal specifications consistent with external specifications (e.g. for refactoring)
- implement checkers as plugins

#### Conclusion

- provide external specifications for other tooling and configuration management
- use code generation to keep internal specifications consistent with external specifications (e.g. for refactoring)
- implement checkers as plugins
- execute checkers as early as possible, also for external programs executed later

#### Conclusion

- provide external specifications for other tooling and configuration management
- use code generation to keep internal specifications consistent with external specifications (e.g. for refactoring)
- implement checkers as plugins
- execute checkers as early as possible, also for external programs executed later
- keep important resources allocated after checking

Points in Time

# L08 Early Detection of Misconfiguration

#### Markus Raab

Institute of Information Systems Engineering, TU Wien

19.05.2021

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons "Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International" license.



# Meeting

- 1 Points in Time
- 2 Push vs. Pull
- Barly Detection
- 4 Meeting
  - Recapitulation
  - Talks
  - Assignments
  - Preview

# When are settings used?

From the application's perspective:

# When are settings used?

From the application's perspective:

Implementation-time: Configuration accesses are hard-coded in the source code. For

example, architectural decisions [1] lead to implementation-time

settings.

Compile-time: Configuration accesses are resolved by the build system while

compiling.

Deployment-time: Configuration accesses are while the software is installed.

Load-time: Configuration accesses are during the start of applications.

Run-time: Configuration accesses are during execution after the startup

procedure.

 Points in Time
 Push vs. Pull
 Early Detection
 Meeting

 0000
 000
 00000
 00000

 Recapitulation
 Weeting
 00000
 000000

Application vs. CM tool perspective?

Points in Time

Recapitulation

Phases when we can detect misconfigurations:

- Compilation stage in configuration management tool
- Writing configuration settings on nodes
- Starting applications (load-time)
- When configuration setting is actually used (run-time)
  - → Latent Misconfiguration

Recapitulation

Tasl

Break.

Push vs. Pull



## Push vs. Pull

- Push is more interactive.
- Push cannot do its job if nodes are not reachable.
- Push needs additional techniques to scale with many nodes.
- Push demands access to servers from a single server.
- Pull needs additional monitoring to know when a patch has been applied.
- Pull needs resources even if nothing is to do.

#### Task

Do you prefer push or pull? What does your CM tool of choice use?

Recapitulation

Tasl

Break.

## Talks

Points in Time

```
<talk>
      <date>19.05.2021</date>
3
      <name>@aaronabebe</name>
      <topic>externalized configuration in distributed systems/
5
    </talk>
6
    <talk>
8
      <date>19.05.2021</date>
9
      <name>@philippoppel</name>
10
      <topic>Configuration Integration based on T2</topic>
11
    </talk>
```

Meeting

Assignments

Please add slides for talk in TUWEL and private git repo, dates:

• 26 May: peer review

 Push vs. Pull
 Early Detection
 Meeting

 000
 000000
 000000000000000

Assignments

T3 deadline today: What means "only partial changes"?

### Feedback



Feedback Talk



# Preview Talks

```
<talk>
      <date>26.05.2021</date>
      <name>@a-kraschitzer</name>
4
      <topic>configuration migration</topic>
5
    </talk>
6
    <talk>
8
      <date>26.05.2021</date>
9
      <name>@robaerd</name>
10
      <topic>infrastructure as code</topic>
11
    </talk>
12
13
    <talk>
14
      <date>26.05.2021</date>
15
      <name>@tucek</name>
16
      <topic>A short introduction on how we configure our services at
17
    </talk>
```

- [1] Neil B Harrison, Paris Avgeriou, and Uwe Zdun. Using patterns to capture architectural decisions. *Software, IEEE*, 24(4):38–45, 2007. ISSN 0740-7459. doi: 10.1109/MS.2007.124.
- [2] Tianyin Xu, Xinxin Jin, Peng Huang, Yuanyuan Zhou, Shan Lu, Long Jin, and Shankar Pasupathy. Early Detection of Configuration Errors to Reduce Failure Damage. In *Proceedings of the 12th USENIX Symposium on Operating Systems Design and Implementation (OSDI'16)*, Savannah, GA, USA, November 2016.