


Configuration Management

Markus Raab

Institute of Information Systems Engineering, TU Wien

27.3.2018



Lecture is every week Wednesday 09:00 - 11:00.

06.03.2019: topic, teams

13.03.2019: TISS registration, initial PR

20.03.2019: other registrations, guest lecture

27.03.2019: PR for first issue done, second started,
HS: kleiner Schiffbau

03.04.2019: first issue done, PR for second

10.04.2019: mid-term submission of exercises

08.05.2019: (HS?)

15.05.2019:

22.05.2019:

29.05.2019:

05.06.2019: final submission of exercises

12.06.2019:

19.06.2019: last corrections of exercises

26.06.2019: exam

Popular Topics

14	tools	4	design
9	testability	4	cascading
9	code-generation	4	architecture of access
7	context-awareness	3	configuration sources
6	specification	3	config-less systems
6	misconfiguration	2	secure conf
6	complexity reduction	2	architectural decisions
5	validation	1	push vs. pull
5	points in time	1	infrastructure as code
5	error messages	1	full vs. partial
5	auto-detection	1	convention over conf
4	user interface	1	CI/CD
4	introspection	0	documentation

Tasks for today

(until 27.03.2019 23:59)

Task

Description of homework as pull request in private repo. (Inside a folder for you, use GitHub name.)

Task

Description of teamwork (which application, which CM tool) as pull request in private repo. (Inside a folder for your team.)

Task

Fix at least one issue and write some text in at least one other issue.

Slides

- slide numbering: 02a is after 02
- old slides in same repo: always check date

Some misconfigurations

- studycode is Studienkennzahl
- same name twice in TALKS.xml
- ...

Task

How did these misconfigurations happened?

Tasks for next week

(until 03.04.2019 23:59)

Task

Fix misconfigurations in private repo.

Task


Fix feedback about homework/teamwork. Calculate complexity of your teamwork.

Task

First issue done, PR for second issue and write some text in at least one other issue (if 5 issues are not yet assigned to you).

KeySet (Recapitulation)

The common data structure between plugins:



Recapitulation

Q: “Which configuration systems/libraries/APIs have you already used or would like to use in one of your FLOSS project(s)?”

- command-line arguments (92 %, $n = 222$)
- environment variables (79 %, $n = 218$)
- configuration files (74 %, $n = 218$))

Semantics of Command-line Arguments (cont.)

- passed by main for a new process via
(int argc, char ** argv)
- visible from other processes (e.g., via ps aux)
- could be passed along to subprocesses but hardly done
- need to be parsed by process
- portability: differences in parsing
- cannot be changed from outside (requires restart, no IPC)

Talk

Miruna Orsa
Using XML in the day to day work life

Environment Variables

- 1 Environment Variables
 - Requirements
 - Conclusion
- 2 Complexity
 - Trend
 - Calculation
 - Usage
- 3 Configuration Specification
 - Why?

Semantics

- are also per-process (`/proc/self/environ`)
- are not visible from other processes
- are automatically inherited by subprocesses
- need to be parsed by process (`[extern] char **environ`) but API is provided (`getenv`)
- cannot be changed from outside (requires restart or an additional IPC mechanism)

getenv

- is widely standardized, including SVr4, POSIX.1-2001, 4.3BSD, C89, C99 [1],
- is supported by many programming languages, and
- enforces key=value convention.

Usage

- 1 bypassing other configuration accesses (Q: 45 %)
- 2 locating configuration files
- 3 debugging and testing (Q: 55 %, S: 1,152, i. e. 43 %)
- 4 sharing configuration settings across applications (Q: 53 %, S: 716, i. e. 47 %)
- 5 for configuration settings unlikely to be changed by a user (Q: 20 %)
- 6 *“even when it is used inside a loop”* (Q: 2 %)

Portability

- no separators for values defined
- case sensitivity problems
- often many environment variables for the same purpose: TMP, TEMP, or TMPDIR
- sometimes one environment variable for different purposes: PATH

Task

What is wrong with the code in the book?

How can we deal with the many sources?

Requirement


A configuration library must support all three popular ways for configuration access: configuration files, command-line options, and environment variables.

Example: Elektra

- includes library libopts
- which provides the function
`int elektraGetOpts (KeySet, argc, argv, environ, Key)`
- which puts Keys in the proc namespace
- <https://www.libelektra.org/tutorials/command-line-options>

What is a namespace?

Cascading



Task

Discuss the differences of mounting and cascading with your neighbor.

User View

- command-line for trying out configuration settings
- environment variables for configuration settings within a shell
- configuration files for persistent configuration settings


Conclusion

- three different configuration sources widely used
- all three used for different reasons but often for the same configuration settings
- many different configuration file formats
- abstractions: key-value, mounting, and cascading


Complexity

- 1 Environment Variables
 - Requirements
 - Conclusion
- 2 Complexity
 - Trend
 - Calculation
 - Usage
- 3 Configuration Specification
 - Why?





Trend Firefox



Trend Chromium



Trend Configuration Files



Types of Complexity

- complexity in access:
 - many different formats
 - non-uniformity
 - transformations
- configuration settings
 - number of settings s
 - number of values n
 - dependences between settings

Calculation of Complexity

Using enumerative combinatorics:

- number of configurations: n^s
- for N groups of different n and s (i.e., $n_1 \dots n_N$ with $s_1 \dots s_N$ occurrences):

$$\prod_{i=1}^N n_i^{s_i}$$

- more difficult to calculate (or unbounded) for dependences, module instantiations, arrays, ...

Calculation of Complexity

Examples:

- 600 boolean settings in Apache httpd (let us assume $n = 2$):
 $2^{600} \approx 10^{180}$
- 19 integer settings: $2^{32^{19}} = 2^{32 \cdot 19} = 2^{609} \approx 10^{183}$
- 2000 boolean settings in Firefox [4]: $2^{2000} \approx 10^{602}$

Task

Break.

Calculation of Complexity (cont.)

Examples:

- for 20 boolean and 20 enums with 5 possibilities:

$$2^{20} * 5^{20} = 10^{20}$$

- MySQL has 461 settings, of which 216 are non-simple types [8]
(let us assume $n = \{3, 20\}$): $3^{245} * 20^{216} \approx 10^{397}$
(settings are explained in 5560 pages¹)
- an array with 1 – 20 boolean settings: 2^{20}

¹<https://downloads.mysql.com/docs/refman-5.7-en.pdf>

Task

Calculate complexity of your teamwork and add to PR.

See `scripts/complexity.rb`

Decision Tree

- configuration settings may depend on each other
- form a decision tree [2, 7]
- the decision tree is an instantiation of chosen configuration settings
- calculation only needs to consider instantiations which make a difference:
essential configuration complexity [5]

Harmful Defaults [8]

- Problem: Two major data losses on a dozen machines.
- Cause: Stayed with the default values of the data-path settings (e.g., `dfs.name.dir`, `dfs.data.dir`) which point to locations in `/tmp`. Thus, after the machines reboot, data losses occur. “One of the common problems from users.” (from Cloudera)
- up to 53 % of misconfigurations is due to staying at defaults
- 17 % to 48 % of configuration issues are about difficulties in finding settings

Unnecessary Settings [8]

- Configuration Parameter:
`dfs.namenode.tolerate.heartbeat.multiplier`
- Developers' Discussion: Since we are not sure what is a good choice, how about making it configurable? We should add a configuration option for it. Even if it's unlikely to change, if someone does want to change it they'll thank us that they don't have to change the code/recompile to do so.
- Real-World Usage:
 - No usage found by searching the entire mailing lists and Google.
 - No usage reported in a survey of 15 Hadoop users in UCSD.

Unnecessary Settings [8]

- 6 % to 17 % of settings set by majority
- up to 54 % are seldom set
- up to 47 % of numeric settings have no more than five distinct values

Reduction

Q: *"Why do you think configuration should be reduced?"*

- to simplify code maintenance (50 %),
- to prevent errors and misconfiguration (43 %),
- to provide better user experience (40 %),
- ***"I do not think it should be reduced"*** (30 %),
- because they prefer auto-detection (29 %)
(with a possibility to override configuration settings: 32 %),
- *"because use-cases which are rarely used should not be supported"* (13 %),
- *"never find time for this task"* (9 %), and
- *"because only standard use-cases should be supported"* (1 %)

Question

How to specify reduction strategies of configuration settings?

Answer

Configuration Specification

Configuration Specification

- 1 Environment Variables
 - Requirements
 - Conclusion
- 2 Complexity
 - Trend
 - Calculation
 - Usage
- 3 Configuration Specification
 - Why?

Why?

Rationale

- without specification you and others do not even know which settings are available
- needed for any further techniques we will discuss
- essential for ***no-futz computing*** Holland et al. [3]
- the foundation for any advanced tooling like configuration management tools
- needed as communication of producers and consumers of configuration

- [1] *getenv(3) Linux User's Manual*, March 2017.
- [2] Krzysztof Czarnecki, Paul Grünbacher, Rick Rabiser, Klaus Schmid, and Andrzej Wąsowski. Cool features and tough decisions: A comparison of variability modeling approaches. In *Proceedings of the Sixth International Workshop on Variability Modeling of Software-Intensive Systems*, VaMoS '12, pages 173–182, New York, NY, USA, 2012. ACM. ISBN 978-1-4503-1058-1. doi: 10.1145/2110147.2110167. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2110147.2110167>.
- [3] David A. Holland, William Josephson, Kostas Magoutis, Margo I. Seltzer, Christopher A. Stein, and Ada Lim. Research issues in no-futz computing. In *Hot Topics in Operating Systems, 2001. Proceedings of the Eighth Workshop on*, pages 106–110. IEEE, May 2001. doi: 10.1109/HOTOS.2001.990069.

- [4] Dongpu Jin, Xiao Qu, Myra B. Cohen, and Brian Robinson. Configurations everywhere: Implications for testing and debugging in practice. In *Companion Proceedings of the 36th International Conference on Software Engineering, ICSE Companion 2014*, pages 215–224, New York, NY, USA, 2014. ACM. ISBN 978-1-4503-2768-8. doi: 10.1145/2591062.2591191. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2591062.2591191>.
- [5] J. Meinicke, C. P. Wong, C. Kästner, T. Thüm, and G. Saake. On essential configuration complexity: Measuring interactions in highly-configurable systems. In *2016 31st IEEE/ACM International Conference on Automated Software Engineering (ASE)*, pages 483–494, Sept 2016.

- [6] Markus Raab and Gergö Barany. Introducing context awareness in unmodified, context-unaware software. In *Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Evaluation of Novel Approaches to Software Engineering - Volume 1: ENASE*,, pages 218–225. INSTICC, ScitePress, 2017. ISBN 978-989-758-250-9. doi: 10.5220/0006326602180225.
- [7] Mark-Oliver Reiser. *Core Concepts of the Compositional Variability Management Framework (CVM): A Practitioner's Guide*. TU, Professoren der Fak. IV, 2009.
- [8] Tianyin Xu, Long Jin, Xuepeng Fan, Yuanyuan Zhou, Shankar Pasupathy, and Rukma Talwadker. Hey, you have given me too many knobs! Understanding and dealing with over-designed configuration in system software. In *Proceedings of the 2015 10th Joint Meeting on Foundations of Software Engineering, ESEC/FSE 2015*, pages 307–319, New York, NY, USA, 2015. ACM. ISBN 978-1-4503-3675-8. doi:

10.1145/2786805.2786852. URL
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2786805.2786852.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2786805.2786852)