In [1]:	<pre>S07 T01: Tasca del test d'hipòtesis import scikit_posthocs as sp import matplotlib.pyplot as plt import seaborn as sns import numpy as np from scipy import stats</pre>
	<pre>from scipy.stats import ttest_1samp, wilcoxon, ttest_ind, mannwhitneyu, shapiro import pandas as pd pd.options.display.float_format = '{:,.3f}'.format import warnings warnings.filterwarnings('ignore')</pre> For this exercise I will use the same dataset as in the previous exercises. The dataset contains information about athletes competing in the Olympic Games, both Winter and Summer Season from Athens 1896 to Rio 2016.
In [2]:	This dataset is taken from: https://www.kaggle.com/heesoo37/120-years-of-olympic-history-athletes-and-results # loading the dataset games = pd.read_csv('athlete_events.csv')
In [3]: Out[3]:	ID Name Sex Age Height Weight Team NOC Games Year Season City Sport Event Medal O 1 A Dijiang M 24.000 180.000 80.000 China CHN 1992 Summer Barcelona Basketball Basketball Men's Basketball
	1 2 A Lamusi M 23.000 170.000 60.000 China CHN 2012 Summer London Judo Extra-Lightweight Gunnar Aaby M 24.000 NaN NaN Denmark DEN Summer 1920 Summer Antwerpen Football Men's Football Edgar Tug-Of-
	3 4 Lindenau Aabye M 34.000 NaN NaN Denmark/Sweden DEN 1900 Summer 1900 Summer Paris Tug-Of-War Men's Tug-Of-War Mar Mar Speed Skating War Aaftink Christine Aaftink F 21.000 185.000 82.000 Netherlands NED 1988 Winter Calgary Speed Skating Women's 500 metres
In [4]: Out[4]:	games.isnull().sum() ID 0 Name 0 Sex 0 Age 9474
	Height 60171 Weight 62875 Team 0 NOC 0 Games 0 Year 0 Season 0 City 0 Sport 0
In [5]:	Event 0 Medal 231333 dtype: int64 In this exercise I'm interested in comparing the 'Age', 'Weight' and 'Weight' among different groups of athletes, so this time we will delete all rows that have missing values in these rows.
Out[5]:	<pre>import missingno as msno msno.matrix(games, color=(0.8, 0.3, 0.5), figsize=(10,6),fontsize=(10))</pre>
In [6]:	#deleting null values from columns Age, Height, Weight games = games.dropna(subset = ['Age', 'Height', 'Weight'])
In [7]: Out[7]:	(206165, 15) This dataset contains some duplicate athletes because some of them compete in different competitions and even different games. For this exercise we will delete these duplicate athletes because we want to focus on athletes attributes and we want the data to be truly
In [8]:	<pre>independent. # delete duplicates by ID column, ID is unique for each athlete df = games.drop_duplicates(subset= ['ID'], keep= 'first') df.info() <class 'pandas.core.frame.dataframe'=""> Int64Index: 99088 entries, 0 to 271114</class></pre>
	Data columns (total 15 columns): # Column Non-Null Count Dtype 0 ID 99088 non-null int64 1 Name 99088 non-null object 2 Sex 99088 non-null object 3 Age 99088 non-null float64 4 Height 99088 non-null float64 5 Weight 99088 non-null float64
	6 Team 99088 non-null object 7 NOC 99088 non-null object 8 Games 99088 non-null object 9 Year 99088 non-null int64 10 Season 99088 non-null object 11 City 99088 non-null object 12 Sport 99088 non-null object 13 Event 99088 non-null object 14 Medal 13507 non-null object
In [9]: Out[9]:	di[[Age , height , weight]].describe()
	mean 23.928 176.367 71.960 std 4.718 10.386 14.553 min 11.000 127.000 25.000 25% 21.000 170.000 62.000 50% 23.000 176.000 71.000
	75% 26.000 183.000 80.000 max 68.000 226.000 214.000 - Exercici 1 Agafa un conjunt de dades de tema esportiu que t'agradi i selecciona un atribut del conjunt de dades. Calcula el p-valor i digues si rebutja
In [10]:	la hipòtesi nul·la agafant un alfa de 5%. In this exercise, we want to test if the average age of Gymnastics Athletes is less than the average age of the rest of the Olympic Athletes. #To make the test really independent, we calculate the mean of age of athletes excluding Gymnastics athletes: data_no_gymnastics = df[df['Sport'] != 'Gymnastics']
In [11]:	<pre>data_gymnastics = df[df['Sport'] == 'Gymnastics'] data_gymnastics.head()</pre>
Out[11]:	Paavo Aaltonen M 28.000 175.000 64.000 Finland FIN 1948 Summer London Gymnastics Men's Individual All-Around Gymnastics Men's Individual All-Around Gymnastics Men's Individual All-Around Gymnastics Men's Individual All-Around Around M 23.000 167.000 64.000 Spain FSP 2016 Summer Rio de Gymnastics Men's NaN
	Sanjun M 23.000 167.000 64.000 Spain ESP Summer 2016 Summer Janeiro Gymnastics Individual All-Around Raouf Abdelraouf M 22.000 167.000 63.000 Egypt EGY 2000 Summer Sydney Gymnastics Individual All-Around Gymnastics Men's Individual All-Around Gymnastics Men's Individual All-Around Gymnastics Women's Man Around
	F 19.000 160.000 48.000 Germany GER Summer 1972 Summer Munich Gymnastics Momen's Individual All-Around Katja Abel F 25.000 165.000 55.000 Germany GER Summer Summer Beijing Gymnastics Women's Individual All-Around NaN Around Summer Summer Beijing Gymnastics Women's Individual All-Around NaN Around NaN Around
In [12]:	First let's check the distribution of the ages for Gymnastics Athletes, we can do a test to check if it follows a normal distribution. We will use the Shapiro-Wilk Normality Test . Our Hypothesis is: • H ₀ : The data is normally distributed. • H ₁ : The data is not normally distributed. # create a function that checks if the distribution is normal:
	<pre>def check_normal_distribution(data): stat, p_value_norm = shapiro(data) print('stat=%.3f, p=%.3f' % (stat, p_value_norm)) if p_value_norm < 0.05 : print("Reject null hypothesis at 95% Significance Level >> The data is not normally distributed") else: print("Fail to reject null hypothesis at 95% Significance Level >> The data is normally distributed")</pre>
In [13]:	check_normal_distribution(data_gymnastics['Age']) stat=0.963, p=0.000 Reject null hypothesis at 95% Significance Level >> The data is not normally distributed The result of the test is that with a confidence level of 95% the data is not normally distributed, so I will use the Wilcoxon test, that allows
In [14]:	to make Hypothesis testing with one non parametric sample. Fist, we'll declare our Hypothesis, I want to test wether average age of Gymnastic athletes is less than the rest of Olympic athletes: • H₀: Average age for Gymnastic athletes ≥ 24.01 (Olympic athletes average age) • H₁: Average age for Gymnastic athletes < 24.01 (Olympic athletes average age) # one sample wilcoxon-test
	<pre># since this is a one sided test we use the parameter 'less', alternative Hypothesis is age is less than athlet stat, pvalue = wilcoxon(data_gymnastics['Age'] - data_no_gymnastics.Age.mean(),</pre>
	<pre>if pvalue > alpha: print('Fail to reject Null Hypothesis at 95% confidence level ') else: print('Reject Null Hypothesis at 95% confidence level >> Accept Alternative Hypothesis') One-sample Wilcoxon-test p-value=0.000 Reject Null Hypothesis at 95% confidence level >> Accept Alternative Hypothesis So we can conclude that age of Gymnastics Athletes is less than 24.01 (average age of athletes in the Olympic Games).</pre>
In [15]:	We can plot this distribution to see if it confirms the result of our test: plt.figure(figsize= (10,7)) sns.kdeplot(data_gymnastics['Age'], label= 'Age', color= '#4cf163', fill = True) plt.axvline(x= data_no_gymnastics.Age.mean(), linewidth = 1, color= 'black', ls= 'dotted') plt.axvline(x= data_gymnastics['Age'].mean(), color = '#08b01f', ls = 'dotted') plt.text(x= 25.5, y=0.005, s= "Average age(all athletes excluding Gymnastics)")
	<pre>plt.text(x= 12, y = 0.065, s= 'Average Gymnastics Age', color= '#08b01f') plt.title('Age distribution among Gymnastics Olympic Athletes', size = 15) plt.show()</pre> <pre> Age distribution among Gymnastics Olympic Athletes</pre>
	0.08 - Average Gymnastics Age 0.06 -
	0.04 -
	Average age(all athletes excluding Gymnastics) 0.00 10 15 20 25 Age Seeing this plot we can confirm the result of our test that accepts Alternative Hypothesis: age of athletes in Gymnastics is less than athletes in the rest of the Sports.
	- Exercici 2 Continua amb el conjunt de dades de tema esportiu que t'agradi i selecciona dos altres atributs del conjunt de dades. Calcula els p-valors i digues si rebutgen la hipòtesi nul·la agafant un alfa de 5%. Now we want to test if the height of the athletes has changed in the last 50 years so we will compare the heights of the athletes in the 50's decade with the heights of the 2000's decade to see if there's a significant difference.
In [16]: Out[16]: In [17]:	data_50 = df[(df['Year'] >= 1950) & (df['Year'] < 1960)] data_50.shape (2171, 15)
Out[17]:	<pre>data_2000 = df[(df['Year'] >= 2000) & (df['Year'] < 2010)] data_2000.shape</pre>
In [18]:	to be proportional so this doesn't cause a distorsion in the results. We'll take 2 samples of 600 athletes with 300 male and 300 female each. # doing a stratified sample data_50_sampled = data_50.groupby('Sex', group_keys= False).apply(lambda x: x.sample(300, random_state = 42)) data_2000_sampled = data_2000.groupby('Sex', group_keys= False).apply(lambda x: x.sample(300, random_state = 42))
In [19]: Out[19]: In [20]:	F 300 M 300 Name: Sex, dtype: int64
Out[20]:	F 300 M 300 Name: Sex, dtype: int64 Nos let's test if these samples follow a normal distribution: • H ₀ : The data is normally distributed. • H ₁ : The data is not normally distributed.
In [21]:	
	Fail to reject null hypothesis at 95 \in Significance Level >> The data is normally distributed The result is that one of the samples follows a normal distribution but not the other, so this time we'll use the non parametric Mann-Whitney U Test. Let's state our Hypothesis: H_0 : $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ or $\mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0$ or The mean of the samples are same.
In [22]:	H_1 : $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2$ or $\mu_1 - \mu_2 \neq 0$ or The mean of the samples are different. # this time we'll use a two sided test stat, pvalue = stats.mannwhitneyu(data_50_sampled['Height'], data_2000_sampled['Height'], alternative = 'two-sic print("p-value:%.3f" % pvalue) alpha = 0.05 if pvalue > alpha:
	<pre>print('Fail to reject Null Hypothesis at 95% confidence level ') else: print('Reject Null Hypothesis at 95% confidence level >> Accept Alternative Hypothesis') p-value:0.000 Reject Null Hypothesis at 95% confidence level >> Accept Alternative Hypothesis With a significance level of 95% we can reject the null Hypothesis that the heights of the athletes has stayed the same in the last 50 years.</pre>
In [23]:	<pre># let's plot the distribution of heights to see this: plt.figure(figsize= (10,7)) sns.kdeplot(data_50_sampled['Height'], label= 'Height Distribution during the 50s decade',</pre>
	plt.title('Height distribution among Olympic Athletes') plt.legend() plt.show() Height distribution among Olympic Athletes
	0.035 - 0.030 - 0.025 - 2.50 0.020 -
	図 0.020 - 0.015 - 0.010 - 0.005 -
	O.000 140 160 180 200 220 Height We can confirm the results of our test, data has different distribution and means are different: 2000's athletes distribution has a smoother curve, the data is more dispersed. For 50's athletes, the data is more concentrated around the mean.
In [24]:	We can confirm the results of our test, data has different distribution and means are different: • 2000's athletes distribution has a smoother curve, the data is more dispersed. • For 50's athletes, the data is more concentrated around the mean. Although we've already seen that the 50's data is not normally distributed, it's usual to use the t Student test if the samples are bigger than 30, so we will repeat the test using T Student test to check if the results stay the same:
In [24]:	We can confirm the results of our test, data has different distribution and means are different: • 2000's athletes distribution has a smoother curve, the data is more dispersed. • For 50's athletes, the data is more concentrated around the mean. Although we've already seen that the 50's data is not normally distributed, it's usual to use the t Student test if the samples are bigger than 30, so we will repeat the test using T Student test to check if the results stay the same: stat, pvalue = ttest_ind(data_50_sampled['Height'], data_2000_sampled['Height']) print('stat=%.3f, p=%.3f' % (stat, pvalue)) alpha = 0.05 if pvalue > alpha: print('Fail to reject Null Hypothesis at 95% confidence level ') else: print('Reject Null Hypothesis at 95% confidence level >> Accept Alternative Hypothesis') stat=-5.992, p=0.000
In [24]:	We can confirm the results of our test, data has different distribution and means are different: • 2000's athletes distribution has a smoother curve, the data is more dispersed. • For 50's athletes, the data is more concentrated around the mean. Although we've already seen that the 50's data is not normally distributed, it's usual to use the t Student test if the samples are bigger than 30, so we will repeat the test using T Student test to check if the results stay the same: stat, pvalue = ttest_ind(data_50_sampled('Height'), data_2000_sampled('Height')) print('stat=%.3f, p=%.3f' % (stat, pvalue)) alpha = 0.05 if pvalue > alpha: print('Fail to reject Null Hypothesis at 95% confidence level ') else: print('Reject Null Hypothesis at 95% confidence level >> Accept Alternative Hypothesis') stat=-5.992, p=0.000 Reject Null Hypothesis at 95% confidence level >> Accept Alternative Hypothesis The result with the t Student test is the same as the Mann-Withney U test: Reject the null hypotesis that athletes heights has stayed the same. - Exercici 3 Continua amb el conjunt de dades de tema esportiu que t'agradi i selecciona tres atributs del conjunt de dades. Calcula el p-valor i digues
In [24]:	We can confirm the results of our test, data has different distribution and means are different: • 2000's athletes distribution has a smoother curve, the data is more dispersed. • For 50's athletes, the data is more concentrated around the mean. Although we've already seen that the 50's data is not normally distributed, it's usual to use the t Student test if the samples are bigger than 30, so we will repeat the test using T Student test to check if the results stay the same: stat, pvalue = ttest_ind(data_50_sampled('Height'1, data_2000_sampled('Height'1)) print('stat=8.3f, p=8.3f' % (stat, pvalue)) alpha = 0.05 if pvalue > alpha: print('Fail to reject Null Hypothesis at 95% confidence level ') else: print('Reject Null Hypothesis at 95% confidence level >> Accept Alternative Hypothesis') stat=-5.992, p=0.000 Reject Null Hypothesis at 95% confidence level >> Accept Alternative Hypothesis The result with the t Student test is the same as the Mann-Withney U test: Reject the null hypotesis that athletes heights has stayed the same. - Exercici 3 Continua amb el conjunt de dades de tema esportiu que t'agradi i selecciona tres atributs del conjunt de dades. Calcula el p-valor i digues si rebutja la hipòtesi nul·la agafant un alfa de 5%. This time we want to compare the weights of female athletes in different Sports: we will test if the weight of female athletes that compete in 'Swimming', 'Synchronized Swimming' and 'Water Polo' is the same.
	We can confirm the results of our test, data has different distribution and means are different: • 2000's athletes distribution has a smoother curve, the data is more dispersed. • For 50's athletes, the data is more concentrated around the mean. Although we've already seen that the 50's data is not normally distributed, it's usual to use the t Student test if the samples are bigger than 30, so we will repeat the test using T Student test to check if the results stay the same: stat, pvalue = ttest_ind(data_50_sampled['Neight'], data_2000_sampled['Neight']) print('stat-6.3f, p-6.3f' % (stat, pvalue)) alpha = 0.05 if pvalue > alpha: print('Fali to reject Null Hypothesis at 95% confidence level ') else: print('Reject Null Hypothesis at 95% confidence level >> Accept Alternative Hypothesis The result with the t Student test is the same as the Mann-Withney U test: Reject the null hypotesis that athletes heights has stayed the same. - Exercici 3 Continua amb el conjunt de dades de tema esportiu que t'agradi i selecciona tres atributs del conjunt de dades. Calcula el p-valor i digues si rebutja la hipôtesi nulla agriant un alfa de 5%. This time we want to compare the weights of female athletes in different Sports; we will test if the weight of female athletes that compete in 'Swimming', 'Synchronized Swimming' to (defl'Sex') == 'F') data_ayr.co_avimming = dfl(dfl'Sport') == 'Sw.taming') & (dfl'Sex') == 'F') data_ayr.co_avimming = dfl(dfl'Sport') == 'Synchronized Swimming') & (dfl'Sex') == 'F') data_ayr.co_avimming = dfl(dfl'Sport') == 'Synchronized Swimming') & (dfl'Sex') == 'F') data_ayr.co_avimming = dfl(dfl'Sport') == 'Synchronized Swimming') & (dfl'Sex') == 'F') data_ayr.co_avimming = dfl(dfl'Sport') == 'Synchronized Swimming') & (dfl'Sex') == 'F') data_ayr.co_avimming = dfl(dfl'Sport') == 'Synchronized Swimming') & (dfl'Sex') == 'F') data_ayr.co_avimming = dfl(dfl'Sport') == 'Synchronized Swimming' a dflodfl'Sport') == 'Synchronized Swimming' a dflodfl'Sport') == 'Synchronized Swi
In [25]:	We can confirm the results of our test, data has different distribution and means are different: • 2000's athletes distribution has a smoother curve, the data is more dispersed. • For 50's athletes, the data is more concentrated around the mean. Although we've already seen that the 50's data is not normally distributed, it's usual to use the t Student test if the samples are bigger than 30, so we will repeat the test using T Student test to check if the results stay the same: stat, pvalue = ttest_ind(data_50_sampled('Height'), data_2000_sampled('Height')) print('atal-0.3f', p-0.3f' % (stat, pvalue)) alpha = 0.05 if pvalue > alpha:
In [25]:	We can confirm the results of our test, data has different distribution and means are different: • 2000s at hieres distribution has a smoother curve, the data is more dispersed. • For 50s athletes, the data is more concentrated around the mean. Although we've already seen that the 50s data is not normally distributed, it's usual to use the 1 Student test if the samples are bigger than 30, so we will repeat the test using T Student test to check if the results stay the same: state, praise = .test_ind(data_B0_ampled *Relight*1, data_2000_wampled *Relight*1)) print("restrat, if, psi,3f" is (stort, praise)) alibra = 0.00 atot=0.992, n=0.000 equitatival to refeat \$0.11 Hypothesis at 90% confidence level *19 alibra = print(*Reject Minil Bypothesis at 95% confidence level *2 *2 *2 *2 *2 *2 *2 *2 *2 *2 *2 *2 *2
In [25]:	We can confirm the results of our test, data has different distribution and means are different: • 2000's atthieses distribution has a smoother curve, the data is more dispersed. • 2000's atthieses distribution has a smoother curve, the data is more dispersed. • 2000's atthieses distribution has a smoother curve, the data is more dispersed. • 2000's atthieses distribution has a smoother curve, the data is more dispersed. Although we've already seen that the 50's data is not normally distributed, it's usual to use the t Student test if the samples are bigger than 30, so we will repeat the test using T Student test to check it the results stay the same: **stat, powled = Lest indictate 30 seapclest('Delght'), data 2000 seapclest('Delght')) **print' institution of the student test is destinated as 35's confidence lawal '1' **stat, powled = Lest indictate 30 seapclest('Delght'), data 2000 seapclest('Delght')) **stat, powled = Lest indictate 30 seapclest('Delght'), data 2000 seapclest('Delght')) **stat, powled = Lest indictate 30 seapclest('Delght'), data 2000 seapclest('Delght')) **stat, powled = Lest indictate 30 seapclest('Delght'), data 2000 seapclest('Delght')) **stat, powled = Lest indictate 30 seapclest('Delght'), data 2000 seapclest('Delght')) **stat, powled = Lest indictate 30 seapclest('Delght'), data 2000 seapclest('Delght')) **stat, powled = Lest indictate 30 seapclest('Delght'), data 2000 seapclest('Delght'), dat
In [25]: In [27]:	We can confirm the results of our test, data has different distribution and means are different: • 2000's athleted sharphulon has a smoother curve, the data is more dispersed. • For 509 athletes, the data is more concentrated around the mean. Although we've already seen that the 50's data is not normally distributed, it's usual to use the 1 Student test if the samples are bigger than 30, so we will repeat the test using 1 Student test to check if the results stay the same: \$\delta \delta \left(\text{ init} \left(\delta \
In [25]: In [27]:	### We can confirm the rests of our test, data has been distribution and means are officent: ### 2000 shifted distribution has a procedure curve, the data is more dispersed. ### 2000 shifted, the data is more concentrated around the mean. #### 2000 shifted distribution has a procedure curve, the data is more dispersed. ### 2000 shifted distribution has a procedure curve, the data is more dispersed. ### 2000 shifted distribution has a procedure distribution of the sanks are the same. #### 2000 shifted distribution has a procedure distribution of the sanks are the same. ###################################
In [25]: In [27]:	We can confirm the results of our test, data has forced cause. The data is more dispensed. * Note a sharper destination has a smoother cause, the data is more dispensed. * For SSS sharpers, the data is more concentrated around the make. * Adhough worke already sharper that the SSS data is not normally distinated, it is usual as use the 1 Student test if the samples are bigger than 18 to now will repeat the test using 7 Student test to the 15th wealth of the makes stay the same. ***Adhough worke already sharper than 18 Student test to check if the makes stay the same. ***Adhough worke already sharper than 18 Student test to check if the makes stay the same. ***Adhough worke already sharper than 18 Student test to check if the small stay that is a stay of the same as the Mann-Withney U test Reject the mull hypothesis that athletes heights has stayed the same. ***Exercicl 3***Continue am electropic desides delene exported que l'aguidi selections are additions del conjunt de dades. Carcula el p-valor i digues of tratagi to hiphodes multi seglent une telle de SS. ***Dist time we vant to compace the weight of fernale athletes that compete in "Symmetric Mannage", "Symmetric Mannage, "Symm
In [26]: In [27]:	We can continue the results of our best, data has different solutions and mental an different continued. So the continue the sense of continued the sense of the sense of the continued the sense of the se
In [26]: In [27]: Out[29]:	We can continue the results of our each data has different distribution and means are different. - 2001 schelors, the data is more commentation amount the mean. - 2003 schelors, the data is more commentation amount the mean. - 2003 schelors, the data is more commentation amount the mean. - 2003 schelors, the data is more commentation amount the mean. - 2003 schelors, the data is more commentation amount the mean. - 2003 schelors, the data is more commentation amount the mean. - 2003 schelors, the data is more commentation. - 2003 schelors, the data is more commentation. - 2003 schelors, the data is more commentation. - 2003 schelors and schelors. - 2003 schelors. - 2003 schelors. - 2003 schelors. - 2003 schelors. - 2004 schel
In [26]: In [27]: Out[29]:	### Secretary of Courters due has professed discharior and means are different ### AUDIC antition the results of Courters due has different discharior and means are different ### AUDIC antition described in an accordance of the courter of the c
In [26]: In [27]: Out[29]:	The case can't in the results of the first has been discovered in the case of the first has been discovered in the case of the case of the first has been discovered in the case of the ca
In [25]: In [27]: Out[29]:	The cort confirmation between the of an east of the base ofference distribution are as well different. • 2000s and the control of the contro