

### Information

Name: Project ofi2004  
Description: Description of ofi2004.  
Version: 3.0  
Date Created: 2021-10-05T17:13:35.876191200  
Git Commit: 1e84b5100e09d9b6c5ea1b6c2ccee8957391beec  
Git Tag: ods-generated-v3.0-3.0-0b11-D  
Git URL: https://bitbucket/scm/ofi2004/ofi2004-release.git  
OpenShift Cluster API URL: https://openshift-sample  
Created by Jenkins Job Name: ofi2004-cd/ofi2004-cd-release-master  
Created by Jenkins Build Number: 666

## Risk Assessment for 'Project ofi2004'

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 1 [PURPOSE](#)
- 2 [BASE DOCUMENTS](#)
- 3 [ASSUMPTIONS](#)
- 4 [RISK ASSESSMENT PROCESS](#)
  - 4.1 [RISK ASSESSMENT TABLE DESCRIPTION](#)
  - 4.2 [CLASSIFICATION RULES](#)
- 5 [RISK ASSESSMENT TABLE](#)

## **6 DEFINITIONS AND ABBREVIATIONS**

### **6.1 DEFINITIONS**

### **6.2 ABBREVIATIONS**

## **7 REFERENCE DOCUMENTS**

## **8 DOCUMENT HISTORY**

## **1 PURPOSE**

This document is an assessment of risks associated with the usage of the Project of 2004. Risks are identified based on requirements and functions of the system and classified according to predefined rules. This will serve as a basis for risk management strategies and measures during the system life cycle.

## 2 BASE DOCUMENTS

The risk assessment is based on the following documents:

Reference Document	Version	Prefix for Ref. No.
Combined Specification Document	BI-IT-DEVSTACK / 4-WIP	N/A All Ref. Numbers are unique
System and Software Design Specification	BI-IT-DEVSTACK / 4-WIP	

### 3 ASSUMPTIONS

Curabitur molestie, massa sed condimentum posuere, tellus elit ornare nibh, eget interdum orci mauris convallis libero. Sed et metus id odio pellentesque tincidunt. Aenean at iaculis felis. Phasellus et ante consequat, eleifend nibh at, porttitor orci. Pellentesque tempus nibh elementum, laoreet urna a, tempus augue. Quisque dictum, lacus mollis laoreet congue, velit leo mollis eros, vel imperdiet tortor mauris sit amet arcu. Praesent euismod imperdiet nisi sed tincidunt. Maecenas commodo faucibus massa, sit amet lobortis lectus eleifend id. Fusce dignissim, metus sed vulputate ultricies, quam nulla molestie diam, ac condimentum arcu felis vel nisl. Nunc maximus ex quis neque ultrices, elementum volutpat metus fringilla. Praesent suscipit eleifend augue, at aliquet metus finibus sit amet. Sed tristique mattis aliquet.

## 4 RISK ASSESSMENT PROCESS

Section 4.1 gives a high level description of the risk assessment table (see section 5). Details and classification rules, for the values in columns that need additional explanation, are given in section 4.2.

### 4.1 RISK ASSESSMENT TABLE DESCRIPTION

The risk assessment table in section 5 comprises the following columns:

Column	Description
Risk No.	Identifier of the risk for reference within other documents
Reference No.	Unambiguous reference to a specific requirement, function or a group of requirements in a reference document. The prefix indicates the referenced document
Requirement / Function	Short description of requirement or function
GxP	Relevance of the requirement or function for GxP or non-GxP (e.g. business). See section 4.2.1
Description of Risks/Failures (or reason for GxP = No)	Description of possible risks or failures associated with the requirement or function. More than one risk or failure may be associated with a requirement or function. If non-GxP risks are not evaluated, reason for classification as non-GxP is provided.
Prob. of Occurrence	Relevance of the requirement or function for GxP or non-GxP (e.g. business). See section 4.2.1
Severity of Impact	Possible impact of the failure if it is not detected. See section 4.2.2

Prob. of Detection	Most probable point in time when the failure will be detected in routine operation of the system. See section 4.2.4
Risk Priority Number (RPN)	Product of the individual factors which determine the risk based on relevance, impact, probability of detection and Prob. of Occurrence (optional). See section 4.2.5
Risk Priority	Categorized risk which is derived from the risk priority number RPN. 1 identifies highest risk priority and 3 lowest risk priority.
Proposed Measures	Proposed measures (e.g. additional test requirements, design requirements, functional limitations, and organisational or procedural measures) for risk mitigation. This entry is mandatory for priority 1 GxP risks and optional for all other risk priorities
Comments	Comments as applicable (optional)

## 4.2 CLASSIFICATION RULES

### 4.2.1 GXP RELEVANCE

The requirement or function is evaluated to determine if it is related to GxP. If there are both GxP and non-GxP risks, GxP is documented as it is the more relevant risk. However, the associated non-GxP risk should also be taken into account.

GxP Relevance	Value	GxP value in <a href="#">section 5</a>	Option	Description
Yes	2	R2	n/a	The requirement is directly related to GxP regulated activities. Failures could lead to incorrect data, loss of data, deterioration of product quality, or risk exposure for safety of patients or customers.
No	0	N0	Non-GxP risks are not being evaluated	The requirement is directly related to GxP regulated activities. Failures could lead to incorrect data, loss of data, deterioration of product quality, or risk exposure for safety of patients or customers.
	1	N1	Non-GxP risks are evaluated lower than GxP risks	
	2	N2	Non-GxP risks are evaluated the same as GxP risks	

Each non-GxP risk can have a different option for evaluation since the RNP is computed automatically. Thus, no project-level evaluation option is used. The selection is done individually in each Risk Assessment task. The value contains the GxP relevance and the number used to compute the RPN.

#### 4.2.2 PROBABILITY OF OCCURRENCE

This project does not use Probability Of Occurrence.

#### 4.2.3 SEVERITY OF IMPACT

The severity of the impact of a possible failure is evaluated according to the following classes:



Severity of Impact	Value	GxP Impact	Non-GxP Impact (e.g. to cover business risks)
Low	1	No impact on product quality, patient safety, or data quality; no impact on data integrity is expected: no deviation from regulatory obligations or predicate rules	Low cost risk; no delay of regulatory processes
Medium	2	Product quality, patient safety, or data quality could be impacted; correctable impact on data integrity; potential; probability of a deviation from regulatory obligations or predicate rule	Medium cost risk; short delay of regulatory processes
High	3	Product quality, patient safety, or data quality will probably be impacted; uncorrectable impact on data integrity; deviation from regulatory obligations or predicate rules	High cost risk; significant delay of regulatory processes

#### 4.2.4 PROBABILITY OF DETECTION

The most probable point in time when a possible failure is detected is classified according to the following table:

Probability of Detection	Value	Description
Immediate	1	The failure is detected immediately when it occurs. The user is able to take immediate measures to correct the failure before any impact can occur.
Before Impact	2	The failure is not detected immediately by the user but later before it may have any impact. The effect of the failure may still be corrected or minimized by appropriate corrective actions.
After Impact	3	The failure will most probably not be detected before the expected impact occurs.

#### 4.2.5 RISK PRIORITY NUMBER AND RISK PRIORITY

Calculate a risk priority number RPN by multiplying the values of the individual factors:

$$\text{RPN} = \text{Value(GxP Relevance)} * \text{Value(Prob. of Occurrence)} * \text{Value(Severity of Impact)} * \text{Value(Prob. of Detection)}$$

Assign the risk priority for the risk according to the following table:

RPN	Risk Priority
1-2	3
3-8	2
>8	1

A risk considered as non-GxP and not being evaluated will lead to a RPN = 0 and Risk Priority = 0.

#### 4.2.6 PROPOSED MEASURE

Depending on the risk priority risk mitigating measures must be defined according to the following table. The System Owner must ensure that the defined measures are being implemented.

Risk Priority	Requirements for mitigating measures
1	Measures in addition to functional or requirements testing need to be defined, whenever feasible. Measures can be procedural measures (e.g. additional checks, four eyes principle, typically defined in a WI or SOP) or technical measures (e.g. additional technical checks, technical security measures).
2	Measures need only to be defined if the underlying functionality cannot be tested, or cannot be tested on an appropriate level.
3	In general no risk mitigating measures need to be defined.

## 5 RISK ASSESSMENT TABLE

Risk No.	Ref No.	Requirement/ Function	GxP (see <a href="#">4.2.1</a> )	Description of risks/failures (or reason for GxP = No)	Prob. Occur.	Severity Impact	Prob. Detect.	RPN	Risk Prio.	Proposed Measures	Comments
OFI2004-128	OFI2004-125	story CONTINUED	None	Summary:risk CONTINUED.  TST CONTINUED	None	None	None	N/A	N/A	Mitigations: OFI2004-206 Tests: None	N/A
OFI2004-204	OFI2004-201	story ADDED	None	Summary:risk ADDED.  risk ADDED	None	None	None	N/A	N/A	Mitigations: OFI2004-205 Tests: None	N/A
OFI2004-246	OFI2004-245	story MODIFIED (from OFI2004- 135)	None	Summary:risk MODIFIED (from OFI2004-138).  risk MODIFIED	None	None	None	N/A	N/A	Mitigations: None Tests: OFI2004-139	N/A

The following table contains more information about the proposed mitigations:

Mit. No	Type	Mitigation description	Risk No.
OFI2004-139	test	test for RA MODIFIED test for RA MODIFIED	OFI2004-246
OFI2004-205	mitigation	mitigation ADDED mitigation ADDED	OFI2004-204
OFI2004-206	mitigation	mitigation CONTINUED (from OFI2004-129) mitigation CONTINUED	OFI2004-128

## 6 DEFINITIONS AND ABBREVIATIONS

### 6.1 DEFINITIONS

Term	Definition
Jenkins	Build engine supplied by cloudbees - part of OpenDevStack (BI-IT-DEVSTACK)
xUnit	Unit testing framework, aggregaults across multiple languages

### 6.2 ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Meaning
ODS	OpenDevStack
EDP	Enterprise Development Platform

## 7 REFERENCE DOCUMENTS

- Combined Specification Document (version BI-IT-DEVSTACK / 4-WIP)
- System and Software Design Specification including Source Code Review Plan (version BI-IT-DEVSTACK / 4-WIP)
- Functional and Requirements Testing Plan (version BI-IT-DEVSTACK / 4-WIP)

[No further reference documents](#)

## 8 DOCUMENT HISTORY

Version	Date	Author	Change Reference
1	See Summary of electronic document or signature page of printout.		Initial document version.



2	See Summary of electronic document or signature page of printout.	<p>Modifications for project version '2.0'. The following mitigations were added:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• OFI2004-205</li></ul> <p>The following mitigations were removed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• OFI2004-134</li></ul> <p>The following mitigations were changed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• OFI2004-206 was previously OFI2004-129</li></ul> <p>The following requirements were added:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• OFI2004-201</li></ul> <p>The following risks were added:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• OFI2004-204</li></ul> <p>The following documentation chapters were changed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• OFI2004-192 was previously OFI2004-46: 3 null</li><li>• OFI2004-191 was previously OFI2004-50: 8 null</li></ul>
---	---	---

3	See Summary of electronic document or signature page of printout.	<p>Modifications for project version '3.0'. The following requirements were removed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• OFI2004-130</li></ul> <p>The following requirements were changed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• OFI2004-245 was previously OFI2004-135</li></ul> <p>The following risks were removed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• OFI2004-133</li></ul> <p>The following risks were changed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• OFI2004-246 was previously OFI2004-138</li></ul>
---	---	--

4	See Summary of electronic document or signature page of printout.	<p>Modifications for project version '3.0'. This document version invalidates the changes done in document version '3'. The following requirements were removed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• OFI2004-130</li></ul> <p>The following requirements were changed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• OFI2004-245 was previously OFI2004-135</li></ul> <p>The following risks were removed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• OFI2004-133</li></ul> <p>The following risks were changed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• OFI2004-246 was previously OFI2004-138</li></ul>
---	---	--

The following table provides extra history of the document.

Version	Date	Author	Reference
	Curabitur molestie, massa sed condimentum posuere, tellus elit ornare nibh, eget interdum orci mauris convallis libero. Sed et metus id odio pellentesque tincidunt. Aenean at iaculis felis. Phasellus et ante consequat, eleifend nibh at, porttitor orci. Pellentesque tempus nibh elementum, laoreet urna a, tempus augue. Quisque dictum, lacus mollis laoreet congue, velit leo mollis eros, vel imperdiet tortor mauris sit amet arcu. Praesent euismod imperdiet nisi sed tincidunt. Maecenas commodo faucibus massa, sit amet lobortis lectus eleifend id. Fusce dignissim, metus sed vulputate ultricies, quam nulla molestie diam, ac condimentum arcu felis vel nisl. Nunc maximus ex quis neque ultrices, elementum volutpat metus fringilla. Praesent suscipit eleifend augue, at aliquet metus finibus sit amet. Sed tristique mattis aliquet.		