

# A sequence-to-sequence approach for document-level relation extraction


John Giorgi, Gary D. Bader, Bo Wang

October 13, 2022

# Introduction

- Novel end-to-end joint learning approach for inter-sentence relation extraction.<sup>1</sup>
- Utilizes sequence to sequence architecture.
- Representation schema for coreferent entities,  $n$ -ary relations, and disjoint spans in output.

---

<sup>1</sup>Document-level is a stretch, due to encoder limit of 512 tokens they did paragraphs. 

# Introduction

- New benchmarks for end-to-end results over some biomedical datasets.
- Competitive results against more complex architectures for datasets with established end-to-end results.

# Defining Terms

## End-to-end RE:

- Relation extraction depends on entities.
- Pipeline methods (current standard), use one or more models for NER, and one or more models for RE over discovered entities.
- End-to-end approaches use one model (possibly with a classification head) to discover the relations, relying on internal representations to jointly extract and implicitly coordinate entity and relation information.

**NB:** The authors use *pipeline* to refer to the RE component. In NER/RE practice, pipeline usually refers to the whole system, NER component included.

# Defining Terms

## Coreference:

- The same entity may have one or more mentions in a given text unit (type vs. token).
- If a relation holds between two entities, how to reflect this for each entity's mentions?

# Motivation

- Lots of entity and relation information at the document and cross document level.
- Generalizing sentential pipeline methods (the current standard) for inter-sentential RE is very tricky.<sup>2</sup>
- Lots of information takes the form of  $n$ -ary relations, tricky to reconstruct this from binary relations.

---

<sup>2</sup>e.g. our NER/RE system for radiotherapy.

# Linearization Schema

*X*: Variants in the **estrogen receptor alpha (ESR1)** gene and its mRNA contribute to risk for **schizophrenia**.

*Y*: **estrogen receptor alpha** ; **ESR1** @GENE@  
**schizophrenia** @DISEASE@ @GDA@

Schema is:

$\langle \text{entity mention}_{1,1} \rangle ; \dots ; \langle \text{entity mention}_{1,n} \rangle \langle \text{entitytype}_1 \rangle \dots$   
 $\langle \text{entity mention}_{m,1} \rangle ; \dots ; \langle \text{entity mention}_{m,k} \rangle \langle \text{entitytype}_m \rangle$   
 $\langle \text{relationtype} \rangle$

# Model Structure



# Evaluation

# Simulating RE on Gold Entities (Entity Hinting)

*X*: estrogen receptor alpha ; ESR1 @GENE@  
schizophrenia @DISEASE@ @SEP@ Variants in the estrogen  
receptor alpha (ESR1) gene and its mRNA contribute to risk  
for schizophrenia.

# Datasets

- **CDR**  
Chemical-induced disease (CID) relations. Sentential
- **GDA**  
Gene-disease associations. Sentential
- **DGM**  
Drug-gene-mutations. Sentential
- **DocRED** General domain. Inter-sentential/document-level

# Datasets

CDR and GDA are annotated over PubMed titles and abstracts. DGM from PubMed articles. DocRED from Wikipedia.

CDR and DocRED are manually annotated. Unfortunately GDA and DGM are generated via distant supervision.

DocRED has a very rich taxonomy, 6 entity types and 96 relation types.

# $n$ -ary Relations (DGM)

Method	P	R	F1
<a href="#">Jia et al. (2019)</a> <sup>†</sup>	62.9	76.2	68.9
seq2rel (entity hinting)	<b>84.0</b>	<b>84.8</b>	<b>84.4</b>
seq2rel (entity hinting, relaxed)	84.1	84.9	84.5
seq2rel (end-to-end)	68.9	65.9	67.4
seq2rel (end-to-end, relaxed)	78.3	74.9	76.6

DGM has ternary relations.

# RE with Gold Entities (CDR, GDA)

Method	CDR			GDA		
	P	R	F1	P	R	F1
Christopoulou et al. (2019)	62.1	65.2	63.6	–	–	81.5
Nan et al. (2020)	–	–	64.8	–	–	82.2
Minh Tran et al. (2020)	–	–	66.1	–	–	82.8
Lai and Lu (2021)	64.9	67.1	66.0	–	–	–
Xu et al. (2021)	–	–	68.7	–	–	83.7
Zhou et al. (2021)	–	–	<b>69.4</b>	–	–	83.9
seq2rel (entity hinting)	68.2	66.2	67.2	84.4	85.3	<b>84.9</b>
seq2rel (entity hinting, relaxed)	68.2	66.2	67.2	84.5	85.4	85.0
seq2rel (end-to-end)	43.5	37.5	40.2	55.0	55.4	55.2
seq2rel (end-to-end, relaxed)	56.6	48.8	52.4	70.3	70.8	70.5

# End-to-end RE (DocRED)


Method	P	R	F1
JEREX (Eberts and Ulges, 2021)	42.8	<b>38.2</b>	<b>40.4</b>
seq2rel (end-to-end)	<b>44.0</b>	33.8	38.2
seq2rel (end-to-end, relaxed)	53.7	41.3	46.7

# End-to End RE Ablation (CDR, DocRED)

	CDR				DocRED			
	P	R	F1	$\Delta$	P	R	F1	$\Delta$
seq2rel (end-to-end)	<b>41.0</b>	35.1	37.8	–	46.9	<b>36.1</b>	<b>40.8</b>	–
- pretraining	9.4	6.9	8.0	-29.8	18.5	7.7	10.8	-30.0
- fine-tuning	24.3	20.5	22.2	-15.6	42.4	15.5	22.7	-18.1
- vocab restriction	39.6	32.2	35.5	-2.3	45.2	35.5	39.7	-1.1
- sorting relations	36.1	29.2	32.3	-5.6	<b>52.9</b>	17.4	26.2	-14.7
+ constrained decoding	40.8	<b>35.6</b>	<b>38.0</b>	+0.2	46.8	35.9	40.6	-0.2



# Training Set Size vs. Performance (CDR, GDA)



# Conclusion

# References I