MaLe-AD23-HS24 – Grading scheme for project work

Supervisor: Norman Juchler

Student: Project title:

Content (33%)	Does apply?	Weight factor	Fully satisfied	Partially satisfied		Score	
Has the student developed a good understanding of the data science workflow?	Yes	2	х				4
Has the student managed to developed a predictive model?	Yes	2			Х		0
Has the model been validated (with cross-validation)?	Yes	2	х				4
Have the different stages of the workflow been addressed adequately, specific to the problem?	Yes	2		Х			2
Is the provided solution / implementation in Python/scikit-learn functional and practical?	Yes	2	х				4
Has the dataset been described, visualized and critically examined (EDA)?	Yes	2	х				4
Report / Documentation (33%)	Does apply?	Weight factor	Fully satisfied			Score	
Did the student follow the instructions?	Yes	2		Х			2
Are the context and the problem adequately described? Are the project goals clear?	Yes	2		х			2
Did the student adquately reflect on the findings, observations, experiences and/or limitations?	Yes	2	х				4
Is the documentation comprehensible and complete, while at the same time concise and to the point?	Yes	2	х				4
Does the manuscript use proper language (orthography, style)?	Yes	2			х		0
Does the student adhere to the ZHAW AI policy?	Yes	2	х				4
General impression (33%)	Does apply?	Weight factor	Fully satisfied			Score	
Did the student express curiosity and engage with the subject matter?	Yes	2		Х			2
Was the project of sufficient scope and complexity?	Yes	2		x			2
Has the student provided all requested deliverables?	Yes	2	х				4
Has the deadline been met?	Yes	2			х		0
	Has the student developed a good understanding of the data science workflow? Has the student managed to developed a predictive model? Has the model been validated (with cross-validation)? Have the different stages of the workflow been addressed adequately, specific to the problem? Is the provided solution / implementation in Python/scikit-learn functional and practical? Has the dataset been described, visualized and critically examined (EDA)? Report / Documentation (33%) Did the student follow the instructions? Are the context and the problem adequately described? Are the project goals clear? Did the student adquately reflect on the findings, observations, experiences and/or limitations? Is the documentation comprehensible and complete, while at the same time concise and to the point? Does the manuscript use proper language (orthography, style)? Does the student adhere to the ZHAW Al policy? General impression (33%) Did the student express curiosity and engage with the subject matter? Was the project of sufficient scope and complexity? Has the student provided all requested deliverables?	Content (33%) Has the student developed a good understanding of the data science workflow? Has the student managed to developed a predictive model? Has the model been validated (with cross-validation)? Have the different stages of the workflow been addressed adequately, specific to the problem? Yes Is the provided solution / implementation in Python/scikit-learn functional and practical? Yes Has the dataset been described, visualized and critically examined (EDA)? Poes Report / Documentation (33%) Does apply? Did the student follow the instructions? Are the context and the problem adequately described? Are the project goals clear? Yes Did the student adquately reflect on the findings, observations, experiences and/or limitations? Yes Is the documentation comprehensible and complete, while at the same time concise and to the point? Yes Does the manuscript use proper language (orthography, style)? Does the student adhere to the ZHAW AI policy? Yes General impression (33%) Does apply? Does Was the project of sufficient scope and complexity? Has the student provided all requested deliverables? Yes	Content (33%) Has the student developed a good understanding of the data science workflow? Has the student managed to developed a predictive model? Has the model been validated (with cross-validation)? Have the different stages of the workflow been addressed adequately, specific to the problem? Has the provided solution / implementation in Python/scikit-learn functional and practical? Wes 2 Has the dataset been described, visualized and critically examined (EDA)? Report / Documentation (33%) Does apply? Are the context and the problem adequately described? Are the project goals clear? Did the student adquately reflect on the findings, observations, experiences and/or limitations? Is the documentation comprehensible and complete, while at the same time concise and to the point? Does the manuscript use proper language (orthography, style)? Does the student adhere to the ZHAW Al policy? General impression (33%) Does weight factor Does weight factor Does weight factor Does the student express curiosity and engage with the subject matter? Yes 2 Was the project of sufficient scope and complexity? Has the student provided all requested deliverables?	Content (33%) Has the student developed a good understanding of the data science workflow? Has the student managed to developed a predictive model? Has the model been validated (with cross-validation)? Have the different stages of the workflow been addressed adequately, specific to the problem? Have the different stages of the workflow been addressed adequately, specific to the problem? Has the provided solution / implementation in Python/scikit-learn functional and practical? Has the dataset been described, visualized and critically examined (EDA)? Report / Documentation (33%) Does at the context and the problem adequately described? Are the project goals clear? Are the context and the problem adequately described? Are the project goals clear? Pes 2 Did the student adquately reflect on the findings, observations, experiences and/or limitations? Is the documentation comprehensible and complete, while at the same time concise and to the point? Pes 2 X Does the manuscript use proper language (orthography, style)? Does the student adhere to the ZHAW AI policy? Pes 2 Weight apply? factor Test or satisfied Telly satisfied Does weight apply? factor Test or satisfied Telly satisfied Does weight apply? factor Satisfied Telly satisfied Does weight apply? factor Satisfied	Content (33%) Has the student developed a good understanding of the data science workflow? Has the student managed to developed a predictive model? Has the model been validated (with cross-validation)? Have the different stages of the workflow been addressed adequately, specific to the problem? Is the provided solution / implementation in Python/scikit-learn functional and practical? Has the dataset been described, visualized and critically examined (EDA)? Report / Documentation (33%) Report / Documentation (33%) Does Are the context and the problem adequately described? Are the project goals clear? Are the context and the problem adequately described? Are the project goals clear? Poid the student adquately reflect on the findings, observations, experiences and/or limitations? Is the documentation comprehensible and complete, while at the same time concise and to the point? Does the manuscript use proper language (orthography, style)? Does the student adhere to the ZHAW Al policy? Does the student adhere to the ZHAW Al policy? Does the student express curiosity and engage with the subject matter? Was the project of sufficient scope and complexity? Has the student provided all requested deliverables? Yes 2 x Are the student provided all requested deliverables?	Content (33%) Has the student developed a good understanding of the data science workflow? Has the student managed to developed a predictive model? Has the student managed to developed a predictive model? Has the model been validated (with cross-validation)? Have the different stages of the workflow been addressed adequately, specific to the problem? Has the provided solution / implementation in Python/scikit-learn functional and practical? Has the dataset been described, visualized and critically examined (EDA)? Report / Documentation (33%) Report / Documentation (33%) Does weight satisfied satisfied apply? Are the context and the problem adequately described? Are the project goals clear? Are the context and the problem adequately described? Are the project goals clear? Did the student adquately reflect on the findings, observations, experiences and/or limitations? Is the documentation comprehensible and complete, while at the same time concise and to the point? Does the manuscript use proper language (orthography, style)? Does the student adhere to the ZHAW Al policy? Partially Satisfied Not satisfied Not satisfied Not satisfied Not satisfied Not satisfied Not satisfied sa	Content (33%) Has the student developed a good understanding of the data science workflow? Has the student managed to developed a predictive model? Has the student managed to developed a predictive model? Has the model been validated (with cross-validation)? Have the different stages of the workflow been addressed adequately, specific to the problem? Is the provided solution / implementation in Python/scikit-learn functional and practical? Has the dataset been described, visualized and critically examined (EDA)? Report / Documentation (33%) Report / Documentation (33%) Does weight apply? factor factor satisfied satisfi

Created by Norman Juchler (juch@zhaw.ch Version 2.3 December 2024

Overal grade 4.19
Grading completed Yes

Total		Max.			
score		possible	Grade	Weight	Completed
	18	24	4.75	0.333	Yes

Total		Max.			
score		possible	Grade	Weight	Completed
	16	24	4.33	0.333	Yes

Γotal		Max.			
score		possible	Grade	Weight	Completed
	8	16	3.50	0.333	Yes