# Tyler Albanese

Project CSE 4300

## **Objective**

I noticed the message in scheduler.c about improving the scheduler and thought that might be a good project to attempt. I wanted to implement a priority based scheduler, and after some research, I learned that basing priority off interactiveness is one way priority is assigned so I went in that direction.

### **Implementation**

I first added a priority field "t\_priority" to the thread struct in thread.h and set it to 50 in thread\_create in the thread.c file. I decided my priority would range from 0 to 100 so 50 is a medium default priority.

```
static
struct thread *
thread_create(const char *name)
    struct thread *thread = kmalloc(sizeof(struct thread));
    if (thread==NULL) {
       return NULL;
   thread->t_name = kstrdup(name);
    if (thread->t_name==NULL) {
       kfree(thread);
       return NULL;
   thread->t_sleepaddr = NULL;
    thread->t_stack = NULL;
    thread->t_vmspace = NULL;
    thread->t_cwd = NULL;
   thread->t_priority = 50; //Medium priority
    return thread;
```

I also added some helpful functions thread\_getPriority and thread\_setPriority in thread.c/thread.h. This was to help with obtaining and manipulating priority if I had to later on.

```
void thread_setPriority(struct thread *thread, int priority)
{
    assert(thread != NULL);
    thread->t_priority = priority;
}
int thread_getPriority(struct thread *thread)
{
    assert(thread != NULL);
    return thread->t_priority;
}
```

From some research I learned that how often a thread enters the sleep/ready states can be a good indication of how interactive it is. Based off this I decided to adjust the priority in the mi\_switch function in thread.c. When the "newstate" was "S\_READY" I decremented the priority and when the "newstate" was "S\_SLEEP" I increased the priority.

```
if (nextstate==S_READY) {
    curPriority = thread_getPriority(cur);
    curPriority--;
    thread_setPriority(cur, curPriority);
    result = make_runnable(cur);
}
else if (nextstate==S_SLEEP) {
    /*
    * Because we preallocate sleepers[] during thread_fork,
    * this should never fail.
    */
    curPriority = thread_getPriority(cur);
    if (curPriority < 100) //Max Priority
    {
        curPriority++;
    }
    thread_setPriority(cur, curPriority);
    result = array_add(sleepers, cur);
}
</pre>
```

In my scheduler implementation in scheduler.c I traverse the queue and locate the thread with the highest priority and record its index. Once I find the highest priority thread I move it to the tail position which will be the thread next scheduled by the scheduler. This sequence occurs every time the scheduler is called. I examined the queue.c file and utilized some of the functions available in my implementation.

```
struct thread
scheduler(void)
    assert(curspl>0);
    while (q_empty(runqueue)) {
        cpu_idle();
    int q_size = q_getsize(runqueue);
int index; //index of highest priority thread in queue
    int priority;
    int maxPriority = 0; //current highest priority found
    int start = q_getstart(runqueue);
int end = q_getend(runqueue);
    struct thread * threadTemp;
    i = start;
while (i != end)
         threadTemp = q_getguy(runqueue, i);
        priority = thread_getPriority(threadTemp);
         //Identify highest priority
if (priority > maxPriority)
             index = i;
         i = (i+1) % q_size;
    while(q_getstart(runqueue) != index)
         threadTemp = q_remhead(runqueue);
        q_addtail(runqueue, threadTemp);
    return q_remhead(runqueue);
```

## Queue functions

- q\_getstart
  - Returns index of "nextread" (tail);
- q getend
  - Returns index of "nextwrite" (head);
- o q\_remhead
  - Returns thread to be at tail and shifts queue
- q\_getguy
  - Returns thread at specific index
- q\_addtail
  - Places thread at "nextread" (tail) which means it will be scheduled first

#### **Testing and Conclusions**

Testing was difficult because I was unsure how to simulate an interactive thread. I was able to compile the kernel with my changes and run sys161. I also ran the built in thread tests which were successful. Overall, trying to improve the scheduler was difficult because of the limitations of the queue structure used. I initially wanted to implement a multi-leveled queue, but found that wasn't feasible without major changes to the queue structure and adding another module to handle migrating between queues.

```
🚫 🖨 📵 tyler@tyler-VirtualBox: ~/cs4300-os161/root
Copyright (c) 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003
   President and Fellows of Harvard College. All rights reserved.
Put-your-group-name-here's system version 0 (ASSTO #11)
Cpu is MIPS r2000/r3000
332k physical memory available
Device probe...
lamebus0 (system main bus)
emu0 at lamebus0
ltrace0 at lamebus0
ltimerO at lamebusO
hardclock on ltimer0 (100 hz)
beep0 at ltimer0
rtclock0 at ltimer0
lrandom0 at lamebus0
random0 at lrandom0
lhd0 at lamebus0
lhd1 at lamebus0
lser0 at lamebus0
con0 at lser0
pseudorand0 (virtual)
Tyler's custom OS/161 kernel [? for menu]:
```

```
Thread test done.

Operation took 0.451460840 seconds

Tyler's custom OS/161 kernel [? for menu]: tt2

Starting thread test 2...

O12345670E123456x7iting with code: 1
```