



**University of  
Zurich** <sup>UZH</sup>

**UZH**  
Blockchain  
Center

---

# ANALYSIS OF IMPLEMENTING A SMART CONTRACT IN WEATHER INSURANCE USING CHAINLINK ORACLES

---

BACHELOR THESIS

SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR  
THE DEGREE OF BACHELOR OF SCIENCE

AUTHOR

**AEBERHARD ELIA ANDREAS**

GMEINDHUSPLATZ 4  
5223 RINIEN

MATRICULATION NUMBER: **19-925-957**

EMAIL: [ELIA.AEBERHARD@UZH.CH](mailto:ELIA.AEBERHARD@UZH.CH)

SUPERVISOR

**PROF. DR CLAUDIO J. TESSONE**

BLOCKCHAIN & DISTRIBUTED LEDGER TECHNOLOGIES

DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATICS

UNIVERSITY OF ZURICH

DATE OF SUBMISSION: [ DATE ]

## **Executive Summary**

Write this last. It is an overview of your whole thesis, and is between 200-300 words.. . .

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Background . . . . .	1
1.1.1	Existing Weather Insurance . . . . .	1
1.2	Problem Statement . . . . .	2
1.3	Objectives . . . . .	2
<b>2</b>	<b>Literature Review</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Key limitations in existing weather insurance . . . . .	3
2.1.1	Administrative costs . . . . .	3
2.1.2	Systemic risk . . . . .	4
2.1.3	Fraud and manipulation . . . . .	4
2.1.4	Lack of transparency and trust . . . . .	4
2.2	Smart contracts in Insurance . . . . .	4
2.3	Chainlink and Google Cloud Public Datasets . . . . .	4
2.4	Technical and regulatory challenges of Smart Contracts . . . . .	5
<b>3</b>	<b>Methodology</b>	<b>6</b>
3.1	Research Design . . . . .	6
3.2	Data Collection . . . . .	6
3.3	Prototype development . . . . .	6
<b>4</b>	<b>Development of the Prototype</b>	<b>7</b>
4.1	Requirements . . . . .	7
4.1.1	Functional Requirements . . . . .	7
4.1.2	Non-Functional Requirements . . . . .	8
4.1.3	Technical Requirements (not finished) . . . . .	8

4.2	Architecture . . . . .	9
4.2.1	General overview . . . . .	9
4.2.2	Decentralized app . . . . .	9
4.2.3	Integration of chainlink oracles and GCP . . . . .	10
4.3	Data flow . . . . .	10
4.3.1	Purchase weather insurance policy . . . . .	10
4.3.2	Invoke policy payment . . . . .	10
4.3.3	Interaction with smart contract . . . . .	10
4.3.4	Smart contract fetching weather data . . . . .	11
<b>5</b>	<b>Analysis and Discussion</b>	<b>13</b>
5.1	Technological and regulatory barriers of the prototype . . . . .	13
5.2	Real-world application of the prototype . . . . .	13
5.3	Analysis of smart contracts in the insurance industry . . . . .	13
<b>6</b>	<b>Summary and Conclusion</b>	<b>14</b>
6.1	Summary of findings . . . . .	14
6.2	Conclusions . . . . .	14
6.3	Future work . . . . .	14
<b>Appendices</b>		
<b>A</b>	<b>Appendix title 1</b>	<b>16</b>

# List of Figures

4.1	Diagram showing the architecture of the smart contract. <i>Source: Author's own representation.</i>	9
4.2	Purchase policy flow <i>Source: Author's own representation.</i> . . . . .	11

# Chapter 1

## Introduction

Introduction text ?

### 1.1 Background

In 2016, global disasters accounted for USD 175 billion in economic losses. USD 54 billion of these economic losses were insured, resulting in uninsured losses of USD 121 billion (Swiss Re Institute [2017](#)). These losses highlight the importance of weather insurance in providing financial protection for individuals, business and governments. For comparison, the international humanitarian assistance reached USD 28 billion in 2015, making the uninsured losses of 2016 over 4 times that amount (Initiatives [2016](#)).

#### 1.1.1 Existing Weather Insurance

Traditional weather insurance primarily consisted of crop insurance. The policy in this contract was typically conducted bilateral between an individual or business and the insurance company. If a loss incurred on the crop of the individual or business due to weather conditions, an assessment had to be done by the insurance company and the insurance payout was determined based on the specific circumstances (Michler et al. [2022](#)).

Crop insurance has some major problems associated with it. One of these problems is the manual process of analyzing and determining the loss in a monetized amount by an insurance company representative. Other problems include systemic risk, where many insurance holders in the same region are in risk of being affected through weather conditions simultaneously, and asymmetric information, where, for example, insurance holders act more riskily than they normally would because they know they are insured (Makki [2002](#)).

A more modern approach to weather insurance compared to the traditional crop insurance is

weather-based index insurance. The key difference here is that weather-based index insurance relies on a measurable variable (such as a temperature drop below a certain threshold or a specific amount of rainfall). The underlying weather data is provided by a reference weather station. The goal is for the criteria (e.g. the temperature threshold) to reflect the financial loss experienced by the insurance holder, for example the loss of a corn field due to adverse weather conditions (Kajwang 2022).

## 1.2 Problem Statement

Even though the weather-based index insurance approach poses a significant improvement compared to the traditional weather insurance, there are still a lot of problems associated with it. These problems include high administrative costs, delayed payouts, scalability and lack of trust in the underlying systems and insurance companies. (Skees et al. 2008). These limitations reduce accessibility and the range of weather insurance solutions for individuals and business, especially in more developing areas.

To address these problems, this thesis proposes a weather insurance solution based on blockchain technology. Through decentralized, transparent systems and globally available weather data, the solution aims to reduce the administrative costs, enable automatic and instant payouts, improve the scalability and encourage more trust among the insurance holders.

## 1.3 Objectives

The main objective of this thesis is to analyze possible implementations of a blockchain-based weather insurance solution that addresses the challenges and drawbacks of traditional crop insurance and weather-based index insurance. The specific objectives are as follows:

- Identify and analyze the key challenges of current weather insurance solutions.
- Propose a blockchain-based weather insurance design that utilizes decentralized oracles and globally available weather data.
- Evaluate the proposed solution.
- Compare the blockchain-based solution to traditional crop insurance and weather-based index solutions to analyze the potential and improvements in efficiency, transparency and user trust.

## Chapter 2

# Literature Review

This chapter dives deeper into the existing challenges and limitations of the current weather insurance models with a focus on weather-based index insurance. It then introduces the concept of using smart contracts in insurance in combination with chainlink oracles and google cloud public datasets. Finally, the chapter examines regulatory and technical challenges associated with implementing a blockchain-based weather solution.

### 2.1 Key limitations in existing weather insurance

In this section every key limitation of existing weather insurance will have its own dedicated subsection.

#### 2.1.1 Administrative costs

In Traditional crop insurance the administrative costs make up 35% to 40% of the insurance outlays while the remaining portion goes towards other costs such as the insurance payout and the reinsurance costs (Glauber 2004). The majority of these administrative costs consist of loss assessment, monitoring, claims, and underwriting expenses.

In index insurance the administrative costs are significantly lower than in crop insurance because the payouts are based on predefined weather indices rather than assessing individual losses. This index-based insurance model also reduces moral hazards since the payouts are triggered by weather events rather than individual actions. (Kusuma et al. 2018) proposes a weather-based index insurance for rice in Indonesia. It is designed to be cost-effective by basing the insured amount on the cost of inputs (e.g. seed and fertilizer) rather than covering the individual revenue loss.

In section 2.2 the thesis will discuss how smart contracts can be used to lower administrative costs through automated processes even more than weather-based index insurance.



### 2.1.2 Systemic risk

A key limitation for existing weather insurance is the systemic risk it poses. (Xu et al. 2010) explains how weather risk is systemic in nature, meaning that weather-related events like droughts or floods often affect entire regions rather than isolated areas. In such an event, a large number of insurance holders would file claims simultaneously, making it difficult for the insurance company to payout all these claims at the same time. It further shows that systemic risk is one of the key reasons why existing weather-based insurance markets have struggled and often require government subsidies, especially in the case of crop insurance.

Based on (Salgueiro and Tarrazon-Rodon 2021), which shows how geographic diversification of the insurance solution reduces the systemic risk, this thesis proposes that a solution based on blockchain technology, which allows for global scalability and diversification, could further reduce systemic risk by creating more decentralized risk pools.

### 2.1.3 Fraud and manipulation

### 2.1.4 Lack of transparency and trust

## 2.2 Smart contracts in Insurance

In 2017 the insurance company AXA launched Fizzy, a flight insurance based on smart contract technology. It was one of the earliest examples of the adaption of blockchain technology in the insurance industry. Fizzy was quite simple. A customer entered his flight details and paid a premium for the insurance. Later Fizzy then used an oracle to check whether the flight has been delayed for more than 2 hours and if so would trigger a payment automatically (Hoffmann 2021).

## 2.3 Chainlink and Google Cloud Public Datasets

For the prototype this thesis will use Chainlink, a decentralized oracle service, in order for our smart contract to access real-world weather data. The decentralized nature of Chainlink improves the security and reliability of the data-inputs (Beniiche 2020).

Specifically, Global Surface Summary of the Day (GSOD) will be used as the weather data source. Over 9000 stations provide weather data for the dataset with each station having to report a minimum of 4 observations per day of a set of measured variables including temperature, wind speed, pressure among others. (Environmental Information 2023). The entire dataset is hosted on the Google Cloud Platform (GCP) and is updated daily.

Additionally, the Global Forecast System (GFS) is used which provides weather data prediction globally of up to 16 days. It is a numerical system based on four different models (atmosphere,

ocean, land and sea model) and is hosted on the Google Cloud Platform (GCP) alongside Global Surface Summary of the Day (GSOD) (Environmental Information [n.d.](#)).

## 2.4 Technical and regulatory challenges of Smart Contracts

(Gatteschi et al. [2018](#)) expresses concerns that the technology is still being explored and not yet ready for its benefits to become more evident. One challenge faced by smart contracts in the insurance industry is technical readiness. Oracles are the external data sources that provide real-world information to smart contracts. The integrity of the smart contract is dependent on the reliability of these oracles and the validity of their data.

Another important point to consider are the different kinds of legal problems associated with smart contracts. For one there is no accepted universal definition of a smart contract which leads to difficulties in defining their legal status across different jurisdictions. There are also concerns about consumer protection laws since an automated transaction initiated by a smart contract may violate local regulations if consumers are unable to legally contest or reverse it (Ferreira [2021](#)).

## **Chapter 3**

# **Methodology**

### **3.1 Research Design**

### **3.2 Data Collection**

### **3.3 Prototype development**

## Chapter 4

# Development of the Prototype

### 4.1 Requirements

In order to provide the blockchain-based weather insurance, our system must fulfill several key requirements. In this section we describe each category of requirements in a dedicated subsection.

#### 4.1.1 Functional Requirements

- **Policyholder Interaction**

- The system must provide a user interface for purchasing policies and control information such as whether a payment has been triggered or the payment status.
- This user interface should be kept with minimum need

- **Weather Data Integration**

- The system must be able to receive and process global weather data from reliable and trusted external sources such as Global Surface Summary of the Day (GSOD) and Global Forecast System (GFS)
- Both historical and forecast data must be available

- **Smart Contract**

- The smart contract must allow users to purchase weather-based insurance policies.
- The smart contract must store the policy terms, including the weather conditions that will trigger the specified amount of insurance payout.
- The contract must automatically trigger a payout when the predefined weather conditions are met.

- **Oracle Integration**

- The system must use Chainlink oracles to retrieve and verify weather data from GCP datasets.
- Chainlink oracles must ensure secure transmission of data to the smart contract.

- **Payout Processing**

- The system must be able to automatically execute payouts without manual intervention when the correct conditions are met.

#### 4.1.2 Non-Functional Requirements

1. **Security**

- All the bilateral interactions between the smart contract, Chainlink oracle, google cloud and the user must be secure and tamper-proof.

2. **Scalability**

- The system must scale to handle multiple policies and users.

3. **Transparency**

- All transactions and insurance claims must be recorded on the blockchain for transparency and security.

#### 4.1.3 Technical Requirements (not finished)

1. **Blockchain Platform**

- The smart contract must be deployed on the Ethereum blockchain

2. **Data API**

- The system must use APIs to retrieve weather data from GCP.

3. **Chainlink Oracle**

- The system must integrate with a Chainlink node to facilitate data retrieval from GCP.


## 4.2 Architecture

In this section we will propose the architecture for our blockchain-based weather insurance system. First we present a high-level overview of the system and then elaborate on the most important components in a dedicated subsection for each component.

### 4.2.1 General overview

In 4.1 we present an overview of the general architecture that implements the requirements specified in 4.1. The center of the architecture is the smart contract deployed on the Ethereum blockchain. It manages all policies and decisions based on user requests and incoming weather data. The end user interacts directly with the smart contract through a decentralized app (see 4.2.2) where they can request insurance policies and track their contract status.

The weather data is provided by Global Surface Summary of the Day (GSOD) and Global Forecast System (GFS) respectively. These datasets can be accessed via GCP BigQuery and GCP Storage through the GCP Interface. To bridge the gap between the off-chain data from GCP and the on-chain smart contract, the system uses a Chainlink Oracle (see 4.2.3). This Chainlink Oracle retrieves the weather data from GCP through the GCP Interface which are essentially API Endpoints and passes it on to the smart contract on the Ethereum blockchain.



**Figure 4.1** – Diagram showing the architecture of the smart contract. *Source: Author's own representation.*

### 4.2.2 Decentralized app

The decentralized application (DApp) allows a non-technical user to directly interact with the blockchain. Through its interface a user can request insurance policies, check policy statuses and receive notifications. Unlike traditional applications, which interact with a centrally managed back-end, decentralized applications interact directly with a smart contract on a blockchain.

This interaction requires a digital wallet (e.g. MetaMask) which enables the user to initiate and sign a transaction when making a request, such as purchasing an insurance policy. In our architecture,

the DApp serves as the primary interface in order for the end-users to interact with the system.

(Idea: Include a mockup of a frontend utilizing metamask)

### 4.2.3 Integration of chainlink oracles and GCP

Since the weather data from GSOD and GFS, which is accessed through the Google Cloud Platform (GCP), is not directly available from the on-chain environment of the smart contract we need to leverage oracles, which retrieve and verify external data before delivering it to the blockchain.

In this case, Chainlink oracles are used to securely interact with the Google Cloud Platform (GCP) and pass it to the smart contract. The Chainlink oracle network consists of globally distributed nodes. When a request is triggered, multiple nodes independently access GCP and receive the weather data specified in the request. If any nodes return results that differ from the majority, they are flagged as potentially malicious. This decentralized approach ensures that only verified and reliable weather data is passed on to the smart contract.

## 4.3 Data flow

In this section we will present the most important data flows in our system.

### 4.3.1 Purchase weather insurance policy

The flow diagram in fig. 4.2 outlines the steps involved in purchasing a weather insurance policy in our system. The main components involved are the End User, Smart Contract, Chainlink Oracle and the Google Cloud Platform (GCP). Each of these components play a central role in enabling the policy purchase and the associated data retrieval and validation processes. Every request between components includes a set of parameters. These parameters contain the necessary information that each component needs in order to perform its role and functions accurately. For example, in a purchase policy request parameters such as location, coverage duration and type of coverage are sent to the smart contract. Table ?? contains all requests with their respective parameters.

The End User starts the process by requesting a weather insurance policy from the smart contract. This interaction happens through the use of a decentralized app section 4.2.2, which is not explicitly mentioned in the diagram but can be thought of as the interface enabling the end user to interact directly with the smart contract.

### 4.3.2 Invoke policy payment

### 4.3.3 Interaction with smart contract




Figure 4.2 – Purchase policy flow Source: Author's own representation.

#### 4.3.4 Smart contract fetching weather data



Name	Parameters	Description	Response
Request policy	Location: String Coverage duration: Date Type of coverage: Enum	Requests a policy with the given parameters as the underlying conditions	Policy offer including financial conditions
Row 2, Col 1	Row 2, Col 2	Row 2, Col 3	Row 2, Col 4
Row 3, Col 1	Row 3, Col 2	Row 3, Col 3	Row 3, Col 4

**Table 4.1** – Requests with their parameters *Source: Author's own representation.*

## **Chapter 5**

# **Analysis and Discussion**

**5.1 Technological and regulatory barriers of the prototype**

**5.2 Real-world application of the prototype**

**5.3 Analysis of smart contracts in the insurance industry**

## **Chapter 6**

# **Summary and Conclusion**

### **6.1 Summary of findings**

### **6.2 Conclusions**

### **6.3 Future work**

# **Appendices**

## **Appendix A**

### **Appendix title 1**

Test appendix 1

# Bibliography

- Beniiche, Abdeljalil (2020). "A study of blockchain oracles". In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.07140*.
- Environmental Information, NOAA National Centers for (2023). *Global Surface Summary of the Day - GSOD*. Accessed: 2024-10-21.
- (n.d.). *Global Forecast System (GFS)*. Accessed: 2024-10-21.
- Ferreira, Agata (2021). "Regulating smart contracts: Legal revolution or simply evolution?" In: *Telecommunications Policy* 45.2, p. 102081.
- Gatteschi, Valentina, Fabrizio Lamberti, Claudio Demartini, Chiara Pranteda, and Víctor Santamaría (2018). "Blockchain and smart contracts for insurance: Is the technology mature enough?" In: *Future internet* 10.2, p. 20.
- Glauber, Joseph W (2004). "Crop insurance reconsidered". In: *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* 86.5, pp. 1179–1195.
- Hoffmann, Christian Hugo (2021). "A double design-science perspective of entrepreneurship—the example of smart contracts in the insurance market". In: *Journal of Work-Applied Management* 13.1, pp. 69–87.
- Initiatives, Development (2016). *Global Humanitarian Assistance Report 2016*. Accessed: October 1, 2024. Development Initiatives.
- Kajwang, Ben (2022). "Weather based index insurance and its role in agricultural production". In: *International Journal of Agriculture* 7.1, pp. 13–25.
- Kusuma, Aditya, Bethanna Jackson, and Ilan Noy (2018). "A viable and cost-effective weather index insurance for rice in Indonesia". In: *The Geneva Risk and Insurance Review* 43, pp. 186–218.
- Makki, Shiva (2002). *Crop insurance: inherent problems and innovative solutions*. Ames: Iowa State University Press.
- Michler, Jeffrey D, Frederi G Viens, and Gerald E Shively (2022). "Risk, crop yields, and weather index insurance in village India". In: *Journal of the Agricultural and Applied Economics Association* 1.1, pp. 61–81.
- Salgueiro, Andrea Martinez and Maria-Antonia Tarrazon-Rodon (2021). "Is diversification effective in reducing the systemic risk implied by a market for weather index-based insurance in Spain?" In: *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction* 62, p. 102345.

- Skees, Jerry R et al. (2008). "Challenges for use of index-based weather insurance in lower income countries". In: *Agricultural Finance Review* 68.1, p. 197.
- Swiss Re Institute (2017). *Natural catastrophes and man-made disasters in 2016*. Sigma No. 2/2017. Accessed: October 1, 2024. Swiss Re.
- Xu, Wei, Guenther Filler, Martin Odening, and Ostap Okhrin (2010). "On the systemic nature of weather risk". In: *Agricultural Finance Review* 70.2, pp. 267–284.

## Eidesstattliche Erklärung

Der/Die Verfasser/in erklärt an Eides statt, dass er/sie die vorliegende Arbeit selbständig, ohne fremde Hilfe und ohne Benutzung anderer als die angegebenen Hilfsmittel angefertigt hat. Die aus fremden Quellen (einschliesslich elektronischer Quellen) direkt oder indirekt übernommenen Gedanken sind ausnahmslos als solche kenntlich gemacht. Die Arbeit ist in gleicher oder ähnlicher Form oder auszugsweise im Rahmen einer anderen Prüfung noch nicht vorgelegt worden.

.....  
Ort, Datum

.....  
Unterschrift des/der Verfassers/in