# **Solutions**

## to the MODS 2019 September Advanced Contest

Compiled by the Mathematical Olympiads Discord Server (MODS) at https://discord.gg/94UnnAG

This contest was hosted by Sharky Kesa, brainysmurfs, DanieldanDaniel [DT], and Tony Wang in the Mathematical Olympiads Discord Server on the 10th and 11th of August. Throughout the document the following names correspond to the following users on Discord:

Sharky Kesa
brainysmurfs
tanyoshi
Will
Tony Wang
268970368524484609
281300961312374785
300065144333926400
429525897167765505
541318134699786272

In a  $2019 \times 2019$  grid, the middle square is initially blue, and all other squares are white. In every move, three things happen in order:

- 1. Steve chooses some squares to colour black.
- 2. If any connected black region had a square that was initially blue before step 1., then all white squares adjacent to any black square in this region becomes blue.
- 3. All black squares become white.

(Two squares are *adjacent* if and only if they share an edge, and two squares A and B are in the same connected region if and only if there exists a sequence of squares  $A, S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_n, B$  of the same colour such that any two consecutive squares in the sequence are adjacent.)

- (a) What is the maximum number of blue squares that can exist on the grid at once?
- (b) What is the minimum number of moves required to achieve this number of squares?

(Proposed by Tanyoshi)

#### Solution 1 (by Tony Wang)

- (a) Note that after any non-trivial move, where at least one squares was coloured black, that square becomes white at the end of the move. Since not all squares are blue in the starting configuration, and not all squares can be blue after any move, we cannot reach such a state after any number of moves. We make a construction in the following part.
- (b) Denote by  $S_n$  the set of squares that have taxicab distance exactly n. That is,  $S_n$  is the n-th diamond of squares from the centre, where the center square is the zeroth diamond. Let  $R_n$  be  $S_n$  minus any squares that are at the edge of the board.

Note that if we colour  $R_n$  in black,  $S_{n+1}$  and  $R_{n-1}$  become blue by the end of the turn.

We begin by colouring the central column of squares, as well as the topmost and bottommost row, minus all of the corner squares, in black. Note that  $S_{2018}$  and  $R_{2016}$  both become blue. Then on each move thereafter, for each of  $i = \{2016, 2015, ..., 0\}$ , we observe the following

- 1. We colour all the squares in  $R_i$  black.
- 2. As each of the squares in  $R_i$  were blue, we note that this causes both  $R_{i-1}$  and  $S_{i+1}$  to become blue.

Hence  $S_i$  iteratively become blue for all  $i = \{2018, 2017, \dots, 1\}$ . Note that the process above takes 2018 steps, one for each  $R_i$  for i > 0, and thus we have shown that the upper bound is 2018.

We now show that the lower bound is 2018 by proving an intermediate lemma: If a white square A becomes blue after one move, then at least one of the squares adjacent to it is white. To prove this, we note that to turn a square blue, at least one adjacent square B must be coloured black at the start of that move. Then by the end of that move, B becomes white again, and is adjacent to A.

This implies that, under the taxicab metric, the distance between the two white squares that are the furthest apart may only decrease by 2 on each move. Since this value is 4036 initially, it must take at least 4036/2 = 2018 moves for distance to become 0.

Find all n such that there exists a set of n consecutive nonnegative integers whose squares can be partitioned into two subsets of equal sum.

(Proposed by tanyoshi)

#### Solution 1 (by Tony Wang and epicxtroll)

Let n be good if is possible to partition some n consecutive squares into two subsets of equal sum. We split this problem into several cases:

1. n = 2k + 1 is good.

Let the consecutive squares be  $\{(a-k)^2, \ldots, (a+k)^2\}$ , and suppose we partition the squares into the  $\{(a-k)^2, \ldots, a^2\}$  and  $\{(a+1)^2, \ldots, (a+k)^2\}$ . By expanding and equating the sums we get  $a^2 - 2k(k+1)a = 0$  and thus setting  $a = 2k(k+1) \ge k$  gives a construction for all odd n.

2. n = 8k is good.

Note that  $0^2+3^2+5^2+6^2=1^2+2^2+4^2+7^2$ , and 0+3+5+6=1+2+4+7. This means that for any 8 consecutive squares  $\{n^2,\ldots,(n+7)^2\}$ , the partition  $\{n^2,(n+3)^2,(n+5)^2,(n+6)^2\}$  and  $\{(n+1)^2,(n+2)^2,(n+4)^2,(n+7)^2\}$  have the same sum of  $4n^2+28n+70$ . Thus, if n=k is good, then n=k+8 is good as the next 8 squares can be partitioned as in the construction above and appended to the existing subsets. Hence, all n=8k are good by induction.

3. n = 8k + 4 for k > 0 is good.

In this case we note that the squares of  $\{12, 10, 9\}$  and the squares of  $\{11, 8, 7, 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1\}$  have the same sum of 325. Using the fact that n = k is good  $\implies n = k + 8$  is good, all 8k + 4 greater than 4 are good by induction.

4. n = 4 is not good.

Note that the squares modulo 8 are 0, 1, 4, 1. Thus any 4 consecutive squares have a sum of 6 (mod 8). Each subset must therefore have a sum that is 3 (mod 4), but this is not possible as the squares modulo 4 are 0 and 1.

5. n = 4k + 2 is not good.

As odd and even squares alternate, any consecutive 4k+2 squares must contain exactly 2k+1 odd squares and 2k+1 even squares. Thus the total sum of all squares is odd, but then the parity of the sums of the partitions must be different, a contradiction. Thus there are also no solutions in this case

These cover all possible cases, so we have now proven that all n are good apart from 4 and all n of the form 4k+2.

### Solution 2 (by Tony Wang)

We proceed as above, but in the case where we prove n=4 is not good it is possible to use a case bash:

4. n = 4 is not good.

As  $(n+3)^2 > (n+2)^2 > (n+1)^2 > n^2 \ge 0$ , the only two possible options are  $(n+3)^2 + n^2 = (n+2)^2 + (n+1)^2$  or  $(n+3)^2 = (n+2)^2 + (n+1)^2 + n^2$ . The former reduces to  $2n^2 + 6n + 9 = 2n^2 + 6n + 5$ , a contradiction, and the latter reduces to  $2n^2 = 4$ , so there are no solutions in this case.

ABC is a triangle with incentre I. The feet of the altitudes from I to BC, AC, AB are D, E, F respectively, and the line through D parallel to AI intersects AB and AC at X and Y respectively. Prove that the circles with diameters XF and YE have a common point on the circumcircle of ABC.

(Proposed by Will)

#### Solution 1 (by Will)

Define H as the intersection of XY and EF. Clearly H is the foot from D to EF. Observe that the inversion of (ABC) around the incircle of triangle ABC is the nine-point circle of triangle DEF so must pass through H. Trivially the circles with diameters XF and YE also pass through H.

Further observe that the circles with diameters XF and YE are orthogonal to the incircle and are hence self-inversive. This means that the inverse of H with respect to the incircle must lie on (ABC) and the circles with diameters XF and YE, proving that these two circles concur on the circumcircle of triangle ABC.

Is it true that for any n there exists a geometric progression of positive integers, with ratio not equal to any power of 10, such that the base-10 representation of the first n terms contain no 9s?

(Proposed by tanyoshi)

#### Solution 1 (by ayus)

We show that the answer to this problem is no.

Let's first solve an analogous version for arithmetic progressions. Suppose that the AP is

$$a+d$$
,  $a+2d$ ,  $a+3d$ , ...  $a+nd$ .

This might seem tricky until we look at gaps that we can't jump over. Intuitively, we notice that small d (e.g d < 100 will crash into a gap of size 100 between 900 and 1000 or between 1900 and 2000, etc.) will die early on. But larger d will crash into bigger gaps. Fortunately for us, they also reach these bigger gaps faster. For instance, if d is 2019, it will crash into a gap of size 1000 between  $10^4n + 9000$  and  $10^4(n+1)$  within 50 moves. Let's formalise this.

Consider the integer k such that  $10^{k-1} \le d < 10^k$ . Define S as follows:

$$S = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \left[ 10^{k+1} i - 10^k, 10^{k+1} \right[.$$

Note that each of these intervals has size  $10^k \ge d$  and thus if a + d < s < a + nd for some  $s \in S$  then there exists some  $s' \in S$  such that a + kd = s' for some k in... you get the idea.

Also, fun fact: everything in S has a 9 in the kth place value. Finally, if we take n = 100, then it's easy to show that we "jump over" such a gap (hint: how far apart are the gaps?)

With that said, let's do the actual problem. Let the sequence be

$$ar, ar^2, ar^3, \dots, ar^n$$
.

We consider instead the sequence of logarithms.

$$a' + r', a' + 2r', \dots, a' + nr'.$$

Better yet, we consider the sequence of fractional parts of that sequence, which I'll dub  $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^n$ . We define

$$\{S_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}} = \bigcup_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0, \ 10^{i-1} \le j < 10^i} \left[ \frac{10j+9}{10^i}, \frac{10j+10}{10^i} \right[,$$

the set of reals in [1, 10] with a 9 in the *i*th decimal place.

We take  $S'_i = \log S_i$  (base 10 log) to be the image of  $S_i$  under a logarithmic transform in the space  $\mathbb{R}/1\mathbb{R}$ , or informally, "the reals mod 1". We now prove the following lemma:

1. **Lemma.**  $S'_i$  is a union of finitely many intervals, and there exist positive real constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  such that the size of each interval has size at least  $10^{-i}C_1$  and the gap between any two of these intervals has size at most  $10^{-i}C_2$ .

*Proof.* Firstly, note that  $0 \sim 1 \pmod{1}$ , remember) is an endpoint of the "largest" thing. Now, the largest interval is the last interval: consider any gap, between j and j + 1.

$$\log \frac{10j+10}{10^i} - \log \frac{10j+9}{10^i} = \log \frac{10j+10}{10j+9}$$
$$= \log \left(1 + \frac{1}{10j+9}\right)$$

which is of course minimised when j is maximised (property of compositions of increasing and decreasing functions), which turns out to be

$$\log\left(1 + \frac{1}{10(10^i - 1) + 9}\right) > \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{10^{i + 2019}}\right) > \frac{1}{10^{i + 2019}}.$$

Thus  $C_1 = \frac{1}{2018}$  is a possible  $C_1$  value. The  $C_2$  value is basically the same (the first interval, from 1 to 1.0...09 is minimal), and requires two terms of the corresponding (gasp!) taylor series for logarithm rather than 1. Alternatively, you could bound it very messily as I have and still get a working constant.

Anyways, that means that there exists a minimal i such that  $\{r'\} < C_1 10^{-i}$  (I bet you forgot what r' was, didn't you? Well, for those who did, it's the log of the ratio of the GP, and here we take its fractional part); in other words,  $C_1 10^{-i-1} \le \{r'\} < C_1 10^{-i}$ . But because the intervals in  $S_i$  are at most  $C_2 10^{-i}$  apart, we have to hit one of them in at most  $\frac{C_2 10^{-i}}{r'} \le 10 \frac{C_2}{C_1}$  turns, a constant.

Okay, but wait.

This is all good and all, but it misses a very very important detail, which I'll leave as an exercise to the reader. As a test of understanding, find the detail and fix it.\*

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ You can find more discussion about this detail in the marker's comments.