

Special Education School District Data Profile for 2008-09

The Special Education School District Data Profile is prepared in accordance with the requirement of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). Each State must have a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of IDEA. The SPP is a six-year plan which describes New York State's performance on 20 indicators. States must report annually to the public on the performance of the State in an Annual Performance Report (APR) and each school district against the State's targets. New York State's SPP and the APR that describe these indicators in detail are available at http://www.p12.nysed.gov/specialed/spp/. The following report reflects only quantifiable data collected by the State. Since performance of a school district in any indicator may be the result of unique circumstances within a district, readers are encouraged to consider information provided by the district's administration in interpreting these data.

Enrollment and Classification Rate

	2008-09
Enrollment of school-age students with disabilities on October 1	314
District enrollment (public and nonpublic school-age students ? with and without disabilities) on the first Wednesday in October	1,997
Special education classification rate	15.7%
Enrollment of preschool students with disabilities on October 1	25

Indicator 1: Graduation Rate of Students with Disabilities

	2008-09 (2005 Total Cohort four years later as of August 2009)	2008-09 (2004 Total Cohort five years later as of June 2009)	
Number of students with disabilities who first entered 9th grade anywhere (or if ungraded, became 17 years old) in 2005-06	25		
Number of students with disabilities who first entered 9th grade anywhere (or if ungraded, became 17 years old) in 2004-05		26	
Graduation rate	36%	50%	
State target for 2008-09	44% or higher	No State Target	
Meets State target?	Not Applicable*	Not Applicable	
* Districts are only held accountable for the performance of students when there are at least 30 students in the total cohort.			



Indicator 2: Drop-Out Rate of Students with Disabilities

	2008-09 (2005 Total Cohort as of August 2009)
• Number of students with disabilities who first entered 9th grade anywhere (or if ungraded, became 17 years old) in 2005-06 school year	25
Drop-out rate after four years	24%
• State target for 2008-09	18% or lower
Meets State target?	Not Applicable*
* Districts are only held accountable for the performance of students when there are at least 30 students in the total cohort.	

Indicator 3: State Assessments

	2008-09			
Participation in State Assessments	Grades 3-8 English Language Arts (ELA)	Grades 3-8 Math	High School English Language Arts (ELA)	High School Math
• Enrollment of students with disabilities for participation rate	158	160	Less Than 40*	Less Than 40*
Participation rate	100%	100%	*	*
State target for 2008-09	95%	95%	95%	95%
Meets State target?	Yes	Yes	*	*
Participation rate is provided only if at least 40 students with disabilities are reported in the first row				

	2008-09			
Performance on State Assessments and Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)	Grades 3-8 English Language Arts (ELA)	Grades 3-8 Math	High School English Language Arts (ELA)	High School Math
Enrollment of students with disabilities for performance accountability	156	154	Less Than 30**	Less Than 30**
Score on performance index	126	140	**	**
• State target for 2008-09	106	115	129	139
Meets State target?	Yes	Yes	**	**
Made AYP?	Yes	Yes	**	**
** A performance index score is provided only if at least 30 students with disabilities are reported in the first row.				



Indicator 4: Suspensions/Expulsions

Long-term Suspension Rate	2008-09
Number of students with disabilities suspended out-of-school for more than 10 days	2
Number of students with disabilities enrolled on October 1	314
Percent of students with disabilities suspended out-of-school for more than 10 days	0.6%
• State target for 2008-09	2.7% or lower
Meets State target?	Yes

Indicator 5: School-age Least Restrictive Environment (LRE)

	2008-09				
• Number of students with disabilities ages 6-21 on October 1	303				
		Percent of students with disabilities in general education program for:		In	In
	80% or more of the day	40 to 79% of the day	Less than 40% of the day	separate schools / facilities	Other Settings
• Percent of students ages 6-21 in each setting	70.3%	9.6%	18.5%	1.3%	0.3%
State target for 2008-09	More than 53.2%	No State Target	Less than 24.5%	Less than 6.7%	No State Target
Meets State target?	Yes	Not Applicable	Yes	Yes	Not Applicable

Indicator 6: Preschool Least Restrictive Environment (LRE)

The data for this indicator are not presented because the LRE reporting categories for preschool children with disabilities are under review by the United States Department of Education.



Indicator 7: Preschool Outcomes

If data are not provided for this indicator, see the schedule posted at http://www.p12.nysed.gov/sedcar/data.htm#subschedule for the school year in which this school district will report data for this indicator.

	2008-09		
	Positive Social - Emotional Skills	Acquisition and Use of Knowledge & Skills	Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet their Needs
Number of preschool students with disabilities evaluated for progress between entry into preschool education and exit from preschool special education.			
 Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program. 			
• State target for 2008-09	Targets Begin in 2009-10	Targets Begin in 2009-10	Targets Begin in 2009-10
Meets State target?			
The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations by the time they exited the program.			
State target for 2008-09	Targets Begin in 2009-10	Targets Begin in 2009-10	Targets Begin in 2009-10
Meets State target?			

Indicator 8: Parental Involvement

If data are not provided for this indicator, see the schedule posted at http://www.p12.nysed.gov/sedcar/data.htm#subschedule for the school year in which this school district will report data for this indicator.

	2008-09
Number of completed parent surveys returned	
 Percent of parents who reported that schools facilitated parent involvement to improve services and results for students with disabilities 	
State target for 2008-09	88% or higher
Meets State target?	



Indicator 9: Disproportionality - Identification for Special Education

	2008-09
 Did the school district have disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that was the result of inappropriate policies, practices and procedures? 	No
• State target for 2008-09	No school districts will have disproportionality that is the result of inappropriate policies, practices and procedures.
Meets State target?	Yes

Indicator 10A: Disproportionality in Specific Disability Categories

	2008-09
• Did the school district have disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that was the result of inappropriate policies, practices and procedures?	No
• State target for 2008-09	No school districts will have disproportionality that is the result of inappropriate policies, practices and procedures.
Meets State target?	Yes

Indicator 10B: Disproportionality in Special Education Placements

	2008-09
Did the school district have disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in particular settings that was the result of inappropriate policies, practices and procedures?	No
• State target for 2008-09	No school districts will have disproportionality that is the result of inappropriate policies, practices and procedures.
Meets State target?	Yes



Indicator 11: Timely Evaluations (Child Find)

If data are not provided for this indicator, see the schedule posted at http://www.p12.nysed.gov/sedcar/data.htm#subschedule for the school year in which this school district will report data for this indicator.

	2008-09		
	Preschool	School-age	Combined
• Number of students for whom parental consent to evaluate was received (July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009)	30	37	67
Number of students whose evaluations were completed within the State established timeline	28	35	63
• Number of children whose evaluations were not completed within State established time lines, but for reasons that are considered to be in compliance with State requirements	2	2	4
Compliance Rate [Line 2 divided by (Line 1 minus Line 3)*100]	100%	100%	100%
State target for 2008-09			100%
Meets State target?			Yes

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

If data are not provided for this indicator, see the schedule posted at http://www.p12.nysed.gov/sedcar/data.htm#subschedule for the school year in which this school district will report data for this indicator.

	2008-09
Number of children who were served in Part C and referred to Part B for eligibility determination	
 Number of those referred determined to be NOT eligible and whose eligibilities were determined prior to their third birthday 	
Number of those found eligible who had an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	
• Number of children for whom delays in determination of eligibility or delays in implementing the IEP were caused by reasons that are in "in compliance" with State requirements	
• Compliance Rate [Line 3 divided by (Line 1 minus Line 2 minus Line 4) *100]	
• State target for 2008-09	100%
Meets State target?	



Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

If data are not provided for this indicator, see the schedule posted at http://www.p12.nysed.gov/sedcar/data.htm#subschedule for the school year in which this school district will report data for this indicator.

	2008-09
Number of IEPs reviewed for students ages 15 and above	30
Percent of IEPs of students ages 15 and above that include coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	100%
State target for 2008-09	100%
Meets State target?	Yes

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

	2008-09
Number of students interviewed to assess post-school outcomes one year after leaving high school	
 Percent of students who had IEPs, are no longer in secondary school, and who have been enrolled in some type of post-secondary school AND have been competitively employed within one year of leaving high school 	
 Percent of students who had IEPs, are no longer in secondary school, and who have been enrolled in some type of post-secondary school within one year of leaving high school 	
• Percent of students who had IEPs, are no longer in secondary school, and have been competitively employed within one year of leaving high school	
• Percent of students who had IEPs, are no longer in secondary school, and who have been enrolled in some type of post-secondary school, have been competitively employed, or both, within one year of leaving high school (sum of the three percentages above)	
• State target for 2008-09	92% or higher
• Meets State target?	