

Category	NAICS CODES	SIC CODES	Examples of potentially regulated entities
Pulp and paper .....	322	26	Pulp and paper mills

This table is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide for readers regarding entities likely to be regulated by this action. This table lists the types of entities that we are now aware could potentially be regulated by this action. Other types of entities not listed in the table could also be regulated. To determine whether your facility, company, business, organization, etc., is regulated by this action, you should carefully examine the applicability criteria in § 60.41b of the rules. If you have questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the person listed in the preceding **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

#### What Are the Administrative Requirements for This Action?

For a complete discussion of all of the administrative requirements applicable to this action, see the direct final rule in the Rules and Regulations section of this **Federal Register**.

*Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA), as Amended by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (SBREFA), 5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.*

The RFA generally requires an agency to prepare a regulatory flexibility analysis of any rule subject to notice and comment rulemaking requirements under the Administrative Procedure Act or any other statute unless the agency certifies that the rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Small entities include small businesses, small organizations, and small governmental jurisdictions.

For purposes of assessing the impacts of this proposed rule on small entities, small entity is defined as (1) a small business in the regulated industry which has less than 750 employees; (2) a small governmental jurisdiction that is a government of a city, county, town, school district or special district with a population of less than 50,000; or (3) a small organization that is any not-for-profit enterprise that is independently owned and operated and is not dominant in its field.

After considering the economic impacts of this proposed rule on small entities, I certify that this action will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. This proposed rule will not impose any requirements on small entities because

it does not impose any additional regulatory requirements.

For additional information, see the direct final rule published in the Rules and Regulations section of this **Federal Register** publication.

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 60

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Air pollution control, Intergovernmental relations, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: September 20, 2001.

**Christine Todd Whitman,**  
Administrator.

[FR Doc. 01-24074 Filed 9-28-01; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

##### 40 CFR Part 62

[TX-128-1-7466b; FRL-7067-4]

#### Approval and Promulgation of State Plans for Designated Facilities and Pollutants: Texas; Control of Emissions From Existing Hospital/Medical/Infectious Waste Incinerators

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The EPA is proposing to approve the Texas Plan for Designated Facilities and Pollutants (111(d) Plan) submitted by the Governor of Texas on June 2, 2000, to implement and enforce the emissions guidelines for existing hospital/medical/ infectious waste incinerators (HMIWI).

In the "Rules and Regulations" section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State's HMIWI 111(d) Plan as a direct final rule without prior proposal because EPA views this as a noncontroversial revision and anticipates no adverse comment. The EPA has explained its reasons for this approval in the preamble to the direct final rule. If EPA receives no relevant adverse comment, EPA will not take further action on this proposed rule. If EPA receives relevant adverse comment, EPA will withdraw the direct final rule and it will not take effect. The EPA will address all public comments in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on

this action. Any parties interested in commenting must do so at this time.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received by October 31, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be addressed to Mr. Thomas H. Diggs, Chief, Air Planning Section (6PD-L), at the EPA Region 6 Office listed below. Copies of documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the following locations. Anyone wanting to examine these documents should make an appointment with the appropriate office at least two working days in advance.

Environmental Protection Agency,  
Region 6, Air Planning Section (6PD-L), 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202-2733.  
Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission, Office of Air Quality, 12124 Park 35 Circle, Austin, Texas 78753.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Bill Deese at (214) 665-7253.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This document concerns approval of the Texas 111(d) Plan for Existing Hospital/Medical/Infectious Waste Incinerators. For further information, please see the information provided in the direct final action that is located in the "Rules and Regulations" section of this **Federal Register** publication.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Dated: September 14, 2001.

**Gregg A. Cooke,**

Regional Administrator, Region 6.

[FR Doc. 01-24214 Filed 9-28-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

##### 40 CFR Part 70

[AD-FRL-7068-8]

#### Clean Air Act Final Approval of Operating Permits Program; State of Rhode Island

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA proposes full approval of the operating permit program submitted by the State of Rhode Island. In the Final Rules Section of this **Federal**