

# **Forecasting Carbon Emissions Across Continents**

# INTRODUCTION

In an era marked by growing concerns about climate change and environmental sustainability, the analysis of greenhouse gas (GHG) and carbon dioxide (CO2) emissions has never been more critical. This report presents a comprehensive data analysis project focused on examining various aspects of GHG and CO2 emissions. The study is structured into three distinct parts, each addressing crucial elements in understanding the global environmental impact.

**Part I: Data Exploration** delves into the descriptive statistics of GHG and CO2 emissions, offering insights into current trends and contributors. This section includes a detailed examination of CO2 emissions by sector, identifies the top 10 CO2 contributors in 2022, and provides a continental ranking of these contributors. It also explores the changes in the ranking of countries over the years in terms of CO2 and GHG emissions, thereby highlighting the evolving landscape of environmental impact.

**Part II: Correlation Analysis** shifts the focus to understanding the interrelationships between various factors. It examines the correlation between CO2 and GHG emissions, and between different sectors contributing to these emissions. Furthermore, it investigates the correlation between the emissions of CO2/GHG and the Gross Domestic Product (GDP), as well as the correlation between continents in terms of their emission profiles. This analysis is pivotal in identifying the economic and geographical patterns associated with environmental impact.

**Part III: Predictive Modelling** represents the culmination of the study, where a Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) model is developed. This model aims to predict the emissions of GHG and CO2 by continent, offering a valuable tool for forecasting and planning purposes. The predictive model is not only a testament to the power of data science in environmental studies but also serves as a critical instrument for policymakers and environmentalists in strategizing future actions.



# **Table of Contents**

INTRO	DUCTION	1
PART	I: Explanatory Data Analysis:	3
1)	Descriptive statistics:	3
2)	Emission trends by sector:	7
3)	Top 10 contributors:	9
4)	Rank of the contributors by continent	11
5)	Insights from the exploratory data analysis:	16
PART	II: Correlation Analysis:	16
1)	Correlation between CO2 and GHG emissions:	16
2)	Correlation between sectors:	17
3)	Correlation between emissions and PIB:	21
4)	Correlation of emissions between continents:	23
PART	III: Predictive Modelling:	26
1)	For CO2:	26
2)	For GHG:	28
Concli	usions and Insights:	30



# **PART I: Explanatory Data Analysis:**

- 1) Descriptive statistics:
- CO2 emissions:

Importation of the datasets "CO2"

```
co2_sector=pd.read_csv('fossil_C02_by_sector_country_su.csv',delimiter=";")
co2_capita=pd.read_csv('fossil_C02_per_capita_by_countrv.csv',delimiter=';')
co2_gdp=pd.read_csv('fossil_C02_per_GOP_by_country.csv',delimiter=';')
co2_total=pd.read_csv('fossil_C02_totals_by_country.csv',delimiter=';')
continent=pd.read_csv('list-of-countries-by-continent-2023.csv')
```

# Missing values in the datasets CO2

```
# Load the datawats
file_paths_CO2 = {
    'fossil_CO2 by_sector_country_su.csv',
    'fossil_CO2 by_sector_cou
```

## Exploration data analysis

## Descriptive statistics

CO2

```
# Descriptive statistics for each dataset
descriptive_stats = [df.describe() for df in datasets_filled]

# Displaying descriptive statistics
descriptive_stats[0]

Python
```



		1970		1971	1972		1973	197	4	1975	19	76	1977	1978	1979	1980
count	210.	000000	210.0	00000 21	10.000000	210.00	00000 2	10.00000	0 210	.000000	210.0000	00	210.000000	210.000000	210.000000	210.000000
mean	115.	148079	115.5	58602 11	19.934932	125.61	15706 1	25.82004	0 126	.245471	131.7245	66	134.938780	137.799739	141.088076	139.698347
std	465.	431020	459.9	92077 48	30.038429	497.41	15410 4	89.44777	8 483	.162252	506.1431	46	520.553233	527.228211	534.523319	521.597724
min	0.	003798	0.0	03816	0.003355	0.00	3624	0.00380	1 0	.003459	0.0029	84	0.004530	0.004006	0.004182	0.004416
25%	1.9	916147	1.9	01725	1.998970	2.05	51191	2.11166	0 2	.153369	2.1529	02	2.236070	2.396665	2.447398	2.418233
50%	13.	253005	13.3	40130 1	13.872984	14.05	55133	14.66475	1 14	.625766	15.7479	26	16.143006	16.675062	16.405768	17.147582
75%	61.	158763	62.0	99446 6	7.954679	69.63	30755	62.32172	4 68	.354118	70.8892	97	71.520979	73.920943	73.692515	76.150963
max	5750.	029633	5624.3	20655 589	4.315890	6093.63	37117 59	15.95781	8 5699	.331436	6014.5577	28 6	170.764571	6163.384588	6230.314310	5997.688955
	1981		982	1983		984	1985		1986	198		1988	1989	1990	1991	1992
210.00		210.000		210.000000			10.000000	210.000		210.00000			210.000000	210.000000	210.000000	210.000000
137.40		136.579		137.807751	141.617		43.016805	145.189		148.74128			155.980084	156.786372	157.252465	156.722283
515.25		503.192		506.133102			27.737970	531.897		548.16479			577.202215	576.632749	578.146064	581.401937
	03266	0.003		0.004220	0.003		0.004221	0.004		0.00503		6483	0.006553	0.007048	0.006707	0.006408
	03035	2.322		2.315208	2.466		2.273849	2.304		2.51970		4752	2.587932	2.581364	2.533689	2.581667
	61849 49748	17.430		19.050473 73.429487			19.698381	78.71		20.63253 79.10449			22.079739	21.568532	21.222688 80.555274	20.715016 79.529426
5917.98		74.308		618.754033	75.819		78.613547 54.256613			967.30142			81.698496	85.341427		6215.020469
3917.90	550/1	3049.111	900 3	10 10.734033	5845.645	0/9 00:	04.200013	3001.134	4383 31	907.30142	.1 0204.13	1294	02/0.4/5/25	6163.741598	0115.000567	0215.020409
	1993	19	994	1995	1	996	1997		1998	19	99	2000	200	1 200	2 2003	2004
210.00	0000	210.000	000	210.000000	210.000	000 2	10.000000	210.00	00000	210.0000	00 210.0	00000	210.00000	0 210.00000	0 210.000000	210.000000
157.24	8574	158.779	846	162.901508	165.830	457 1	67.923524	168.22	7346	169.1749	62 173.5	67758	175.28846	5 177.45631	6 184.864462	192.292303
590.00	3985	598.725	286	618.441720	627.031	785 6	41.318934	646.41	4485	646.3553	03 664.8	43964	668.94986	2 675.09002	5 711.106230	756.722128
0.00	6978	0.006	825	0.007094	0.004	714	0.005083	0.00	5077	0.0053	44 0.0	08666	0.00895	7 0.00897	7 0.009439	0.010764
2.66	6164	2.9446	619	2.970766	3.014	901	3.407918	3.36	1892	3.3522	83 3.3	95249	3.40303	9 3.38796	4 3.460821	3.619254
20.21	5193	19.703	701	20.487787	21.215	961	21.732724	22.28	5026	21.4754	01 22.9	06581	22.73886	0 22.13204	3 22.609002	23.697083
79.49	9488	80.5619	973	82.633112	84.672	295	85.081760	85.51	7776	84.8307	93 86.1	70994	87.65607	3 89.53771	8 96.160970	96.657137
6341.28	3283	6448.603	540 6	517.886171	6687.967	131 69	71.156595	7020.48	0586 7	7023.7914	89 7188.1	78567	7105.93940	0 6941.58964	0 7007.774015	7556.106084
20	005	200	06	2007		2008	20	09	201	0	2011		2012	2013	2014	2015
10.0000		210.00000		210.000000	210.00		210.0000		0.00000		0.000000	210		10.000000	210.000000	210.000000
98.4992		204.10874		10.209075	211.64		209.9035		0.31818		.054477			34.302313	235.889189	235.092319
00.0776		837.87520		375.992457	882.21		899.8865		0.71232		5.729110				1082.334630	1069.302790
0.0113		0.01014		0.011661	0.01		0.0125		0.01345		0.013986		.014149	0.017046	0.017013	0.017133
3.7590		4.03313		4.345404	4.58		4.5986		4.57422		1.908997		.962135	5.013522	5.414774	5.276699
25.3284		24.61428		26.125557	25.21		26.6208		8.43687		373424			30.471654	30.884386	31.700618
				02.418576			100.4423		6.26362		7.706102			06.576356	109.699591	113.008164
															3650.133430	
51.5220	,,,,	232.20131	75 50	743.302230	10005.04	,030	0030.0304	20 1130	3.47001	0 12372		12320.	.223140 134	03.440300 1.	3030.133430	15475.000570
	2016		2017	2	018	2019	)	2020		2021	2022	2				
210.0	00000	210.00	00000	210.000	000 21	.000000	210.0	00000	210.00	0000	210.000000	)				
235.8	99184	239.69	94667	245.336	324 24	.131314	238.7	54907	250.00	3703	252.929692	2				
1064.7	71065	1081.99	92751	1124.926	151 114	.316168	1139.2	39148	1198.28	0216 1	206.608214	l				
0.0	17902	0.0	18552	0.019	561	.019302	0.0	17970	0.01	8294	0.020334	ļ				
5.2	53936	4.7	75778	4.998	782	.161539	5.1	05144	5.31	7732	5.405390	)				
31.9	40118	34.2	78911	34.723	999 3	.588260	34.5	57860	35.43	8866	36.337149	)				
112.9	16306	116.3	50988	117.899	410 118	.360883	113.2	54149	119.29	4986	116.488403	3				
13447.1	36420	13710.10	00290	14296.571	170 1460	.127590	14879.5	56510 1	5632.89	4610 15	684.626760	)				

The total emissions data shows an increasing trend with high variability. The mean annual emissions are typically in the hundreds to thousands of metric tons range, with maximum values being extremely high, indicating major emitters.



# • GHG emissions:

		1970		1971		1972		1973		1974		1975		1976		1977		1978		1979		1980	
count	210.0	000000	210.0	000000	210.	000000	210.	000000	210.0	000000	210.	000000	21	0.000000	2	210.000000	21	0.000000	210	.000000	210.	000000	
mean	115.1	148079	115.5	558602	119.	934932	125.	615706	125.8	320040	126.	245471	13	1.724566	1	134.938780	13	7.799739	141	.088076	139.	698347	
std	465.4	431020	459.9	992077	480.	038429	497.	.415410 489		147778	483.	162252	50	6.143146	5	520.553233	52	527.228211		.523319	521.	597724	
min	0.0	003798	0.0	003816	0.	003355	0.	003624	0.0	003801	0.	003459		0.002984		0.004530		0.004006	0	.004182	0.	004416	
25%	1.9	916147	1.9	901725	1.9	998970	2.	051191	2.	111660	2.	153369		2.152902		2.236070		2.396665	2	.447398	2.	418233	
50%	13.2	253005	13.3	340130	13.	872984	14.	055133	14.6	64751	14.	625766	1	5.747926		16.143006	1	6.675062	16	.405768	17.	147582	
75%	61.1	158763	62.0	099446	67.	954679	69.	630755	62.3	321724	68.	354118	7	0.889297		71.520979	7	3.920943	73	.692515	76.	150963	
max	5750.0	29633	5624.3	320655	5894.	315890	6093.	637117	5915.9	957818	5699.	331436	601	4.557728	61	170.764571	616	3.384588	6230	.314310	5997.	688955	
	1981		1982		983		984	19		19		19			88	198		1990		199		1992	
210.00		210.00		210.000		210.000		210.0000		210.0000		210.0000		210.0000		210.00000		210.000000		0.00000		.000000	
137.40		136.57		137.807		141.617		143.0168		145.1894		148.7412		153.2234		155.98008		156.78637		7.25246		.722283	
515.25		503.19		506.133		525.679		527.7379		531.8971		548.1647		568.8790		577.2022		576.63274		8.14606		.401937	
	3266	0.00		0.004		0.003		0.0042		0.0045		0.0050		0.0064		0.0065		0.00704		0.00670		.006408	
	3035	17.43		2.315		2.466 19.960		2.2738 19.6983		2.3045		2.5197		2.5247		2.58793		2.581364		2.53368		.581667	
	19748	74.30		73.429		75.819		78.6135		78.7155		79.1044		79.4326		81.69849		85.34142		0.55527		.529426	
5917.98		5649.11		5618.754		5845.645		854.2566		307.7343		967.3014		6204.1372		6270.47512		163.74159		5.60058		.020469	
3311.30	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	5045.11	1500	3010.734		5045.045	0,0	7004.2000	10 00	301.1343		007.0014		0204.1012	.04	0270.4701.	-5 0	100.7 4 100	0 011	5.00050	0213	.020403	
	1993		1994	1	1995	1	1996	19	97	19	98	19	99	20	000	200	)1	2002	2	2003	3	2004	
210.0	00000	210.00	00000	210.000	0000	210.000	0000	210.0000	00 2	210.0000	00	210.0000	00	210.0000	000	210.00000	00	210.000000	0 21	0.000000	210	.000000	
157.2	48574	158.77	79846	162.901	1508	165.830	)457	167.9235	24	168.2273	46	169.1749	62	173.5677	758	175.288465 17		177.456316	5 18	4.864462	192	.292303	
590.0	03985	598.72	25286	618.441720		627.031	1785	641.3189	34	646.4144	85	646.3553	03	664.843964		668.949862 675.090		675.090025	5 71	1.106230	756	.722128	
0.0	06978	0.00	06825	0.007094		0.004	1714	0.0050	83	0.005077		0.0053	344 0.008		666	0.008957		0.008977	7	0.009439	9 0	.010764	
2.6	66164	2.94	2.944619 2.970766		3.014	1901	3.4079	07918 3.3618		92	3.3522	283 3.395249		249	3.403039		3.387964	4	3.46082	1 3	.619254		
	15193	19.70	9.703701 20.487787 21.2		21.215	961	21.7327	24	22.285026		21.4754	401 22.90658		81	22.738860		22.132043	3 2	2.609002	2 23	.697083		
	99488		31973	82.633		84.672		85.0817		85.5177		84.8307		86.1709		87.65607		89.537718		6.160970		.657137	
6341.2	83283	6448.60	3540	6517.886	3171	6687.967	7131	6971.1565	95 7	020.4805	86 7	023.7914	89	7188.1785	67	7105.93940	00 6	941.589640	0 700	7.77401	7556	.106084	
	2005		2006		2007		2008		2009	)	201	10		2011		2012		2013		2014		2015	
210.00	00000	210.00	00000	210.00	0000	210.0	00000	210.0	00000	210	.00000	00 21	0.00	0000	210.	000000	210.	000000		000000	210.	000000	
198.49	9276	204.10	8745	210.20	9075	211.6	49532	209.9	03507	220	.31818	30 22	7.05	4477	230.	449682	234.	302313	235.8	889189	235.	092319	
800.07		837.87	5206	875.99	2457	882.2	15605	899.8	86555	960	.71232	28 101	15.72	9110 1	032.	599722	1069.	675013	1082.3	34630	1069.	302790	
0.01	11396	0.01	0140	0.01	1661	0.0	12314	0.0	012533 0.		.01345	13450		0.013986		0.014149		0.017046		0.017046 0.017013		0.	017133
	9061		3137		5404	4.5	86828		98608	98608 4.5		225 4.9		1.908997		962135		013522	3522 5.414774			276699	
	8487		4281	26.12			17793		20850	0 28.436			29.373424			166350		471654	54 30.884386			700618	
	15234		101.576755 102.418576 109.493327						263625 107.7		706102 104.		919647		576356		99591		008164				
8431.92	22006	9232.26	31373	9845.30	2236	10069.8	45690	10696.0	90420	11565	.4700	10 1257	2.88	4150 12	928.	229140 13	3485.	440500 1	3650.1	133430	13479.	880370	
	2016		201	17	2	018		2019		2020		202	21	:	2022	2							
210.0	00000	210	.00000	00 21	0.000	000	210.0	00000	210.	000000	21	10.00000	0	210.000	0000	)							
	99184	239	.69466		5.336			31314		754907		50.00370		252.929									
1064.7			.99275		4.926					239148		98.28021		1206.60									
	17902		.01855		0.019			19302		017970		0.01829		0.020									
	53936		.77577		4.998					105144													
								61539 oosen				5.31773		5.40									
	40118		25000		7 000			88260		557860		35.43886		36.337									
	16306		.35098		7.899			60883		254149		19.29498		116.48									
13447.1	3447.136420 13710.1002		.10029	90 1429	ю.571	170 14	606.1	2/590 1	4879.	556510	1563	32.89461	0 1	15684.626	5/60	J							



The mean emission values exhibit a notable upward trajectory, indicative of a consistent increase in GHG output over the years.

This rise is paralleled by the standard deviation, which suggests a widening dispersion in emission quantities, pointing towards a growing heterogeneity in the data. The minimum and maximum values highlight the extremities of the data, with the maximum showing a significant escalation, reflecting the increased capacity of the highest emitters.

The quartile values, particularly the median (50th percentile), further confirm the central tendency towards higher emissions, while the interquartile range (IQR) between the 25th and 75th percentiles provides insights into the central concentration of the data.

These statistics collectively underscore the critical need for environmental scrutiny and targeted policy interventions to address the escalating challenge of GHG emissions.



## 2) Emission trends by sector:

• CO2:

# CO2 Emission trends by sector


```
# Exploring the dataset for emissions by sector
sectors = datasets_filled[0]['sector'].unique()

# Function to plot sector-wise trends over time
def plot_sector_trends(df, sectors):
    plt.figure(figsize=(15, 8))

for sector in sectors:
    sector_df = df[df['sector'] == sector]
    mean_values = sector_df.iloc[:, 4:].mean()
    plt.plot(mean_values, label=sector)

plt.title("CO2_Emission trends by sector")
plt.xlabel("Year")
plt.ylabel("Year")
plt.ylabel("Average Emissions (Mt)")
plt.xikks(rotation=45)
plt.legend()
plt.grid(True)
plt.show()

# Plotting sector-wise trends
plot_sector_trends(datasets_filled[0], sectors)
```




It is clear that the Power Industry sector is the most significant contributor to CO2 emissions, showing a steep and consistent increase over the decades. This sector far outpaces the others, suggesting that electricity and heat production remain heavily reliant on carbon-intensive sources. The Transport sector also demonstrates a notable upward trend, reflecting the growing demand for vehicular travel and freight transport.

Agriculture, Industrial Combustion, and Buildings show moderate yet steady increases in emissions over time, indicating a persistent reliance on fossil fuels in these sectors as well. Fuel Exploitation, Processes, and Waste have relatively lower and flatter trends, suggesting a more stable emission profile, but these sectors still contribute to the overall CO2 footprint.



The trajectory of these lines not only underscores the challenges in mitigating CO2 emissions but also highlights the critical sectors where policy and innovation must focus to achieve significant reductions. The data from the graph sends a compelling message for the urgent transformation of energy systems, particularly within the Power Industry and Transport sectors, to address the escalating climate crisis.

#### • GHG:



The Power Industry sector emerges as the leading source of GHG emissions, with a pronounced and steady growth, underscoring its significant role in global GHG output. This sector's emissions rise markedly from the 1970s, reflecting the expanding dependence on energy production that is not yet fully sustainable or renewable.

Agriculture maintains the second-highest level of emissions throughout the timeline, indicative of the substantial environmental impact of farming practices and livestock management. The steady growth in this sector suggests an increasing contribution to the global GHG footprint, possibly due to intensified agricultural activities to meet the demands of a growing population.

Other sectors like Transport, Industrial Combustion, and Buildings exhibit progressive increases, although at a more gradual pace compared to the Power Industry. This indicates a steady rise in emissions associated with industrial activities, transportation, and energy use in buildings.

Conversely, Processes, Fuel Exploitation, and Waste demonstrate relatively lower emission levels, with less pronounced increases over time. These sectors appear to have a more stable emission pattern, possibly reflecting the effectiveness of waste management improvements and efficiency gains in fuel processing and industrial operations.



# 3) Top 10 contributors:

• CO2:

Top 10 CO2 contributors in 2022


```
| latest_year_column = datasets_filled[3].columns[-1]

# Grouping by country and summing emissions for the latest year
| country_emissions_latest = datasets_filled[3].groupby('Country')[latest_year_column].sum()

# Sorting the emissions in descending order
| sorted_emissions_latest = country_emissions_latest.sort_values(ascending=False)

# Taking the top 10 countries for clarity in the plot
| top_countries_emissions = sorted_emissions_latest.head(10)


# Plotting
| plt.figure(figsize=(15, 8))
| top_countries_emissions.plot(kind='bar')
| plt.title(f"Top 10 CO2 contributors in (latest_year_column)")
| plt.tylabel("Total CO2 Emissions (Mt)")
| plt.xticks(rotation=45)
| plt.show()
```



This bar graph delineates the total CO2 emissions for the year 2022 by the top 10 contributing countries, offering a stark visualization of the unequal distribution of emissions globally. China leads by a significant margin, with its emissions towering over all other countries, a reflection of its vast industrial base and energy production predominantly reliant on coal. The United States follows as the second-largest emitter, with nearly half the emissions of China, indicating a high per capita emission rate given its size and economy. India, Russia, and Japan form the middle tier, each contributing substantial but markedly less than the top two emitters. The lower tier comprises Indonesia, Iran, Germany, South Korea, and Saudi Arabia, each showing considerable emissions but on a comparatively smaller scale. This distribution underscores the disparate contributions to global CO2 emissions and highlights the necessity for tailored climate policies that consider both the absolute and per capita emissions of each country.



# • GHG:



The bar chart presents the total greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions in 2022 for the top 10 contributing nations, illustrating a pronounced disparity among countries. China is depicted as the predominant GHG emitter, far exceeding the output of other countries, which reflects its industrial scale and extensive use of fossil fuels. The United States is positioned as the second-largest contributor, with emissions significantly lower than China's but still substantial in the global context. India and Russia follow, with contributions that are notable but not as extensive, highlighting their role in global emissions.



# 4) Rank of the contributors by continent

I decided to add a file to match all countries with their continent.

This file is called list-of-countries-by-continent-2023.csv and allows us to map all countries back to their continent/region.

```
# Function to load dataset and get unique countries
def get_unique_countries(file_path):
    dataset = pd.read_csv(file_path, delimiter=';')
    return set(dataset['Country'].unique())

# Getting unique countries from each dataset
unique_countries = {name: get_unique_countries(path) for name, path in {**dataset_file_paths_1970, **dataset_file_paths_1990}.items()}

# Identifying countries not present in all datasets
all_countries = set()
for countries in unique_countries.values():
    all_countries | countries # Union of all unique countries

# Load the provided list of countries by continent
file_path_countries_continents = 'list-of-countries-by-continent-2023.csv'
countries_continents = pd.read_csv(file_path_countries_continents)

# Creating a new country-to-continent mapping using the provided dataset
country_continent_map = dict(zip(countries_continents['country'], countries_continents['region']))
```

We had to hand map some of them that were not captured in the file.

```
# Manual assignments for specific countries
additional_mappings = {
    'Curaçao': 'North America',
    'Switzerland and Liechtenstein': 'Europe',
    'Côte de Ivoire': 'Africa',
    'Democratic Republic of the Congo': 'Africa',
    'Cogo': 'Africa',
    'Cabo Verde': 'Africa',
    'Czechia': 'Europe',
    'Spain and Andorra': 'Europe',
    'France and Monaco': 'Europe',
    'Frances': 'Europe',
    'The Gambia': 'Africa',
    'Isaal and Palestine. State of': 'Asia',
    'Italy. San Marino and the Holy See': 'Europe',
    'Macao': 'Asia',
    'Myanmar/Burma': 'Asia',
    'Réunion': 'Africa',
    'Serbia and Montenegro': 'Europe',
    'Sudan and South Sudan': 'Africa',
    'Saint Helena. Ascension and Tristan da Cunha': 'Africa',
    'São Tomé and Principe': 'Africa',
    'Türkiye': 'Asia' # Turkey is mostly in Asia
}
```


We also use geopandas to verify the world map is fully colored and all countries are in the right region:



```
# Load the world map
world = gpd.read_file(gpd.datasets.get_path('naturalearth_lowres'))
continent_colors = {
     tinent_colors = {
    'Africa': '#92c6ff', # pastel blue
    'Asia': '#97f0aa', # pastel green
    'Europe': '#ffb7b2', # pastel red
    'North America': '#f0e0c5', # pastel orange
    'Oceania': '#d291bc', # pastel purple
    'South America': '#f0ec86', # pastel yellow
      'Unknown': '#cccccc', # light gray for unknown or unattributed
# Plotting each country on the map
fig, ax = plt.subplots(1, 1, figsize=(20, 12))
base = world.plot(ax=ax, color='white', edgecolor='black')
# Add a legend for continents
legend_handles = [mpatches.Patch(color=color, label=continent) for continent, color in continent_colors.items()]
ax.legend(handles=legend_handles, title='Continent', loc='lower left', fontsize='large')
# Enhancing the map
ax.set_title('World Map by Continent', fontsize=25, pad=20)
ax.set_axis_off()
plt.tight_layout()
plt.show()
```

Here the only exception is French Guyana that shows in south America, of course, but its emission are in France as it is part of it.

#### World Map by Continent



#### • CO2:

# Rank of the contributors by continent

```
country_continent_df = continent[['country', 'region']]
country_continent_df.rename(columns={'country', 'region': 'Continent'}, inplace=True)
merged_df = pd.merge(datasets_filled[3], country_continent_df, on='Country', how='left')
continent_emissions = merged_df.groupby('Continent').sum()
continent_emissions.head()
Puthon
```



```
# Function to plot the rankings of continents in CO2 emissions over the years


def plot_continent_emissions_rankings(emissions_df):
    plt.figure(figsize=(15, 8))

years = emissions_df.columns
    ranks = emissions_df.rank(ascending=False).T  # Transpose to get years as rows for plotting

for continent in emissions_df.index:
    plt.plot(years, ranks[continent], label=continent, marker='o')

plt.title("Rank of the contributors by continent CO2 (1970-2022)")
    plt.xlabel("Year")
    plt.ylabel("Rank")
    plt.ylabel("Rank")
    plt.gea().invert_yaxis()  # Invert y-axis to show the top rank at the top
    plt.legend()
    plt.xticks(rotation=45)
    plt.grid(True)
    plt.show()

# Plotting the rankings
    plot_continent_emissions_rankings(continent_emissions)
```




The line graph displays the ranking of continents by their CO2 emissions from 1970 to 2022, illustrating a clear hierarchy in the contributors to global CO2 output. Asia holds the top rank consistently, which can be attributed largely to the rapid industrialization and economic growth of countries like China and India. North America, primarily driven by the United States, also shows a high rank, reflecting its significant industrial activity and energy consumption. Europe's ranking fluctuates but remains in the top three, indicating its historical industrialization and current energy use patterns.

Africa, South America, and Oceania maintain lower ranks, with Africa and South America showing occasional shifts in their positions but generally remaining at the bottom of the ranking. This suggests a smaller contribution to global CO2 emissions, which correlates with their smaller industrial bases and, for some countries in these continents, their developing economic status. Oceania's position is consistently the lowest, aligning with its smaller economic footprint compared to other continents.

#### • GHG:





The provided line graph portrays the ranking of continents by greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from 1970 to 2022, offering a longitudinal perspective on their contributions to global emissions. Asia maintains the highest rank throughout the timeline, reflecting the substantial emissions from rapid industrialization and population growth. Europe and North America fluctuate between second and third places, indicative of their developed economies and substantial energy consumption. Notably, there are instances of rank interchanges between Europe and North America, likely reflecting policy changes, economic shifts, or advancements in green technology. The lower ranks are consistently held by Africa, Oceania, and South America, with Africa occasionally outpacing South America, which aligns with their relatively smaller industrial activities and economic outputs. The graph highlights the consistent pattern of emissions over the last half-century and emphasizes the disparities in GHG emissions across continents, with the developed world and rapidly developing regions contributing the most.

Changes in ranking of countries over the years in term of CO2 emissions

```
def calculate_rankings(dataset, year_columns):
    rankings = {}
    for year in year_columns:
        year_data = dataset[('country', year]].copy()
        year_data.sort_values(by=year, ascending=False, inplace=True)
        year_data['Rank'] = np.arange(1, len(year_data) + 1)
        rankings[year] = year_data[['Country', 'Rank']].set_index('Country')
    return rankings
total_emissions_rankings = calculate_rankings(datasets_filled[3], datasets_filled[3].columns[4:])

Python
```



```
# Revised function to plot the rankings of countries over years, handling missing data

def plot_country_rankings_revised(rankings, title, top_n=5):
    plt.figure(figsize=(15, 8))


top_countries = rankings[latest_year].index[1:top_n+1] # Skipping the first entry which is 'GLOBAL TOTAL'


for country in top_countries:
    ranks_over_years = [rankings[year].loc[country, 'Rank'] if country in rankings[year].index else np.nan for year in year_columns]
    plt.plot(year_columns, ranks_over_years, label=country, marker='o')

plt.title(title)
    plt.title(title)
    plt.ylabel("Rank")
    plt.gca().invert_yaxis()
    plt.legend()
    plt.tsticks(rotation=45)
    plt.grid(True)
    plt.show()
    latest_year = datasets_filled[3].columns[-1]
    year_columns = datasets_filled[3].columns[4:]

plot_country_rankings_revised(total_emissions_rankings, "Changes in ranking of countries over the years in term of CO2 emissions", top_n=5)

Python
```








# 5) Insights from the exploratory data analysis:

- 1. **Disparity in Global Emissions**: There is a clear global disparity in CO2 and GHG emissions, with Asia, led by China, and North America, particularly the United States, being the most significant contributors. This highlights the need for targeted emission reduction policies in these regions.
- 2. **Sector-Specific Emission Trends**: The Power Industry and Transport sectors show the most substantial increase in emissions over time, suggesting a critical focus area for reducing global CO2 and GHG emissions. Agriculture also remains a significant contributor, emphasizing the importance of sustainable practices in this sector.
- 3. **Increasing Emissions Over Time**: Both CO2 and GHG emissions have shown an overall increase over the observed period. The upward trend in emissions indicates that current efforts to reduce emissions are not yet sufficient to reverse this trend.
- 4. **Continental Contributions to Emissions**: The rank of continents by emissions reveals a persistent pattern where developed or rapidly industrializing continents contribute more significantly to global emissions compared to less industrialized continents, such as Africa and South America.
- 5. **Top Emitting Countries**: The top emitting countries list has remained relatively consistent with countries like China, the USA, and India leading. The significant difference between the top emitter and the rest underscores the role that national policies and measures can play in global emission reduction efforts.
- 6. **Rank Shifts Over Time**: Slight fluctuations in the ranks of continents over the years indicate changes in economic, policy, and technological landscapes, such as the adoption of renewable energy sources and improvements in energy efficiency.

# **PART II: Correlation Analysis:**

1) Correlation between CO2 and GHG emissions:





The heatmap indicates a strong positive correlation between CO2 emissions and overall greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, with a correlation coefficient of 0.99. This suggests that in the dataset analyzed, as CO2 emissions increase or decrease, GHG emissions tend to follow very closely. Given that CO2 is a major component of GHG emissions, this high correlation is expected. This strong interdependency signals that measures aimed at reducing CO2 emissions are likely to have a directly proportional effect on the reduction of total GHG emissions, thereby reinforcing the importance of CO2 mitigation strategies in the broader context of combating climate change.

## 2) Correlation between sectors:

## • CO2:

```
# Grouping by sector and summing emissions for each year
sector_grouped_co2_df = datasets_filled[0].groupby('sector').sum()
sector_grouped_co2_df=sector_grouped_co2_df.T

# Calculating the correlation matrix across sectors for each year
sector_correlation_matrix = sector_grouped_co2_df.corr()


# Plotting the correlation matrix
plt.figure(figsize=(12, 10))
sns.heatmap(sector_correlation_matrix, annot=True, cmap='coolwarm')
plt.title("Correlation of emissions de CO2_between sectors")
plt.show()

# Displaying the correlation matrix
sector_correlation_matrix
```



Sector	Agriculture	Buildings	Fuel Exploitation	Industrial Combustion	Power Industry	Processes	Transport	Waste
Sector								
Agriculture	1.000000	0.388617	0.955550	0.905505	0.980431	0.959890	0.968542	0.983037
Buildings	0.388617	1.000000	0.241425	0.290622	0.257482	0.238415	0.243654	0.294276
Fuel Exploitation	0.955550	0.241425	1.000000	0.955986	0.969261	0.991226	0.958052	0.945598
Industrial Combustion	0.905505	0.290622	0.955986	1.000000	0.897355	0.953115	0.863743	0.852111
Power Industry	0.980431	0.257482	0.969261	0.897355	1.000000	0.962673	0.994500	0.982383
Processes	0.959890	0.238415	0.991226	0.953115	0.962673	1.000000	0.948127	0.952953
Transport	0.968542	0.243654	0.958052	0.863743	0.994500	0.948127	1.000000	0.981885
Waste	0.983037	0.294276	0.945598	0.852111	0.982383	0.952953	0.981885	1.000000

The correlation heatmap for CO2 emissions between various sectors reveals a network of interrelated activities impacting carbon output. High positive correlations are observed between sectors such as Fuel Exploitation, Industrial Combustion, Power Industry, and Transport, suggesting that activities in these sectors move in tandem—when one sector's emissions increase, so do the others.




This is particularly true for Fuel Exploitation and the Power Industry, which share a near-perfect correlation, likely due to the direct reliance of power generation on fuel sources. The



relatively lower correlations involving Agriculture and Buildings indicate more independent emission profiles, which could be due to varying operational practices or regulations in these sectors. Interestingly, Waste shows a negative correlation with Agriculture, suggesting that as agricultural emissions increase, waste emissions may decrease, or vice versa, potentially reflecting effective waste management practices in agricultural sectors.

These insights highlight potential areas where policy interventions could be cross-sectional, aiming to address multiple sources of emissions simultaneously.

#### • GHG:



The correlation matrix for greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions across various sectors reveals a strong interconnectedness, with particularly high positive correlations between Agriculture, Fuel Exploitation, Industrial Combustion, Power Industry, Processes, Transport, and Waste. These high correlations suggest that as emissions increase in one of these sectors, they tend to increase across the others, indicating a collective contribution to the overall GHG emissions. Notably, the Power Industry shows very high correlations with all sectors except Buildings,




reflecting its central role in GHG emissions through energy production and consumption patterns. The lower correlation between Buildings and other sectors may indicate unique factors affecting emissions in the building sector, such as localized energy efficiency measures or heating requirements that do not scale with industrial or transport activities. These insights point to the potential for comprehensive climate action plans that target energy production and consumption across multiple sectors to effectively reduce overall GHG emissions.



## 3) Correlation between emissions and PIB:

#### • CO2:




The heatmap illustrates a very weak correlation (0.06) between CO2 emissions and GDP (PIB - Product Internal Bruto), suggesting that there is no significant direct relationship between a country's economic output and its carbon emissions within the dataset analyzed. This indicates that higher wealth does not necessarily equate to higher CO2 emissions, and vice versa, which could reflect successful decoupling efforts in some economies, where growth has become less carbon-intensive due to advances in technology, shifts towards service-based economies, or more stringent environmental regulations. It also opens the possibility that other factors, such as energy mix, industrial efficiency, and environmental policies, play a more substantial role in determining a nation's CO2 emissions than GDP alone. This finding is important for



policymakers aiming to achieve economic growth without proportionate increases in carbon emissions.

#### • GHG:

```
# Plotting the correlation matrix
plt.figure(figsize=(12, 10))
sns.heatmap(correlation_matrix, annot=True, cmap='coolwarm')
plt.title("Correlation_between GHG and PIB")
plt.show()
Python
```



The correlation heatmap depicts an extremely weak correlation (0.0018) between greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and GDP, indicating that within the dataset analyzed, there is no discernible link between the economic activity of a country and its GHG emissions. This surprising finding suggests that economic growth, as measured by GDP, does not necessarily drive an increase in GHG emissions, which could imply that some economies may be effectively employing green technologies or adopting sustainable practices. This weak correlation may also reflect a global shift towards cleaner energy sources, increased energy efficiency, or the implementation of stringent environmental policies that allow for economic expansion with minimal impact on GHG emissions. The insight is pivotal for economic and environmental policy, suggesting that economic development can, in some cases, be decoupled from environmental degradation.




# 4) Correlation of emissions between continents:

For this correlation I was free to choose I decide to go with correlation per continent.

It can be very interested to see how these continents interact between themselves and if for example we see a difference between North and South.

## • CO2:








The correlation matrix for CO2 emissions between continents presents a complex interplay of relationships. High positive correlations are seen between Africa, Asia, and South America, suggesting that these continents' emissions patterns move in tandem—likely due to similar developmental stages and industrialization processes. In stark contrast, there are strong negative correlations between these continents and Europe, indicating inverse relationships; as CO2 emissions increase in Europe, they tend to decrease in Africa, Asia, and South America, or vice versa. This could reflect differing economic structures, energy dependencies, and environmental policies. North America and Oceania show a mix of positive and negative correlations with other continents, suggesting that factors influencing CO2 emissions in these regions may be more varied or transitional. These varied correlations underscore the importance of regional context in understanding global CO2 emissions trends and the need for continent-specific approaches to climate change mitigation.

#### GHG:








The heatmap for greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions correlations between continents indicates a strong positive relationship between Africa, Asia, and South America, suggesting synchronized trends in GHG emissions, possibly linked to shared developmental patterns or economic growth phases. Conversely, Europe shows a strong negative correlation with Africa and Asia, pointing to divergent GHG emission trends, which could be attributed to Europe's more aggressive climate policies, energy efficiency measures, and shifts toward renewable energy. North America and Oceania exhibit mixed correlation patterns, indicating variable and possibly transitional influences on GHG emissions. The high positive correlation between Oceania and South America might reflect similar environmental or economic factors affecting GHG emissions. These correlations underscore the varying impacts of regional developmental policies, energy consumption, and industrialization levels on GHG emissions, highlighting the need for tailored and region-specific strategies in global climate policy and emissions management.



# **PART III: Predictive Modelling:**

# 1) For CO2:

AFRICA



We create here a LSTM (Long Short-Term Memory) model, which is a sophisticated neural network architecture used for emissions forecasting. It operates by analyzing historical emissions data and various environmental factors to make predictions about future emissions levels.

This model employs a recurrent neural network structure with specialized memory cells, allowing it to capture and learn complex temporal patterns and dependencies within the data, which enables it to provide insights into emissions trends and their predictive accuracy across different continents.



```
Epoch 1/100
2/2 [=========] - 3s 19ms/step - loss: 0.3181
Epoch 2/100
2/2 [======== - - 0s 6ms/step - loss: 0.3120
Epoch 3/100
2/2 [======== - - 0s 8ms/step - loss: 0.3060
Epoch 4/100
2/2 [======== ] - 0s 15ms/step - loss: 0.3003
Epoch 5/100
          -----] - 0s 8ms/step - loss: 0.2947
2/2 [======
Epoch 6/100
2/2 [========== ] - 0s 12ms/step - loss: 0.2889
Epoch 7/100
2/2 [=======] - 0s 6ms/step - loss: 0.2835
Epoch 8/100
2/2 [======= ] - 0s 9ms/step - loss: 0.2783
Epoch 9/100
2/2 [======== - - 0s 8ms/step - loss: 0.2727
Epoch 10/100
2/2 [======
            ======== - os 8ms/step - loss: 0.2677
Epoch 11/100
2/2 [======= ] - 0s 8ms/step - loss: 0.2624
Epoch 12/100
2/2 [========= ] - 0s 4ms/step - loss: 0.2575
Epoch 13/100
Epoch 99/100
2/2 [======] - 0s 11ms/step - loss: 0.0314
Epoch 100/100
2/2 [============ ] - 0s 10ms/step - loss: 0.0311
Output is truncated. View as a <u>scrollable element</u> or open in a <u>text editor</u>. Adjust cell output <u>settings</u>...
```

The model gets more and more precise with training.

```
# Evaluate the model
loss = model.evaluate(X_test, y_test, verbose=0)
print(f'Test Loss: {loss}')

Python
Test Loss: 0.035253848880529404
```

We do the same on the different continents to have very precise models.

Continent	Loss
Africa	0.035253849
Asia	0.056542493
Europe	0.151943073
North	
America	0.154656351
South	
America	0.156622395
Oceania	0.14317733

The LSTM model's loss metrics across the continents provide insights into the predictive accuracy of the emissions forecasting. Africa and Asia show the lowest loss figures, indicating a higher predictive accuracy for these regions, which could be due to less variability in the factors affecting emissions or a more consistent trend that the model could learn from. On the other hand, Europe, North America, and South America exhibit higher loss values, suggesting a less accurate forecast that could result from greater variability or complexity in the emissions data or the influence of more erratic factors not captured by the model.

Oceania's loss is moderate, indicating a fair level of prediction accuracy. These metrics not only inform the reliability of the model's forecasts but also potentially reflect the unique



emission profiles and trends of each continent, which could be critical for targeted climate strategies.

# 2) For GHG:

We do the same kind of model and run it on all continents to have a very precise model.

```
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
from sklearn.metrics import minMaxScaler
from sklearn.metrics import men_absolute_error, mean_squared_error

X=asia_countries_phg_grouped_index.values.reshape(-1, 1) # Year as the feature
y = asia_countries_phg_grouped_ito2_Emissions'].values # COO Emissions as the target

# Normalize the feature and target
scaler_X = MinMaxScaler()
y_scaled = scaler_X_fit_transform(X)

scaler_y = MinMaxScaler()
y_scaled = scaler_Y_fit_transform(y.reshape(-1, 1))

# Reshape for LSTM input - LSTM expects input to be 30 (num_samples, num_time_steps, num_features)
# Here we have a single time step and a single feature
X_scaled = X_scaled_reshape((X_scaled.shape[0], 1, 1))

# Split the data into training and testing sets
X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X_scaled, test_size=0.2, random_state=42)

# Create the LSTM model
model_add(LSTM\So, activation='relu', input_shape=(1, 1))) # Adjust the number of neurons and input_shape as needed
model_add(Dense(1))
model.compile(optimizer=Adam(), loss='mean_squared_error')

# Train the model
model.fit(X_train, y_train, epochs=100, batch_size=32, verbose=1)
```

```
Epoch 1/100
2/2 [======= ] - 3s 8ms/step - loss: 0.3050
Epoch 2/100
Epoch 3/100
Epoch 4/100
2/2 [============ ] - Os 2ms/step - loss: 0.2877
Epoch 5/100
Epoch 6/100
2/2 [=======] - 0s 3ms/step - loss: 0.2765
Epoch 7/100
2/2 [======== ] - 0s 2ms/step - loss: 0.2712
Epoch 8/100
2/2 [============== ] - 0s 7ms/step - loss: 0.2658
2/2 [======= ] - 0s 8ms/step - loss: 0.2603
Epoch 10/100
2/2 [======] - 0s 10ms/step - loss: 0.2548
Epoch 11/100
2/2 [======] - Os 8ms/step - loss: 0.2498
Epoch 12/100
2/2 [======] - Os 7ms/step - loss: 0.2444
Epoch 13/100
Epoch 99/100
2/2 [=======] - Os 11ms/step - loss: 0.0327
Epoch 100/100
2/2 [=======] - 0s 11ms/step - loss: 0.0325
```

Output is truncated. View as a <u>scrollable element</u> or open in a <u>text editor</u>. Adjust cell output <u>settings</u>...



Continent	GHG Loss
Arica	0.038331583
Asia	0.041782886
Europe	0.149747267
North	
America	0.152614772
South	
America	0.04852245
Oceania	0.143945023

The LSTM model demonstrates variable predictive accuracy across continents for GHG emissions, with Africa and Asia showing the lowest losses, indicative of more reliable forecasts in these regions.

This could suggest that the emission trajectories in Africa and Asia are relatively stable or that they follow a pattern that the model can capture effectively. Conversely, Europe and North America show higher loss values, which may point to more complex emission patterns or greater unpredictability in the factors influencing GHG emissions. South America, while showing a slightly higher loss than Africa and Asia, still indicates a relatively accurate model performance. Oceania's loss is comparable to Europe and North America, suggesting similar challenges in accurately predicting GHG emissions.

These variations in model loss highlight the differences in GHG emission dynamics across continents and may reflect distinct environmental policies, economic development stages, and energy use practices, which are critical considerations for future modeling and policy-making efforts.



# **Conclusions and Insights:**

#### **Conclusions:**

## 1. Disparity in Emissions:

 There is a significant disparity in both CO2 and GHG emissions among continents, with Asia and North America consistently ranking as the highest emitters. This suggests the need for region-specific strategies to address emissions.

## 2. Sectoral Impact:

• The Power Industry and Transport sectors are the most significant contributors to emissions, highlighting the critical areas where interventions could yield substantial reductions in overall emissions.

#### 3. Economic Development and Emissions:

• The weak correlation between GDP and emissions suggests that economic growth does not always equate to higher emissions, indicating potential decoupling due to energy efficiency, cleaner technologies, or service-oriented economic shifts.

#### 4. Predictive Model Performance:

• The LSTM model showed varying levels of prediction accuracy across different continents for both CO2 and GHG emissions, suggesting the presence of distinct factors influencing emissions in each region.

## **Insights:**

## 1. Policy Implications:

• The need for differentiated climate policies is clear, as emissions are not uniform across sectors or regions. Tailored approaches are necessary to address the unique characteristics of each sector and region.

## 2. Technological Advancements:

• The relatively weak correlation between emissions and economic output could imply successful adoption of green technologies in certain regions. There is potential to scale such technologies to reduce emissions further.

## 3. International Cooperation:

• Given the interdependence of emissions among some continents, international cooperation is crucial. Shared strategies and technologies could benefit multiple regions simultaneously.

#### 4. Data-Driven Decisions:



• The insights from the predictive models can be used to inform policy decisions, focusing on areas where the model predicts higher emissions and thus where interventions might be most needed.

#### 5. Future Research:

• Areas with higher model loss indicate a need for further research to understand the underlying complexities that affect emissions, which may include economic policies, energy sources, and land-use practices.

## 6. Investment in Sustainability:

• To sustain economic growth while minimizing environmental impact, investment in sustainable infrastructure and renewable energy is essential, as shown by the lower correlation of emissions with GDP in some regions.

## 7. Monitoring and Reporting:

• Continuous monitoring and reporting of emissions are vital for tracking progress and the effectiveness of policies. This project reinforces the importance of accurate data collection and analysis in the fight against climate change.

**Overall**, the project underscores the complexity of global emissions and the importance of leveraging data analysis and predictive modeling for strategic planning in climate action. It also emphasizes that while the challenge is global, solutions must be adapted to regional and sectoral contexts.