

Title

E. Ritondale,^{1*} A. N. Other,² Third Author^{2,3} and Fourth Author³

¹*Max Planck Institute for Astrophysics, Karl-Schwarzschild-Strasse 1, D-85740 Garching, Germany*

Accepted XXX. Received YYY; in original form ZZZ

ABSTRACT

Lyman-alpha emitters (LAEs) are low-mass, high specific star formation rate galaxies that are thought to be predominantly responsible for the reionisation of the Universe. In spite of their importance, it is extremely difficult to characterise in detail all but the brightest, most massive of these galaxies; this is unsatisfactory, since the faint LAE population is expected to contribute significantly to the reionisation. Here we present a study of a new sample of 20 strongly lensed Ly- α emitting galaxies at $z \sim 2.3$, where we take advantage of the lensing magnification (typically a factor of about 20) to characterise some of the physical properties of low star-formation rate LAEs for the first time.

Key words: gravitational lensing – galaxies: structure

1 INTRODUCTION

Lyman-alpha emitting galaxies (LAEs) represent a population of star-forming systems with very large Ly α equivalent widths and some of the highest specific star-formation rates (sSFR) in the Universe, and these low-mass galaxies are thought to be predominantly responsible for the reionisation of the Universe. However, it is extremely difficult to characterise these galaxies in detail because they are intrinsically very faint. Typical LAE galaxies have strong star-formation, high-ionisations, and are typically low metallicity; these properties, combined with a (mostly) low dust content, allow for the escape of a large fraction of Ly α photons. At redshift $2 < z < 3$, well-studied LAEs are typically at the bright end of this parameter space, being L * galaxies with $M^* \sim 109 M_\odot$ and typical SFRs of about 30 to 100 M_\odot/yr (e.g. Erb et al. 2016), and investigations of lower-SFR objects have generally been limited to quantifying the properties of strong optical lines (e.g. Trainor et al. 2015). For example, Hagen et al. (2016) have recently shown that low-SFR LAEs (M^* as low as $10^7 M_\odot$ and SFR ~ 1 to 100 M_\odot/yr , consistent with local-Universe *green pea* LAEs, e.g., Henry et al. 2015) have optical strong line (H α and [O iii]) properties consistent with optically-selected star-forming galaxies of the same masses at $z \sim 2$, but they are unable to directly determine the properties of these galaxies that may affect the UV escape fraction, including the gas metallicity, density, and kinematics, without additional very large investments in telescope time. Strong gravitational lensing can be used to overcome this limitation, but the difficulty is

that most strongly lensed galaxies at $z \sim 2$ are not LAEs, and at present the properties of only three lensed LAEs have been investigated in detail (Christensen et al. 2012; Vanzella et al. 2016). Fortunately, new HST V -band observations of LAE galaxies selected from the BOSS survey have revealed a sample of strongly lensed systems at $\langle z \rangle \sim 2.5$ for which the magnification effect could reveal the detailed structure of these LAEs at scales around 100 pcs. Our subsequent lens modelling shows that the typical lensing magnification of these objects is $\mu \sim 20$ and, after accounting for this magnification, these objects are compact galaxies with SFRs of $\sim 12 M_\odot/\text{yr}$ (i.e., a factor of 3 to 8 lower than previous detailed studies).

2 DATA

The dataset analysed was released as part of the third Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS-III) and consists of publicly available data. A sample of 21 lensed Lyman-alpha emitting galaxies were discovered between November 2015 and December 2016 during the BOSS survey. Their redshifts span from $z \sim 2$ to 3 while the lensing objects are mostly massive early-type galaxies, at redshift around 0.55. Out of the 21 lens candidates SDSSJ0054+2944, SDSSJ1116+0915 and SDSSJ1516+4954 turned out not to be lenses, while SDSSJ2245+0040 is not included in our final sample because of the uncertain nature of the deflector, which appears to be a spiral galaxy.

* E-mail: elisa@mpa-garching.mpg.de

3 LENS MODELLING

The lens modelling of each system was performed using the Bayesian pixelated technique developed by [Vegetti & Koopmans \(2009\)](#). Briefly, the mass density distribution of the lens is parametrised with an elliptical power-law profile (plus external shear) with a total of eight free parameters,

$$\kappa(x, y) = \frac{k_0 \left(2 - \frac{\gamma}{2}\right) q^{\gamma-3/2}}{2 \left(q^2 \left(x^2 + r_c^2\right) + y^2\right)^{(\gamma-1)/2}}, \quad (1)$$

the dimensionless surface mass density and the Einstein radius are related to each other as

$$R_{\text{ein}} = \left(\frac{\kappa_0 \left(2 - \frac{\gamma}{2}\right) q^{(\gamma-2)/2}}{3 - \gamma} \right)^{1/(\gamma-1)}. \quad (2)$$

The surface brightness distribution of the lens is simultaneously modelled with the lens mass distribution and is parametrised using elliptical Sersic profiles

$$I(r) = I_0 \exp \left[\left(-bn \left(\frac{r}{R_e} \right)^{1/n} \right) - 1.0 \right], \quad (3)$$

with

$$r = \sqrt{q_l^2 x_l^2 + y_l^2}. \quad (4)$$

The surface brightness distribution of the un-lensed background source is instead reconstructed using a magnification-adaptive Delaunay tessellation and is characterised by a form and level of regularisation, \mathbf{R}_s and λ_s (see [Vegetti & Koopmans 2009](#); [Vegetti et al. 2014](#), for a more detailed description). In particular, the modelling procedure is performed in two steps: first we mask out the emission from the lens galaxy and, given the lensed surface brightness distribution \mathbf{d} , we optimise for the lens mass parameters $\boldsymbol{\eta} = \{\kappa_0, \theta, q, x, y, \gamma, \Gamma, \Gamma_\theta\}$ and source regularisation level by maximising the following posterior probability density

$$P(\lambda_s, \boldsymbol{\eta} | \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{R}_s) = \frac{P(\mathbf{d} | \lambda_s, \boldsymbol{\eta}, \mathbf{R}_s) P(\lambda_s, \boldsymbol{\eta})}{P(\mathbf{d} | \mathbf{R}_s)}. \quad (5)$$

At each step of this optimisation the corresponding most probable source \mathbf{s} is obtained by maximising the following probability density distribution

$$P(\mathbf{s} | \mathbf{d}, \lambda_s, \boldsymbol{\eta}, \mathbf{R}_s) = \frac{P(\mathbf{d} | \mathbf{s}, \boldsymbol{\eta}) P(\mathbf{s} | \lambda_s, \mathbf{R}_s)}{P(\mathbf{d} | \lambda_s, \boldsymbol{\eta}, \mathbf{R}_s)}. \quad (6)$$

Then, we use this as a fix starting point to parametrise the surface brightness of the lens galaxy as a sum of two or more elliptical Sersic profiles and optimise for the corresponding parameters, $\boldsymbol{\eta}_l = \{\dots\}$ together with the background source surface brightness distribution. In the third and last step we optimise simultaneously for the mass and the light distribution of the deflector and the source regularisation level.

4 RESULTS

5 DISCUSSION

REFERENCES

- Vegetti S., Koopmans L. V. E., 2009, [MNRAS](#), **392**, 945
 Vegetti S., Koopmans L. V. E., Auger M. W., Treu T., Bolton A. S., 2014, [MNRAS](#), **442**, 2017

Table 1. Add caption here.

Name (SDSS)	z_{lens}	z_{src}	$R_{\text{ein}}[\text{arcsec}]$
J0029 + 2544	0.587	2.450	
J0113 + 0250	0.623	2.609	
J0201 + 3228	0.396	2.821	
J0237 – 0641	0.486	2.249	
J0742 + 3341	0.494	2.363	
J0755 + 3445	0.722	2.634	
J0856 + 2010	0.507	2.233	
J0918 + 4518	0.524	2.344	
J0918 + 5104	0.581	2.403	
J1110 + 2808	0.607	2.399	
J1110 + 3649	0.733	2.502	
J1141 + 2216	0.586	2.762	
J1201 + 4743	0.563	2.126	
J1226 + 5457	0.498	2.732	
J1529 + 4015	0.531	2.792	
J2228 + 1205	0.530	2.832	
J2342 – 0120	0.527	2.265	

Table 2. Add caption here. This table should contain the best parameters for the sersic models

Name (SDSS)	n_{Ser}
-------------	------------------




Figure 1. From top to bottom: best models for the lens gravitational systems From left to right: input data, reconstructed model, normalised image residuals and reconstructed source.




Figure 2. From top to bottom: best models for the lens gravitational systems From left to right: input data, reconstructed model, normalised image residuals and reconstructed source.