Decision Trees

Praphul Chandra

Decision Trees | Statistical Decision Theory | K-Nearest Neighbor

- Statistical Decision Theory
  - The best prediction of Y at an point X=x is the conditional mean. (L2 lo

- knn
  - At each point x, approximate y by averaging all y_i with input x_i near
  - Near x = k nearest neighbors
  - Locally constant approximation

- Decision Tree
 - At each point x, approximate y by averaging all y_i with input x_i nea
  - Near x = Region in which x lies | Find the region optimally
  - Locally constant approximation
    - M5 variant of decision tree embeds linear regression in each leaf

Building & Using Trees

Training Data | Model: Decision Tree | Test Data

Build

- Think : "If, Then" rules specified in the feature space.
- Greedily divide (binary split) the feature space into distinct, non-overlapping regions

Use

- Every observation mapped to a leaf node assigned the label most commonly occurring in that leaf (Classification)
- Every observation mapped to a leaf node assigned the mean of the samples in the leaf (Regression)
- "Natural" clustering given the target variable.

Decision Trees : Continuous splitting of the feature spaces

- In Feature Space

- The feature space contains all data
- Divided regions contain "homogeneous" data subsets
- Region boundaries define regions *(homogeneous data)*

- As a Tree

- Root contains all data
- Leaves contain "homogeneous" data subsets
- Paths along branches define leaves *(homogeneous data)*

Theme & Key Variations

- Decision Trees :
  - Continuous splitting of the feature space
  - Recursive Partitioning of the feature space

- Split
  - Divide the data such that all data in subset-1 is "different" from the data in subset-2 in a certain dimension.

- How to split?
  - Which variable to use to split the data?
  - Which value of the variable to split on?

- What criteria should be used to evaluate a split?
  - What is the split trying to achieve?
  - How do you measure the homogeneity of a subset?
  - In Classification / Regression
  - Supervised Clustering

- Algorithm names
  - CART
  - C4.5
  - C5.0
  - CHAID
  - ID3
  - …

- Other Variations
  - Handling missing values
    - Different category, surrogate splits etc.
  - More than two child nodes
    - One variable appears only once in the tree

Choosing the Split - Classification

What is a good split?

- Among all possible splits *(all features, all split points)*
- Which split maximizes gain / minimizes error *(Greedy)*
- Information Gain / Impurity reduction.

Choosing feature, split-point

- Cluster "homogeneous" data (subset of data)
- What is a good split measure?
  - Classification Error $1 - \max_j p_j$
  - Gini Index $p_1(1-p_2) + p_2(1-p_1)$
  - Entropy $p_1 \log(p_1) + p_2 \log(p_2)$

Predictor space

$$i(t_1) = 1 - \max\{p_g, p_r\} = 1 - \max\left\{\frac{3}{3}, \frac{0}{3}\right\} = 0$$

$$i(t_2) = 1 - \max\{p_g, p_r\} = 1 - \max\left\{\frac{0}{4}, \frac{4}{4}\right\} = 0$$

$$i(t_3) = 1 - \max\{p_g, p_r\} = 1 - \max\left\{\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}\right\} = 0.33$$

Impurity = Classification Error Rate

Class 1	$n(t_1) = 60$	$p_1 = 0.3$
Class 2	$n(t_2) = 100$	$p_2 = 0.5$
Class 3	$n(t_3) = 40$	$p_3 = 0.2$
Total	$n(t) = 200$	1

$$i(t) = 1 - (0.5) = 0.5$$

t

s

t_L

t_R

Class 1	$n(t_1) = 10$	$p_1 = 0.07$
Class 2	$n(t_2) = 100$	$p_2 = 0.66$
Class 3	$n(t_3) = 40$	$p_3 = 0.27$
Total	$n(t) = 150$	1

$$i(t_L) = 1 - 0.66 = 0.33$$

Class 1	$n(t_1) = 50$	$p_1 = 1.0$
Class 2	$n(t_2) = 0$	$p_2 = 0.0$
Class 3	$n(t_3) = 0$	$p_3 = 0.0$
Total	$n(t) = 50$	1

$$i(t_R) = 1 - 1.0 = 0$$

$$\frac{150}{200} \times 0.33 + \frac{50}{200} \times 0 = 0.25$$

$$\Delta i(s,t) = 0.5 - 0.25 = 0.25$$

maximize { Information Gain }

Impurity = Classification Error Rate (cont'd)

$n(t_1)$ = 400	p_1 = 0.5
$n(t_2)$ = 400	p_2 = 0.5
$n(t)$ = 800	1

$$i(t) = 1 - \max_j\{p_j\}$$
$$= 1 - (0.5) = 0.5$$

t

S_1

$n(t_1)$ = 300	p_1 = 0.75
$n(t_2)$ = 100	p_2 = 0.25
$n(t)$ = 400	1

$n(t_1)$ = 100	p_1 = 0.25
$n(t_2)$ = 300	p_2 = 0.75
$n(t)$ = 400	1

t_L

t_R

$$i(t_L) = 1 - 0.75 = 0.25$$

$$i(t_R) = 1 - 0.75 = 0.25$$

$$\Delta i(s,t) = 0.5 - \left[\frac{400}{800} \times 0.25 + \frac{400}{800} \times 0.25\right] = 0.25$$

$n(t_1)$ = 400	p_1 = 0.5
$n(t_2)$ = 400	p_2 = 0.5
$n(t)$ = 800	1

$$i(t) = 1 - \max_j\{p_j\}$$
$$= 1 - (0.5) = 0.5$$

t

S_2

$n(t_1)$ = 200	p_1 = 0.33
$n(t_2)$ = 400	p_2 = 0.67
$n(t)$ = 600	1

$n(t_1)$ = 200	p_1 = 1.00
$n(t_2)$ = 0	p_2 = 0.00
$n(t)$ = 200	1

t_L

t_R

$$i(t_L) = 1 - 0.67 = 0.33$$

$$i(t_R) = 1 - 1.00 = 0.0$$

$$\Delta i(s,t) = 0.5 - \left[\frac{600}{800} \times 0.33 + \frac{200}{800} \times 0.0\right] = 0.25$$

Impurity = Gini Index

$n(t_1) = 400$	$p_1 = 0.5$
$n(t_2) = 400$	$p_2 = 0.5$
$n(t) = 800$	1

$$i(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{K} p_j(1 - p_j)$$

$$= 0.50$$

t

S_1

$n(t_1) = 300$	$p_1 = 0.75$
$n(t_2) = 100$	$p_2 = 0.25$
$n(t) = 400$	1

|	
$n(t_1) = 100$	$p_1 = 0.25$
$n(t_2) = 300$	$p_2 = 0.75$
$n(t) = 400$	1

t_L

t_R

$i(t_L) = 0.38$

$i(t_R) = 0.38$

$$\Delta i(s,t) = 0.50 - \left[\frac{400}{800} \times 0.38 + \frac{400}{800} \times 0.38\right] = 0.12$$

$n(t_1) = 400$	$p_1 = 0.5$
$n(t_2) = 400$	$p_2 = 0.5$
$n(t) = 800$	1

$$i(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{K} p_j(1 - p_j)$$

$$= 0.50$$

t

S_2

$n(t_1) = 200$	$p_1 = 0.33$
$n(t_2) = 400$	$p_2 = 0.67$
$n(t) = 600$	1

|	
$n(t_1) = 200$	$p_1 = 1.00$
$n(t_2) = 0$	$p_2 = 0.00$
$n(t) = 200$	1

t_L

t_R

$i(t_L) = 0.44$

$i(t_R) = 0.0$

$$\Delta i(s,t) = 0.50 - \left[\frac{600}{800} \times 0.44 + \frac{200}{800} \times 0.0\right] = 0.17$$

Impurity = Cross Entropy

n(t₁) = 400	p₁ = 0.5
n(t₂) = 400	p₂ = 0.5
n(t) = 800	1

$$i(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{K} p_j \log\left(\frac{1}{p_j}\right)$$

$$= 1$$

n(t₁) = 300	p₁ = 0.75
n(t₂) = 100	p₂ = 0.25
n(t) = 400	1

S_1

n(t₁) = 100	p₁ = 0.25
n(t₂) = 300	p₂ = 0.75
n(t) = 400	1

$i(t_L) = 0.81$

$i(t_R) = 0.81$

$$\Delta i(s,t) = 1 - \left[\frac{400}{800} \times 0.81 + \frac{400}{800} \times 0.81\right] = 0.19$$

n(t₁) = 400	p₁ = 0.5
n(t₂) = 400	p₂ = 0.5
n(t) = 800	1

$$i(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{K} p_j \log\left(\frac{1}{p_j}\right)$$

$$= 1$$

n(t₁) = 200	p₁ = 0.33
n(t₂) = 400	p₂ = 0.67
n(t) = 600	1

S_2

|	
n(t₁) = 200	p₁ = 1.00
n(t₂) = 0	p₂ = 0.00
n(t) = 200	1

$i(t_L) = 0.91$

$i(t_R) = 0.0$

$$\Delta i(s,t) = 1 - \left[\frac{600}{800} \times 0.91 + \frac{200}{800} \times 0.0\right] = 0.3175$$

10

Classification error vs. Gini vs. Entropy

- Measures of Impurity
  - Determine Information Gain
  - Determine split choice

- For binary classification
  - All measures reach a maxima at (0.5,0.5)
  - All measure are symmetrical around the

http://people.revoledu.com/kardi/tutorial/DecisionTree/how-to-measure-impurity.htm

When to stop splitting?

Decision Tree

- Continuous splitting of the feature space
- Recursive Partitioning of the feature space

When to stop splitting (Avoiding overfitting)

When will we be "forced" to stop?

- When all nodes are pure (homogeneous leaves)
- These trees can be very deep : Overfitting
- Good trees don't over-fit !
- All models must guard against overfitting

Early Stopping

- Information Gain < Threshold
- Minimum Instances per Node
- Maximum Tree Depth

Grow & Prune

- Tree building is greedy!
- Current split gain < Future split gain (Gotcha !)

Split & Merge : Grow & Prune

Key !dea

- Grow deep trees first (Greedy workaround)
- Prune low gain branches.

Cost Complexity Tradeoff

- Cost of pruning : Increase in Impurity
- Reduction in Complexity : Shorter trees, Fewer leaves

What is a good tree?

- When to stop pruning?
- Overfitting measure: number of leaves, depth of tree

Optimal Tradeoff

- Parameter trading off cost complexity
- Try different values: choose one based on performance on test data

Decision Tree

- Function Approximation formulation

- Choosing feature, split-point
  - Cluster "homogeneous" data (subset of data)
  - What is a good split measure?
    - Classification Error
    - Gini Index $\quad 1-\max_j p_j$
    - Entropy $\quad p_1(1-p_2)+p_2(1-p_1)$
  - CART, C4.5, CHAID, ID3 variants $\quad p_1\log(p_1)+p_2\log(p_2)$

- When to stop splitting (Avoiding overfitting)
  - Grow & Prune
  - Complexity (Hyper)-Parameter : Penalty for # nodes
  - Optimal Cp : Grid search + (k-fold)-Cross Validation
    - Metric: TreeMisclassificationError/RootNodeError

$$f(X) \quad = \quad \sum_{m=1}^{|T|} c_m \cdot 1_{(X \in R_m)} \qquad \text{Decision Tree}$$

$$f(X) \quad = \quad \beta_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{p} X_j \beta_j \qquad \text{Linear Regression}$$

$$N_m \quad = \quad \#\{x_i \in R_m\}$$

$$\hat{c}_m \quad = \quad \frac{1}{N_m} \sum_{x_i \in R_m} y_i$$

$$Q_m(T) \quad = \quad \frac{1}{N_m} \sum_{x_i \in R_m} (y_i - \hat{c}_m)^2$$

$$C_\alpha(T) \quad = \quad \sum_{m=1}^{|T|} N_m Q_m(T) + \alpha |T|$$

Example

- predict a type of deformation (kyphosis) after surgery, from
  - age in months (Age),
  - number of vertebrae involved (Number), h
  - highest vertebrae operated on (Start).

fit <- rpart(Kyphosis ~ Age + Number + Start, method="class", data=kyphosis)

plot(fit, uniform=TRUE,   main="Classification Tree for Kyphosis")

text(fit, use.n=TRUE, all=TRUE, cex=.8)

Classification Tree for Kyphosis

http://www.statmethods.net/advstats/cart.html

Example

- Classify radars
  - "good" (evidence of some structure in the ionosphere based on reflections received from transmitted rays)
  - "bad" : signals pass through the ionosphere.

#split into training and test sets

Ionosphere[,"train"] <- ifelse(runif(nrow(Ionosphere))<0.8,1,0)

#separate training and test sets

trainset <- Ionosphere[Ionosphere$train==1,]

testset <- Ionosphere[Ionosphere$train==0,]

#build model, plot tree

rpart_model <- rpart(Class~.,data = trainset, method="class")

plot(rpart_model);text(rpart_model)

#predict on test data

rpart_predict <- predict(rpart_model,testset[,-typeColNum],type="class")

#confusion matrix

table(pred=rpart_predict,true=testset$Class)

Pred\Actual	Bad	Good
Bad	17	2
Good	9	43

Example (cont'd)

#cost-complexity pruning

printcp(rpart_model)

- Best Tree ⬅➡ Best Cp
  - Lowest cross-validate relative error OR
  - the smallest (simplest) tree within one standard error of the best tree.

get index of CP with lowest xerror

opt <- which.min(rpart_model$cptable[,"xerror"])

cp <- rpart_model$cptable[opt, "CP"]

#prune tree, plot tree

pruned_model <- prune(rpart_model,cp)

plot(pruned_model);text(pruned_model)

		Cross validation Error Rate (10-fold) Scaled (Relative) w.r.t. Root Node		
Cp	**nsplit**	**rel. error**	**xerror**	**xstd**
0.57	0	1.00	1.00	0.080178
0.20	1	0.43	0.46	0.062002
0.02	2	0.23	0.26	0.048565
0.01	4	0.19	0.35	

Training Error Rate   Standard Error


https://eight2late.wordpress.com/2016/02/16/a-gentle-introduction-to-decision-trees-using-r/

Decision Trees for Regression

What is a good split?

- Among all possible splits *(all features, all split points)*
- Which split maximizes gain / minimizes error *(Greedy)*
- Information Gain / Impurity reduction

Choosing feature, split-point

- Contain "homogeneous" data *(subset of data)*
- What is a good split measure?
- Squared Sum of Errors $\sum_{i \in L}(\hat{y}_L - y_{i,L})^2 + \sum_{i \in R}(\hat{y}_R - y_{i,R})^2$

$\hat{y}_{R_1} = 226$

$\hat{y}_{R_2} = 465$

$\hat{y}_{R_3} = 949$

$$\sum_{i \in R_1}(y_i - \hat{y}_{R_1})^2 \quad + \quad \sum_{i \in R_2}(y_i - \hat{y}_{R_2})^2 \quad + \quad \sum_{i \in R_2}(y_i - \hat{y}_{R_3})^2 \quad =$$

$$\text{minimize} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{J}\sum_{i \in R_j}(y_i - \hat{y}_{R_j})^2 \right\}$$

# Decision Trees : Visualization

Splits = Branching

- Split = Feature, Split point

Information gain (Entropy) = Colour

- In the dominant intensity bin

Leaf Distribution = Data Homogeneity

- Some leaves are better than others

Decision Trees : Summary

Versatility

- Can be used for classification, regression & clustering
- Effectively handle missing values.
- Can be adapted to streaming data.

Predictive Accuracy

- Not so great.
- But : Bagging, Boosting, Random Forests

Interpretability

- Easy to understand / present / visualize
- Human interpretable rules
- Allow post processing: Rules systems

Model Stability

- High Variance: Strong dependence on training set.
- But : Bagging, Boosting, Random Forests

Decision Trees vs. Linear Regression (Separating Hyperplane)

- Linear Regression
  - Linear: y is a linear combination of its features
  - The separating boundary is a hyperplane

- Decision Tree
  - The separating boundary is piecewise linear along one of the features
  - Keep splitting the feature spaces till variance in the dependent variable is low enough

- Y = f(X)

In the lab today

- Data pre processing using the caret package

- Overview of computing Impurity Measures (Gini Index and Information Gain)

- Building CART (rpart) and C5.0 (C50) Trees for Classification

- Building CART (rpart) Trees for Regression

- Linear SVM (e1071) and Implementing SVM suing the polynomial and the RBF kernels (e1071)

Q?

Praphul Chandra

Insofe

Bangalore, Hyderabad