all atomic formulas are WFF

WFF/statements

- formula phi where FV(phi) = 0
- atomic formulas are closed under PC operators
- if P is a WFF, replace a name in P with variable x. Call this P*.
 - o there exists a P* that is WFF
 - for all P*, they are WFF

PL Statements

- definition: an interpretation M consists of
 - a universe U (sometimes called a "domain") where U is not the empty set
 - o an assignment to each name of L a definite
 - object in U an assignment to each n-ary function symbol
 - some n-ary function in U
 - o an assignment to each n-ary predicate some nary predicate in U
 - f(x,y) --> [| f |]^v = +

Truth Conditions for WFF

- all truth conditions from PC are imported in
- there exists a Px that is true IFF there exists a u within U such that [|P|]^M holds of u.
- for all Px, Px are true IFF for all u within U, [|P|]^M holds of u.
- x = y is true IFF x and y refer to the same u within U (e.g. the morning star is the same as the evening star)

Supposing:

- predicate p
 - name a
 - let M be such that U = { 1, 2, 3, ...}
- $[|a|]^M = 1$
- [|P|] = <
- Check: ExPx, AxPx, AxEy P(x,y)

PL Trees

- assuming operators and quantifiers: E, A, =
- definition: universal instantiation (UI) of phi with form Ax phi(x), which occupies an open path psi o if some name n appears in phi, write phi(n) at
 - the end, unless phi(n) is already in psi. if no name appears in our path, choose some
 - name phi(n) and write phi(n)
 - o don't tick
- example: "all the tables in this room are black" --> "this table is black"
- example: names are r, j; L is a 2-ary predicate validity: Ax(Lxr-->Ljx) apply I(1), apply UI(4)

 - Lrr 0
 - ~Ljj 0
 - Lrr --> Ljr apply I(2)
 - branches into ~Lrr and Ljr
 - ~Lrr is closed and we apply N1(3)
 - Ljr branches into Ljr --> Ljj from applying UI(4), apply I(5) ~Ljr
 - o desired conclusion: Ljj

Person(x) --> EyFather(y,x): be careful of this, a "database that explodes in size" - every person has a father, who has a father, etc.

Example for Satisfiability:

- predicates are U, F, P and Q
- $S = \{Ax(Ux-->Fx), Ax(Ux-->^{\sim}Fx)\}$ Ax(Ux-->Fx), apply UI(1)
- Ax(Ux-->~Fx), apply UI(2)
- Ua --> Fa, **apply I(3)**
- Ua --> ~Fa, **apply I(4)** branches into
 - o ~Ua
 - ~Ua(open)
 - ~Fa(open)
 - Fa 0
 - ~Ua(open) ~Fa(closed) satisfiable, because there are
 - open paths

discrete) - \sim Ex phi(x) \equiv Ax \sim phi(x)

Note - quantifiers are intersomethingsomething (think

- $^{\sim}$ Ax phi(x) \equiv Ex $^{\sim}$ phi(x)
- $^{\sim}(A \vee B) \equiv ^{\sim}A \wedge ^{\sim}B$

AxPx --> Pa ^ Pb ^ Pc

ExPx --> Pa v Pb v Pc Consider: \sim ExPx $\equiv \sim$ (Pa v Pb v Pc)

Negated Quantification (NQ) if ~Ax phi(x) or ~Ex phi(x) appears on an open path,

tick it (discharge statement) and write "Ex~" in place of "~Ax" (or vice versa)

- **Existential Instantiation**
 - Given unticked Ex phi(x) on a path phi, check psi for phi(n) if phi(n) doesn't occur in psi, write phi(n) at the end
 - example: Ex phi(x) o psi (path)
 - phi(n)
 - sometimes dis boi is misapplied, check notes to see if
 - there is an example

identity property

- two statements P, Q
- if psi (the path) has a statement of form x=y and P where x or y occurs at least once, you can write Q where Q is P w/ all x's replaced with y's or vice versa example:
- \circ x = y
- - P (original statement)
 - Q
 - identity property allows us to get to transitivity, reflexivity, all that other good stuff