

Dean Makovsky (dem2dw)  
Cryptocurrency Problem Set 1

1. Answer the following questions about the transaction where you received the bitcoin.

Link to transaction:

<https://blockchain.info/tx/1487e03aa6c26e03cf07124caf1a2feb56cf4a9108c16a3ceb82a956c664a99f>

- a. Transaction ID:  
1487e03aa6c26e03cf07124caf1a2feb56cf4a9108c16a3ceb82a956c664a99f
  - b. Transaction fee: 0.0001 BTC ~ \$0.022
  - c. Block 372136 transacted a total value of 1,111.13927457 BTC ~ \$254,317.56
  - d. The transaction was received at 2015-08-30 00:35:29  
and included in block 372136 at 2015-08-30 00:35:57  
and block 372139 was confirmed at 2015-08-30 01:24:17  
...so it took 48 minutes and 48 seconds to be confirmed 3 times.
2. How much can you figure out about the way bitcoin was transferred to students in the class?
    - a. By tracing backwards, I found:  
1D6qsGhZqrRSKegqWT3e8TPR8gGczTxMLu  
1JHoF2bak7KCST3SzeR7e1AwqDm4tiLjt  
1MtYZBtRw8XcnTgEY8qQphbddnwwFcoEXH  
which are probably other student's bitcoin addresses (all were given the same BTC amt.)
    - b. I traced it back a lot, with a few odd transactions and addresses thrown in, but this seems to be the origin of your wallet:  
<https://blockchain.info/address/14J6ep326owXDpc1waViGJNB1onSFy9eou>  
I traced back very far and found some million dollar transfers, but didn't know how to associate these addresses with a bitcoin exchange.
    - c. Did you use a proxy to Germany? Would a foreign proxy explain why I saw a small sum sent to a gambling site? Although blockchain.info clearly has a map showing Germany, an IP address location finder also resulted in Germany. ...after doing more of the assignment I see this is not the case, but still unsure what the gambling thing is about.
  3. Stealing bitcoin via malicious software
    - a. A malicious developer could take a fee, say 1000 satoshi, every time you decide to send money with the wallet. Or they could open a connection to the outside world and send your (and everyone else's) private keys to their servers; then when they have enough keys they steal everyone's money at the same time and "go public" with the thieving. Or if it sees you make a lot of transactions, it could just add a

small one in every now and then to give themselves a few extra pennies. There are many possibilities.

- b. I'm pretty confident that my money is safe in Multibit HD. It's listed on the main bitcoin website, so I assume those people have looked into the technology to verify its security. Furthermore, you recommended it too. If I was going to store all my money in a bitcoin wallet, I might consider changing to use bitcoin-core and use the CLI (though this could have similar problems).
4. Typing " $2^{256} - 2^{32} - 2^9 - 2^8 - 2^7 - 2^6 - 2^4 - 1$  hex" into Wolfram Alpha yielded "ffc2f" which is the same as the Go code.
5. The Operating System has good randomness; the library was written to handle randomness securely (whatever this means; which is more than calling `Math.random()` in Java); these keys remain local on your computer and not sent over network; no other process can view the output of this program and will transmit the data elsewhere; the `btcd` library is written to the bitcoin spec and is bug-free.
6. Er

```
func generateVanityAddress(pattern string) (*btcec.PublicKey,
                                         *btcec.PrivateKey) {
    for {
        pk, sk := generateKeyPair()
        temp := generateAddr(pk).String()
        // fmt.Printf(temp, "\n")
        boolean, garbage := regexp.MatchString(pattern, temp)
        if boolean {
            return pk, sk
        } else if false {
            fmt.Printf("temp", garbage)
        }
    }
}
```
7. This is a public key in hex:  
[02de7e161e6abed54102b9f22623d21f61a62a5ffcb67b3382efac98c8eba1a520]  
This is the associated Bitcoin address:  
[1BjGkTPSeEDLodean2es4xomvyW1mTR617]
8. The vanity address should have the same level of security as a regularly generated address. This is because a good hash function makes it infeasible to find some key,  $x$ , such that  $H(x) = \text{vanity}$ .
9. Link to transaction (and transaction id):  
<https://blockchain.info/tx-index/e33371daca889afb1e8ca21d0a8392dd386ee5b3d01a798d3c73fef91825499a> This is weird though...the received time is 2015-09-15 05:27:02 but

the “included in block” time is 2015-09-15 05:26:36, which doesn't make sense.

10. Link to transaction:

<https://blockchain.info/tx/48f5fb7a657f825d68af2bc000c1da8a5c37ec87e10513509bc9aeb41b9645ac>