

Class 12: Script

Schedule

Read: *Chapter 3: Mechanics of Bitcoin*, from Arvind Narayanan, Joseph Bonneau, Edward Felten, Andrew Miller, Steven Goldfeder. *Bitcoin and Cryptocurrency Technologies*. Sections 3.2 and 3.3 are about bitcoin scripts, and should be read carefully. (You should read the whole chapter, but those sections are most relevant to today's class.)

Friday, October 9: Problem Set 2 (8:29pm).

Monday, October 19: Midterm Exam

Hash Collisions!

Computing a bitcoin address: ([bitcoinwiki](#))

Private Key: pick a random number, k .

Public Key: compute $(U_x, U_y) = Gk$ (elliptic curve multiplication, G is specified generator point)

U_x and U_y are 32 bytes each.

The bitcoin address is (\parallel is bitstring concatenation):

$\text{raw} = 1 \parallel \text{RIPEMD160}(\text{SHA256}(U_x \parallel U_y))$ *RIPEMD output is 160 bits (20 bytes) + one byte for 1*

$\text{checksum} = \text{first 4 bytes of SHA256}(\text{SHA256}(\text{raw}))$ *Compute a checksum using SHA256 double hash*

$\text{address} = \text{Base58Check}(\text{raw} \parallel \text{checksum})$ *convert to printable, unambiguous characters*

How important is pre-image resistance for the security of bitcoin addresses?

How important is collision resistance for the security of bitcoin addresses?

How important is pre-image resistance for the integrity of bitcoin's proof-of-work? What about collision resistance?

Xiaoyun Wang and Hongbo Yu, *How to Break MD5 and Other Hash Functions*, EuroCrypt 2005.

Bitcoin Script

Transaction outputs in bitcoin are protected by *locking scripts*, and must be unlocked by *unlocking scripts*. The scripts are written in a simple (compared to, say, the Java Virtual Machine language, but quite complex and poorly specified for what one might expect would be needed for bitcoin transactions) stack-based language. A transaction output is not unlocked unless an unlocking script is provided such that the result of executing the unlocking script, followed by executing the locking script, is a stack with value **True** on top (and no invalid transaction results during the execution).

Opcode	Input	Output	Description
OP_1	-	1	Pushes a 1 (True) on the stack
OP_DUP	<i>a</i>	<i>a a</i>	Duplicates the top element of the stack
OP_ADD	<i>a b</i>	$(a+b)$	Pushes the sum of the top two elements.
OP_EQUAL	<i>a b</i>	0 or 1	Pushes 1 if the top two elements are exactly equal, otherwise 0 .
OP_VERIFY	<i>a</i>	-	If <i>a</i> is not True (1), terminates as Invalid.
OP_RETURN	-	-	Terminates as Invalid.
OP_EQUALVERIFY	<i>a b</i>	-	If <i>a</i> and <i>b</i> are not equal, terminates as Invalid.
OP_HASH160	<i>a</i>	$H(a)$	Pushes bitcoin address, RIPEMD160(SHA256(<i>a</i>)).

Some more complex instructions:

OP_IF *statements* OP_ENDIF - If the top of the stack is **1**, executes *statements*. Otherwise does nothing.

OP_CHECKSIG - Pops two items from the stack, *publickey* and *sig*. Verifies the entire transaction (known from node state, not the stack) using the *publickey* and *sig*. If the signature is valid, push **1**; otherwise, **0**.

The most common locking script (send to public address):

OP_DUP OP_HASH160 OP_DATA20 (*bitcoin address*) OP_EQUALVERIFY OP_CHECKSIG

What must be on the stack to unlock this locking script (end with **1** on top of stack)?

What must be on the stack to unlock OP_HASH160 20-byte hash OP_EQUAL ("Pay-to-Script-Hash")?

Is the bitcoin scripting language Turing-complete? What would be risky about a cryptocurrency scripting language being Turing-complete?

See web notes for links to BTCD and Bitcoin Core Code for interpreting script.