# Computer Music Languages and Systems

Homework n° 2 Flanger effect plugin with feedback

10574752

10751438

10612929

10486570

Master of Science in Music and Acoustic Engineering



#### Abstract

Our group worked on **Assignment 3**: implement a flanger effect plugin with feedback. We divided our work in steps as presented in the sections of this document. After a quick theory recap about the behaviour of a flanger unit with feedback, we chose the parameters that best suit for a flanger plugin. Finally, we got our hands on JUCE, implementing the code behind the functionality of these parameters and the Graphical User Interface. In general, we used as a reference the "Material on delay lines" PDF document and the related JUCE laboratories, expecially the Delay Line one. Here is the link to the GitHub Repository containing all the code: https://github.com/EllDy96/CarlGang/tree/Homework2

# Chapter 1

# 1.1 Theory recap: flanger with feedback

Flanger is a delay-based effect. So, we started from the implementation of the **Delay Line**, considering that the amount of the delay varies over time, under the control of a separate **Low-Frequency Oscillator (LFO)**. Moreover, adding a **feedback control**, the output of the delay line is routed back to its input.

In the picture below a block diagram of a flanger with feedback unit is shown:




Figure 1.1: Flanger with feedback

As we can see from the block diagram, we have two gains,  $g_{FB}$ , which is the feedback gain, and  $g_{FF}$ , the gain related to the output of the delay line. If  $g_{FB} < 1$ , the delayed copies of the sound will gradually decay, where a gain of 1 or more means they will grow indefinitely. So, the input/output relation for the flanger with feedback can be expressed in the time domain as:

$$y[n] = x[n] + g_{FF}d[n]$$

where  $d[n] = x[n - M[N]] + g_{FB}d[n - M[N]]$  is the output of the delay line. M[n] expresses the delay length over a sample n. We can have different M[n]

functions, depending on the particular waveform we are using. Generally, this function is described by:

- ·  $M_{avg}$ , the average delay;
- $\cdot$  W, the width of the delay modulation;
- $\cdot$  f, the LFO frequency (in Hz);
- $\cdot f_s$ , the sample rate.

### 1.2 Parameters choice

The parameters we chose for our flanger plugin are the following:

#### • Feedforward (Mix) $\rightarrow$ knob

It represents the amount of delayed signal that is mixed in with the original one. Having a value of 0 means that we are considering only the dry signal. Increasing this value (up to 1), one can adjust the balance between the processed signal and the dry signal.

#### • Feedback $\rightarrow$ KNOB

Through this parameter it's possible to control, in practice, how much of the output signal from the delay line we want to send back through the device input. The range of possible values for this plugin is [0, 0.50]. We decided to set the end of the range at half of his theoretical maximum because the more it approaches 1 the more emerges a metallic sound due to the sharpening of peaks and notches in the frequency response.

#### • Delay $\rightarrow$ KNOB

It lets the user to adjust the minimum amount of delay of the LFO, in a range of [1.00, 5.00] [ms]. For higher delay times our flanger behaved as a chorus, so we fixed the max at 5 ms.

#### • LFO Width (Sweep Width) $\rightarrow$ KNOB

It allows one to control the total amplitude of waveform of the LFO, in a range of [1.00, 20.00][ms].

#### • LFO Frequency (Speed) $\rightarrow$ KNOB

The LFO frequency can be set in a range of [0.05, 2.00][Hz].

#### • Shape of the LFO Envelope → COMBO BOX

It allows one to select which shape use for the LFO. For this plugin there are three possible waveform shapes: Sine, Triangle and Sawtooth.

## 1.3 Plugin implementation in JUCE

#### 1.3.1 Introduction

- \* The first thing we decided to implement is the Value Tree State, a class used to manage all the parameters of the plugin, or so to say, the entire plugin's state. It is very helpful in order to handle the connection between the objects in the Editor and the Processor via the instantiation of specific classes called Attachments, one for each type of graphical objects (i.e., slider, comboBox). An identification string is used for retrieving a parameter, for which we are sure is the newest value up to date with the user interface.
- \* As a delay-based effect, the flanger is implemented using circular buffers, which can be considered as FIFO buffers (First In First Out). The dimension of the buffer is fixed and it is large enough to fit the amount of the maximum delay (sweep width + delay parameters) at any point in the LFO cycle.
  - The actual length of delay at any time is controlled by the distance between the read pointer and the write pointer in the buffer. The increase or decrease of the delay is represented by the speed of the read pointer with respect to the movement of the write pointer. If the read pointer moves faster (slower), the amount of delay will decrease (increase).
- \* In addition, we need to use low order polynomial interpolation to calculate the output of the delay line, including the case in which the delay length, expressed by the function M[n], is not an integer. First, we tried to implement a simple linear interpolation, but then we opted instead for the cubic interpolation because the former was causing some artefacts. In either case, we left the linear interpolation commented in the code for a quick comparison.
- \* In order to handle the multiple waveforms of the LFO, we defined the wave functions through a switch case in which the phase varies incrementally. Given the wave functions, it easy to compute the current delay with the user-defined parameters width and delay and hence the delay read pointer:

float currentDelay = delay + sweepWidth \* waveformFunction;

- 1.3.2 Altra subsection
- 1.4 Results and applications