Data Science supervision 1

Example sheet 1

1.

$$P(X \le x) = P(u(1-u) \le x)$$

$$u - u^{2} = x \rightarrow u^{2} - u + x = 0$$

$$u = 1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 4x}$$

$$1 \quad for \quad \frac{1}{4} < x$$

$$P(X \le x)$$

$$P(X \le x) = \begin{cases} 0 \quad for \quad x < 0 \\ 1 - \sqrt{1 - 4x} \quad for \quad 0 \le x \le \frac{1}{4} \end{cases}$$

$$P(X \le x) = \begin{cases} 0 \quad for \quad x < 0 \\ 1 - \sqrt{1 - 4x} \quad for \quad 0 \le x \le \frac{1}{4} \end{cases}$$

$$P(X \le x) = \begin{cases} 0 \quad for \quad x < 0 \\ 1 \quad for \quad \frac{1}{4} < x \end{cases}$$

$$P(X \le x) = \begin{cases} 0 \quad for \quad x < 0 \\ 0 \quad for \quad \frac{1}{4} < x \end{cases}$$

$$P(X \le x) = \begin{cases} 0 \quad for \quad x < 0 \\ 0 \quad for \quad \frac{1}{4} < x \end{cases}$$

2.
$$\Pr(x_i, \lambda) = \frac{\lambda^x e^{-\lambda}}{x_i!}$$

$$\log \Pr(x_i, \lambda) = x_i \ln(\lambda) - \lambda - \ln(x_i!)$$

$$\log \Pr(x_i, x_2, x_n, \lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log \Pr(x_i, \lambda)$$

$$= \ln(\lambda) \sum_{i=1}^n x_i - n\lambda - \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(x_i!)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \log \Pr(x_i, x_2, \dots x_n, \lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i - n$$

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i - n = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{\lambda} = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = n$$

3.

```
import scipy.optimize
import numpy as np

x = [3,2,8,1,5,0,8]
n = len(x)

def logPr(t):
    l = np.exp(t)  # use exponential transform so that l > 0
    return np.log(l)*sum(x) - n*l - sum(map(
        lambda x_i: np.log(np.math.factorial(x_i)),
        x
    ))

[tMax] = scipy.optimize.fmin(lambda t: -logPr(t), 1)

lMax = np.exp(tMax)  # undo the transform
print(lMax)
```

4.
$$\Pr(x; \theta) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } x < 0 \\ \frac{1}{\theta} & \text{for } 0 \le x \le \theta \\ 0 & \text{for } x > \theta \end{cases}$$

$$\log \Pr(x;\theta) = \begin{cases} -\ln \theta & 0 \le x \le \theta \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\log P_r(x_1,...,x_n;\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log P_r(x_i;\theta)$$

maximize -n/nθ ⇒ minimize Inθ ⇒ minimize θ

$$\hat{\theta} = \max_{i \in \mathcal{A}} \theta_i$$

5.
$$\Pr(x; \mu, \sigma) = \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{\frac{-(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}} Assuming independence.$$
 $|\log \Pr(x; \mu, \sigma)| = -\ln (\sigma | \overline{2\pi}) - \frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}$
 $|\log \Pr(x; \mu, \sigma)| = -\ln (\sigma | \overline{2\pi}) - \frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}$
 $|\log \Pr(x; \mu, \sigma)| = -\ln \ln (\sigma | \overline{2\pi}) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i - \mu)^2$
 $|\log \Pr(x; \mu, \sigma)| = -\ln \ln (\sigma | \overline{2\pi}) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i - \mu)^2$
 $|\log \Pr(x; \mu, \sigma)| = -\ln \ln (\sigma | \overline{2\pi}) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i - \mu)^2$
 $|\log \Pr(x; \mu, \sigma)| = -\ln \ln (\sigma | \overline{2\pi}) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i - \mu)^2$
 $|\log \Pr(x; \mu, \sigma)| = -\ln \ln (\sigma | \overline{2\pi}) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i - \mu)^2$
 $|\log \Pr(x; \mu, \sigma)| = -\ln \ln (\sigma | \overline{2\pi}) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i - \mu)^2$
 $|\log \Pr(x; \mu, \sigma)| = -\ln \ln (\sigma | \overline{2\pi}) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i - \mu)^2$
 $|\log \Pr(x; \mu, \sigma)| = -\ln \ln (\sigma | \overline{2\pi}) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i - \mu)^2$
 $|\log \Pr(x; \mu, \sigma)| = -\ln \ln (\sigma | \overline{2\pi}) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i - \mu)^2$
 $|\log \Pr(x; \mu, \sigma)| = -\ln \ln (\sigma | \overline{2\pi}) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i - \mu)^2$
 $|\log \Pr(x; \mu, \sigma)| = -\ln \ln (\sigma | \overline{2\pi}) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i - \mu)^2$
 $|\log \Pr(x; \mu, \sigma)| = -\ln \ln (\sigma | \overline{2\pi}) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i - \mu)^2$
 $|\log \Pr(x; \mu, \sigma)| = -\ln \ln (\sigma | \overline{2\pi}) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i - \mu)^2$
 $|\log \Pr(x; \mu, \sigma)| = -\ln \ln (\sigma | \overline{2\pi}) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i - \mu)^2$
 $|\log \Pr(x; \mu, \sigma)| = -\ln \ln (\sigma | \overline{2\pi}) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i - \mu)^2$
 $|\log \Pr(x; \mu, \sigma)| = -\ln \ln (\sigma | \overline{2\pi}) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i - \mu)^2$
 $|\log \Pr(x; \mu, \sigma)| = -\ln \ln (\sigma | \overline{2\pi}) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i - \mu)^2$
 $|\log \Pr(x; \mu, \sigma)| = -\ln \ln (\sigma | \overline{2\pi}) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i - \mu)^2$
 $|\log \Pr(x; \mu, \sigma)| = -\ln \ln (\sigma | \overline{2\pi}) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i - \mu)^2$
 $|\log \Pr(x; \mu, \sigma)| = -\ln \ln (\sigma | \overline{2\pi}) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i - \mu)^2$
 $|\log \Pr(x; \mu, \sigma)| = -\ln \ln (\sigma | \overline{2\pi}) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i - \mu)^2$
 $|\log \Pr(x; \mu, \sigma)| = -\ln \ln (\sigma | \overline{2\pi}) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i - \mu)^2$
 $|\log \Pr(x; \mu, \sigma)| = -\ln \ln (\sigma | \overline{2\pi}) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i - \mu)^2$
 $|\log \Pr(x; \mu, \sigma)| = -\ln \ln (\sigma | \overline{2\pi}) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i - \mu)^2$
 $|\log \Pr(x; \mu, \sigma)| = -\ln \ln (\sigma | \overline{2\pi}) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i - \mu)^2$
 $|\log \Pr(x;$

6.
$$Pr(y; x, \lambda) = (\lambda x)^{y} e^{-\lambda x}$$

$$log Pr(y; x, \lambda) = y ln(\lambda x) - \lambda x - ln(y!)$$

$$log Pr(y_1, ..., y_n; x_1, ..., x_n, \lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} log Pr(y_i; x_i, \lambda)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i ln(\lambda x_i) - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n} ln(y_i!)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} = 0$$

$$\therefore \hat{\lambda} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i.$$

7.

We can use the following model formula to make sure that the two lines meet at the inflection point:

$$f(x) = \left\{ egin{aligned} m_1(x - x_{inf}) + c & x \leq x_{inf} \ m_2(x - x_{inf}) + c & x_{inf} < x \end{aligned}
ight.$$

where m_1 and m_2 are the gradients of the two lines, (x_{inf},c) is the position of the inflection point. Assuming we are given the points as a labelled dataset $(x_1,y_1),\ldots,(x_n,y_n)$, we can adapt this to account for a random component:

$$Y_i \sim \operatorname{Normal}(f(x_i), \sigma^2)$$

This has likelihood

$$\Pr(y_i;x_i,x_{inf},m_1,m_2,c,\sigma) = rac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-(y_i-f(x_i))^2/2\sigma^2}$$

which can then be \log 'd and optimised numerically over parameters m_1 , m_2 , c, and σ in order to fit the dataset, probably including an exponential transform over σ to keep it positive.