Assignment #6

Elliot Smith

10/1/2018

Problem 1

Part i

$p(\beta|y,\lambda_1,\lambda_2,k) \propto p(y|\lambda_1,\lambda_2,k,\beta) \times p(\lambda_1|y,\lambda_2,k,\beta) \times p(\lambda_2|y,\lambda_1,k,\beta) \times p(k|y,\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\beta) \times p(\beta)$

Since $p(y|\lambda_1,\lambda_2,k,\beta)$ and $p(k|y,\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\beta)$ don't depend on β, we may ignore them and our equation becomes:

$p(\beta|y,\lambda_1,\lambda_2,k) \propto p(\lambda_1|y,\lambda_2,k,\beta) \times p(\lambda_2|y,\lambda_1,k,\beta) \times p(\beta)$

Where:

$$\begin{aligned}
p(\lambda_1|y,\lambda_2,k,\beta) &= p(y|\lambda_1,\lambda_2,k)p(\lambda_1) \\
&\sim Gamma\left(\alpha + \sum_{t=1}^{k} y_t, \beta + k\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
p(\lambda_2|y,\lambda_1,k,\beta) &= p(y|\lambda_1,\lambda_2,k)p(\lambda_2) \\
&\sim Gamma\left(\alpha + \sum_{t=k+1}^{n} y_t, \beta + (n-k)\right)
\end{aligned}$$

So:

$$\begin{aligned}
p(\beta|y,\lambda_1,\lambda_2,k) &\propto p(\lambda_1|y,\lambda_2,k,\beta) \times p(\lambda_2|y,\lambda_1,k,\beta) \times p(\beta) \\
&\propto \beta^{\alpha}\lambda_1^{\alpha-1+\sum_{t=1}^{k} y_t} e^{-(\beta+k)\lambda_1} \beta^{\alpha}\lambda_2^{\alpha-1+\sum_{t=k+1}^{n} y_t} e^{-(\beta+(n-k))\lambda_2} \beta^{a_\beta-1} e^{-b_\beta\beta} \\
&\propto \beta^{2\alpha} e^{-(\beta+k)\lambda_1-(\beta+(n-k))\lambda_2} \beta^{a_\beta-1} e^{-b_\beta\beta} \\
&\propto \beta^{2\alpha+a_\beta-1} e^{-\beta\lambda_1-\beta\lambda_2-b_\beta\beta} \\
&\propto \beta^{2\alpha+a_\beta-1} e^{-(\lambda_1+\lambda_2+b_\beta)\beta} \\
&\sim Gamma(2\alpha + a_\beta, \lambda_1+\lambda_2+b_\beta)
\end{aligned}$$

Part ii

Please refer to the Code Appendix section for the implementation of the Gibbs sampler.

Part iii

```
## HPD Interval Lambda_1 with Beta = 0.01:  ( 2.572844 , 3.703226 )
## HPD Interval Lambda_2 with Beta = 0.01:  ( 0.7012556 , 1.150827 )
## HPD Interval k + 1850 with Beta = 0.01:  ( 1885 , 1894 )
```

```
## HPD Interval Lambda_1 with Beta Hyperprior:  ( 2.552284 , 3.698473 )
## HPD Interval Lambda_2 with Beta Hyperprior:  ( 0.7060756 , 1.160568 )
## HPD Interval k + 1850 with Beta Hyperprior:  ( 1884 , 1894 )
```

There does not appear to be substantial difference between the posterior credible intervals of the two models.

Part iv



No. According to the results and the density representation there is not evidence to suggest the the posterior distribution $[\beta|y]$ differs substantially from our previous choice of $\beta = 0.01$

Problem 2

Part i

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(y|\mu,\alpha,\beta) &= \int \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\tau^{\alpha-1}e^{-\beta\tau}\left(\frac{\tau}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}e^{-\frac{\tau}{2}(y-\mu)^2}d\tau \\
&= \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\int \tau^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}e^{-\beta\tau}e^{-\frac{\tau}{2}(y-\mu)^2}d\tau \\
&= \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\int \tau^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}e^{-(\beta+\frac{1}{2}(y-\mu)^2)\tau}d\tau \\
&= \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\frac{1}{2})}{(\beta+\frac{1}{2}(y-\mu)^2)^{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}}} \\
&= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\frac{1}{(2\pi\beta)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\frac{1}{(1+\frac{1}{2\beta}(y-\mu)^2)^{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

And now substituting in for z_i and our Gamma parameters for α and β we get the following result:

$p(z_i) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\nu+1}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi\nu}\Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2})}\frac{1}{\left(1+\frac{z_i^2}{\nu}\right)^{\frac{\nu+1}{2}}}$

Part ii





As we can see from our result, we get similar density when comparing our results from Part i with the $t_\nu(0,1)$ distribution.

Part iii

Yes, this result does make sense, because as $\nu \to \infty$ the $Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2}, \frac{\nu}{2})$ will approach the Normal distribution.

Problem 3

Part i

$$p(\theta|y, \tau_y, \xi_i) \propto p(\theta)p(y|\theta, \tau_y, \xi_i)$$

$$p(\tau_y|y, \theta, \xi_i) \propto p(\tau_y)p(y|\theta, \tau_y, \xi_i)$$

$$p(\xi_i|y, \theta, \tau_y) \propto p(\xi_i)p(y|\theta, \tau_y, \xi_i)$$

Part ii

Part iii

Part iv

Part v

Code Appendix