

Programming 3

Functional Programming Challenges

Elliot Purvis
Student ID: 28561716

12th November 2017

1 Composition Law Proof

Given the law, we can translate the left hand side to equal the right.

```
1 pure (.) <*> u <*> v <*> w = u <*> (v <*> w)
```

Taking only the left hand side, and applying the definition of pure for Maybe:

```
1 Pure x = Just x
```

The left hand side is left as:

```
1 (Just (.) ) <*> u <*> v <*> w
```

We know that for the Maybe type, `< * >` is defined as:

```
1
2
3
4
instance Applicative Maybe where
  pure = Just
  Nothing <*> _ = Nothing
  (Just f) <*> something = fmap f something
```

Hence if either argument for `< * >` is nothing, the entire expression reduces to Nothing, so we can ignore these and presume u, v and w are something. Using this, we can then apply `< * >` (being careful to keep terms left-applicative) to the first two terms.

```
1 (Just (.) f) <*> (Just g) <*> (Just x)
```

Repeating this:

```
1 (Just (.) f g) <*> (Just x)
```

And then applying `< * >` a final time:

```
1 (Just (f.g) x)
```

Which expands to:

```
1 (Just f (g x))
```

From here, we can apply:

$$1 \quad \text{pure } f \text{ <*> pure } x = \text{pure } (f \ x)$$

We apply the homomorphism law once, and then again to the second generated term.

$$\begin{array}{l} 1 \quad (\text{Just } f) \text{ <*> Just } (g \ x) \\ 2 \\ 3 \quad (\text{Just } f) \text{ <*> } ((\text{Just } g) \text{ <*> } (\text{Just } x)) \end{array}$$

Finally, we can remove the earlier definitions of u, v, w to give the right side of our original equation.

$$1 \quad u \text{ <*> } (v \text{ <*> } w)$$