CSCI 338: Assignment 4 (6 points)

Elliott Pryor

April 3, 2020

This assignment is due on **Friday, April 3, 11:30pm**. It is strongly encouraged that you use Latex to generate a single pdf file and upload it under *Assignment 3* on D2L. But there will NOT be a penalty for not using Latex (to finish the assignment). This is **not** a group-assignment, so you must finish the assignment by yourself.

Problem 1

Let \mathcal{B} be the set of all infinite sequences over $\{a,b\}$. Show that \mathcal{B} is uncountable, using a proof by diagonalization.

PROOF. By Contradiction.

Suppose \mathcal{B} is countable. Then its elements could be ordered $b_1, b_2, b_3, ...$

 $b_1 = \mathbf{a}$ abbaa... $b_2 = \mathbf{a}$ babab... $b_3 = \mathbf{b}$ bbaaa...

We construct a new element b' by taking the elements on the diagonal and complementing them (if they were an 'a' make it a 'b' and if they were a 'b' make it an 'a'). Clearly $b' \in \mathcal{B}$. So then some $b_i = b'$, but by our construction b' differs from b_i at the *i*th spot. So $b' \neq b_i$. A contradiction. So \mathcal{B} is not countable.

Let $T = \{(i, j, k) | i, j, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Show that T is countable.

Proof.

We need to show that there is some f(x) that is a correspondence between T and \mathbf{N} . We construct this f(x).

\mathbf{N}	f(x)
1	(1, 1, 1)
2	(2, 1, 1)
3	(2, 2, 1)
4	(2, 1, 2)
5	(2, 2, 2)
6	(3, 1, 1)
7	(3, 2, 1)
	•••

This mapping enumerates all possible values of T. For a given value of i it enumerates all (j, k) pairs in order s.t j + k is minimized and $j, k \le i$. Once it enumerates to i = j = k it increases i by 1 and starts again. This is clearly one-to-one as it never lists the same point twice, and is clearly onto as it explores all possible values for T. Therefore we have constructed a correspondence between T and N, then T must be countable.

Let $INFINITE_{PDA} = \{ < M > | M \text{ is a PDA and } L(M) \text{ is an infinite language} \}$. Show that $INFINITE_{PDA}$ is decidable.

Proof.

By Theorem 2.20 we can convert the PDA into a CFG G in Chompsky Normal Form. We then accept if there is some derivation $D \stackrel{*}{\to} xDy$ where x, y consist only of terminals. We reject otherwise.

Let $\Sigma = \{a,b\}$. Define the following language ODD_{TM} : $ODD_{TM} = \{ < M > | M \text{ is a TM and } L(M) \text{ contains only strings of odd length } \}.$ Prove that ODD_{TM} is undecidable.

Proof.

Let w be an input $w \in L(M)$. Then we know that $A_{TM} = \{ \langle M, w \rangle | M \text{ is a TM which accepts } w \}$ is undecidable by Theorem 4.11 in class. Therefore, it is undecidable for every sentence in the language. Therefore ODD_{TM} is undecidable.

Computer Science Theory: Assignment 4

Problem 5

Show that EQ_{CFG} is undecidable.

This was proven in class on Week of Mar 23-27 Undecidability. The general premise is:

 $Eq_{CFG} = \{ \langle G, H \rangle \mid G, \text{ and H are CFG's and } L(G) = L(H) \}.$ Then let set $C = (L(G) \cap L(H)) \cup (L(G) \cap L(H)).$

Show that EQ_{CFG} is co-Turing-recognizable.

Problem 5.3 (page 239—third edition of Sipser).