

Homework 4

Elliott Pryor

25 Sept 2020

Problem 1 3.1.3 problem 1a)

Compute the sup, inf limsup, liminf and all the limit points of $x_n = 1/n + (-1)^n$

$x_n = 0, 3/2, -2/3, 5/4, -4/5, 7/6, -6/7, 9/8, \dots$

Clearly the sup is $3/2$.

If we take the $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1/n + (-1)^n$ the $1/n$ term goes to 0 and the $(-1)^n$ term is always either ± 1 . So $\liminf = -1$ and $\limsup = 1$.

Then to compute the *inf* we also look at the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ -1 is the *inf* of x_n . -1 is an upper bound since $-1 + 1/n > -1 \forall n$. There cannot be a greater lower bound $y > -1$, by the Axiom of Archimedes $y - (-1) \geq 1/a$ for some $a \in \mathbf{N}$. We choose $n = a + 1$ if a is even and $n = a + 2$ if a is odd, in both cases n is odd so $(-1)^n = -1$. So from Axiom of Archimedes $y - (-1) > 1/n \leftrightarrow y > 1/n - 1 = x_n$, so $y > x_n$ and thus is not an lower bound. So -1 is the greatest lower bound.

Problem 2 3.1.3 problem 2

1. If a bounded sequence is the sum of a monotone increasing and monotone decreasing sequence ($x_n = y_n + z_n$ where $\{y_n\}$ is monotone increasing and $\{z_n\}$ is monotone decreasing) does it follow that the sequence converges?
 2. What if $\{y_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ are bounded?
-

1. No, the sequence could oscillate.

PROOF. By contradiction

Suppose that sequence $x_n = y_n + z_n$ where $\{y_n\}$ is monotone increasing and $\{z_n\}$ is monotone decreasing converges for any y_n, z_n , and x_n is bounded. We let $y_n = \begin{cases} n, & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ (n+1), & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$

and $z_n = \begin{cases} -n, & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ -(n-1), & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$

So $y_n = 2, 2, 4, 4, 6, \dots$ and $z_n = 0, -2, -2, -4, -4, \dots$. And then $x_n = (2+0), (2-2), (4-2), (4-4), (6-4), \dots = 2, 0, 2, 0, 2, \dots$. So clearly x_n is bounded and it does not converge since $|x_n - x_{n+1}| = 2 \forall n \in \mathbf{N}$

So $x_n = y_n + z_n$ does not converge for monotone increasing sequence y_n and monotone decreasing sequence z_n . A contradiction, so x_n does not converge for every y_n, z_n . \square

2. Yes x_n converges if y_n, z_n are bounded. Since y_n is bounded and monotone increasing it must have a finite limit equal to the *sup*, and since z_n is bounded and monotone decreasing it must have a finite limit equal to the *inf*. Thus $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} y_k = y$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} z_k = z$. Then $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} y_k + z_k = y + z$. Since $y, z \in \mathbf{R}$ the sequence $x_n = y_n + z_n$ is convergent.

Problem 3 3.1.3 problem 4

Prove $\sup(A \cup B) \geq \sup(A)$ and $\sup(A \cap B) \leq \sup(A)$

PROOF.

First we show $\sup(A \cup B) \geq \sup(A)$ by contradiction. We suppose that $\sup(A \cup B) < \sup(A)$. By definition $\sup(A \cup B) \geq x \forall x \in A \cup B$. Then since every element in A is also in $A \cup B$ it is true that $\sup(A \cup B) \geq x \forall x \in A$. Since $\sup(A) \geq x \forall x \in A$ and $\sup(A \cup B) < \sup(A)$ then $\sup(A)$ is not a least upper bound, a contradiction so $\sup(A \cup B) \geq \sup(A)$

Next we show $\sup(A \cap B) \leq \sup(A)$ by contradiction. We suppose that $\sup(A \cap B) > \sup(A)$. Since $\sup(A \cap B)$ is the least upper bound, $\exists x \in A \cap B$ s.t. $|x - \sup(A \cap B)| \leq 1/n \forall n$. Clearly everything in $A \cap B$ is also in A . Since $\sup(A \cap B) > \sup(A)$ then $\exists x \in A \cap B > \sup(A)$ which is a contradiction since $A \cap B \subseteq A$. So $\sup(A \cap B) \leq \sup(A)$

□

Problem 4 3.1.3 problem 6

Is every subsequence of a subsequence of a sequence also a subsequence of the sequence?

Yes.

PROOF.

Let x_n be some sequence, and x'_n be a subsequence. We show that x''_n is also a subsequence of x_n . First clearly every element in x'_n is in x_n since x'_n is a subsequence, then it follows that every element of x''_n is an element of x_n by the same reasoning. We need to show that there is a strictly increasing subsequence selection function f . There is a subsequence selection function g that selects elements from x to create x' , and another subsequence selection function h that selects elements from x' to create x'' . The subsequence selection function $f = h(g(n))$. $f : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ since $g : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ and $h : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$. We show that equation 1 is strictly increasing

$$h(g(n+1)) > h(g(n)) \tag{1}$$

$g(n+1) > g(n)$ by definition. Let $a = g(n)$ then $g(n+1) \geq a+1$, so in the worst case we have $g(n+1) = a+1$. So we substitute this into equation 1. $h(a+1) > h(a)$. This is true because h is a subsequence selection function so is strictly increasing. Thus f which is the composition of h and g ($f = h(g(n))$) must be strictly increasing. So f is a subsequence selection function, and x''_n must be a subsequence of x_n as required.

□

Problem 5 Can there exist a sequence whose set of limit points is exactly $1, 1/2, 1/3, \dots$? (Hint: what is the \liminf of the sequence?)

No

PROOF.

By theorem 3.1.4 the \liminf of a sequence is a limit point of the sequence. By the same theorem we have that the $\liminf = \inf\{\text{limit points}\}$. The $\inf\{1, 1/2, 1/3, \dots\} = \inf\{1/n \mid n \in \mathbf{N}\} = 0$. So 0 must be a limit point of the sequence. A contradiction since $0 \neq 1/n \ \forall n \in \mathbf{N}$, so there cannot exist a sequence whose set of limit points is exactly $1, 1/2, 1/3, \dots$. \square