

STAT 422: HW #6

Due: April 4, 2022, 11:59pm

Problem 1

Statement

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample from the distribution with the following pdf:

$$f(x; \theta) = \theta x^{\theta-1} I_{(0,1)}(x), \text{ where } \theta > 0$$

- Find a complete sufficient statistic for θ
- Using your answer in part (a), explain why $\prod_{i=1}^n X_i$ is also a sufficient statistic for θ .

Solution

- Goal is to show that this is exponential family. So we want to write $f(x; \theta) = h(x)c(\theta) \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^k t_j(x)w_j(\theta)\right)$.

$$\begin{aligned} f(x; \theta) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \theta x_i^{\theta-1} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \theta \exp((\theta - 1) \ln(x_i)) \\ &= \theta^n \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \ln(x_i)(\theta - 1)\right) \end{aligned}$$

where $h(x) = 1$, $c(\theta) = \theta^n$, $t(x) = \sum \ln(x_i)$, $w(\theta) = (\theta - 1)$. Thus by the Exponential Family theorem: $\sum \ln(x_i)$ is a CSS.

- We can see this via Factorization theorem in a (hand wavy way). We show sufficiency but not completeness. So for completeness, we need a one-to-one function that maps $\sum \ln(x_i)$ to $\prod x_i$. We essentially did this in part a, we just now have to walk backwards. e^x is one-to-one. So we take $\exp(\sum \ln(x_i)) = \prod \exp(\ln(x_i)) = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i$ As required :)

Problem 2

Statement

A real estate firm wants to estimate the rate of new houses sold in a week in Bozeman. Assume X_1, \dots, X_n is a random sample of weekly house sales in Bozeman, where each X_i is a Poisson random variable with mean μ . The observed number of new houses sold per week for 5 randomly chosen weeks, were 2, 3, 3, 4, and 6. Find the best unbiased estimator (i.e., the UMVUE) of μ and its estimate. Show all work, and carefully explain why it is the UMVUE.

Solution

.