

Changes from Part 1 to Part 3

This document outlines the changes made from Part 1 to Part 3 of the project, based on grading comments and feedback. The improvements address specific issues related to the **Domain Model**, **System Sequence Diagram**, **Behavior Contract**, and **Build and Test Automation**.

Domain Model

Feedback

- **Incorrect Attribute Types:** The initial domain model included types for attributes (e.g., `color:string`), which is not standard in UML domain models. Attributes should be shown without types to maintain a high-level conceptual focus.

Improvements

- **Removed Types from Attributes:** In Part 3, types were removed from attributes. This aligns with UML conventions for domain models, where attributes like `color`, `height`, or `material` are shown without types to represent game concepts abstractly.
-

System Sequence Model

Feedback

1. **Wrong Level of Abstraction:** The initial system sequence diagram included too many internal system interactions. It should have only displayed interactions between the **Player(s)** and the **Game System**.
2. **Incorrect Call Direction:** The model incorrectly included calls from the system to the user (e.g., `playerWins()`). Calls in sequence diagrams should originate from the user (Player) to the system, with the system only responding.
3. **Incomplete Modeling:** The initial model missed crucial parts of the game flow, such as initialization, player moves, building actions, and winner reporting.

Improvements

1. **Refined Level of Abstraction:** The updated sequence diagram removes internal system actions and focuses on high-level interactions between the **Player(s)** and **Game System**.
2. **Corrected Call Direction:** All calls now correctly originate from the user to the system. Actions like `takeTurn()`, `moveWorker()`, and `buildStructure()` flow from the player to the system, ensuring the user initiates each interaction.
3. **Added Missing Elements:** The model now includes:
 - **Game Initialization:** The player initiates the game setup.
 - **Turn-Based Actions:** Each turn includes player actions for moving and building.

- **Win Notification:** The system notifies the player with `playerWins()` when the win condition is met, followed by `reportWinner()` to conclude the game.

These changes ensure the sequence model is complete and correctly focused on user-system interactions.

Behavior Contract (Precondition for Complete Tower Check)

Feedback

- **Missing Complete Tower Precondition:** The behavior contract initially lacked a precondition to check if a tower is "complete" (i.e., has three levels with a dome on top).

Improvements

- **Added Complete Tower Precondition:** The updated contract includes a precondition that checks both the tower level (must be level 3) and the presence of a dome on top. This ensures complete towers are tracked correctly, preventing further building and adhering to game rules.
-

Build and Test Automation

Feedback

- **Lack of Test Execution:** Although the project used GitHub Actions for continuous integration, it did not execute tests.

Improvements

- **Added Test Execution in CI:** The GitHub Actions workflow was modified to include test execution. Now, each build run also executes available tests, allowing for automated verification of code functionality and correctness.
-

Summary of Improvements

The changes made from Part 1 to Part 3 address all feedback by:

- **Improving the Domain Model** to remove types from attributes.
- **Refining the System Sequence Diagram** to focus on user-system interactions, add necessary actions, and correct call directions.
- **Enhancing the Behavior Contract** with a complete tower precondition.
- **Expanding Build and Test Automation** to execute tests in GitHub Actions.