

CSCI203 ASSIGNMENT 2 (REPORT)

1. A high-level description (in pseudo-code) of the overall solution strategy

Header:

DEFINE Customer(Struct):

 arrivalTime;

 serviceTime;

 priority;

DEFINE Teller(Struct):

 endTime = 0;

 workingTime = 0;

 customersServed = 0

DEFINE CustomerWaitQueue (which uses a Array to implement a Queue):

 Customer* data;

 int head;

 int tail;

 int capacity;

Method:

 enqueue(Customer Object)

dequeue(Delete element[0])

Condition (isEmpty, is Full)

Peek(Element[0])

- All methods in definition (in main cpp file)

Main file:

MAIN:

DEFINE queue AS CUSTOMER LINE of size 100 (MAX)

DEFINE waitingLine AS WaitingList of size 100 (MAX)

DEFINE tellers[] FROM USER INPUT

DEFINE

currentTime

totalWaitingTime

maxQueueLength

totalQueueingTime

totalServiceTime

currentOfCustomer

READ from input file and add DATA in CUSTOMER

WHILE queue is not empty OR teller is busy:

WHILE arriving customers at currentTime(earlier/ontime):

CHECK for a free teller using freeTeller ()

IF found free tellers, teller will serve the customer

UPDATE

Working Time of TELLER

END TIME of service

Total Services

Current of CUSTOMER

ELSE add customer to WaitingList

FOR each teller:

IF teller finished serving with Customer AND WaitingList is not empty:

teller serves next customer from WaitingList

CALCULATE totalWaitingTime for served customer

CHECK and UPDATE maxQueueLength

UPDATE TIMESTEP

INCREMENT currentTime = STARTING from ENDTIME

PRINT statistics:

- Number of customers served by each teller
- Total time of the simulation
- Average service time per customer (excluded in queue)
- Average waiting time per customer (included in service)
- Maximum Length of Waiting Queue
- Average Queue Length
- Idle rate of each teller (ratio)

END MAIN

2. A complexity analysis of your solution with big-O notation and sufficient justification

- **Best Case:** The precise situation of your discrete event simulation and the beginning state of my data structures.
 - Array: $O(1)$ (for access and insertion)
 - Priority Queue: $O(\log n)$
 - Insertion Sort: $O(n)$ (
 - Discrete Event Simulation: $O(n)$
 - File Reading: $O(n)$
 - Printing Output: $O(n)$
- **Average Case:**
 - This is a bit more complex to analyze precisely, as it depends on the distribution of data and events in your simulation. But assuming typical scenarios:
 - Array: $O(1)$
 - Priority Queue: $O(\log n)$
 - Insertion Sort: $O(n^2)$
 - Discrete Event Simulation: (depending on processes)
 - File Reading: $O(n)$
 - Printing Output: $O(n)$
- **Worst Case:**
 - The worst-case complexities can be:
 - Array: $O(n)$
 - Priority Queue: $O(\log n)$
 - Insertion Sort: $O(n^2)$
 - Discrete Event Simulation: $O(n)$
 - File Reading: $O(n)$
 - Printing Output: $O(n)$

Total Complexity = $O(n)$ (Worst Case)

Total Complexity = $O(\log n)$ (Average Case)

Total Complexity = $O(n^2)$ (Worst Case)

3. A list of all of the data structures are used, and the reasons for use them.

- There are the list of DSA are used in my program (C++)

Data Structures:

a. Array: This structure is used to create tellers, including free tellers to assign to customers during the simulation process. This can solve the problem when one or more tellers need to handle orders.

b. Priority Queue: This structure is implemented by queue theories concept to store customers who arrive earlier than the time tellers complete their work. It will handle priority conditions (priority and arrival time) to arrange elements in the appropriate position when enqueueing the data from outside. The structure is built based on the basic properties of the queue, including enqueue (get data), dequeue (push the first element out of the queue), and check conditions in the queue (full, empty).

Algorithms:

c. (Priority Queue Handling - Enqueue) Insertion Sort When adding data to the queue, this technique will be utilised to process requests directly in the queue. They will be able to identify the qualities of the data that must be applied in descending and ascending order, respectively, to compare it with the remaining elements (priority and arrival).

d. Discrete Event Simulation: This technique simulates the many stages of the process by which clients and tellers interact. As well as figuring out how long it takes tellers to finish serving customers, how many people are served, and how much time each teller has free while no one is waiting to be served. This simulation so demonstrates the ideal time of one or more tellers.

4. A snapshot of the compilation and the execution of your program on the provided “a2-sample.txt” file.

4.1 One Teller

```
macbookmyduy@Elvans1 Final_A2 % g++ A2.cpp -std=c++17 -o a2
macbookmyduy@Elvans1 Final_A2 % ./a2
Enter the number of tellers: 1
Enter file name: a2-sample.txt
[-----]
Total customers being served in this simulation: 100
Number of customers served by teller 1: 100
-----
Total time of the simulation: 1282.57
Average service time per customer (this excludes the time spent in the queue): 12.7983
Average waiting time per customer (this excludes the time spent in the service): 361.906
The maximum length of the queue: 57
Average length of queue: 28.2173
Idle rate of teller 1: 0.0021354
macbookmyduy@Elvans1 Final_A2 %
```

4.2 Two Tellers

```
▼ TERMINAL
macbookmyduy@Elvans1 Final_A2 % ./a2
Enter the number of tellers: 2
Enter file name: a2-sample.txt
[-----]
Total customers being served in this simulation: 100
Number of customers served by teller 1: 52
Number of customers served by teller 2: 48
-----
Total time of the simulation: 653.311
Average service time per customer (this excludes the time spent in the queue): 12.7983
Average waiting time per customer (this excludes the time spent in the service): 60.3841
The maximum length of the queue: 22
Average length of queue: 9.24279
Idle rate of teller 1: 0.0162805
Idle rate of teller 2: 0.0247302
macbookmyduy@Elvans1 Final_A2 %
```

4.3 Four Tellers

```
▼ TERMINAL

● macbookmyduy@Elvans1 Final_A2 % ./a2
Enter the number of tellers: 4
Enter file name: a2-sample.txt
[-----]
Total customers being served in this simulation: 100
Number of customers served by teller 1: 20
Number of customers served by teller 2: 28
Number of customers served by teller 3: 25
Number of customers served by teller 4: 27
-----
Total time of the simulation: 531.161
Average service time per customer (this excludes the time spent in the queue): 12.7983
Average waiting time per customer (this excludes the time spent in the service): 0.25181
The maximum length of the queue: 1
Average length of queue: 0.0474074
Idle rate of teller 1: 0.348217
Idle rate of teller 2: 0.448211
Idle rate of teller 3: 0.385047
Idle rate of teller 4: 0.409034
○ macbookmyduy@Elvans1 Final_A2 %
```


5. The outputs (three runs in total) are produced by your program on the provided “a2-sample.txt” file

5.1 One Teller

macbookmyduy@Elvans1 Final_A2 % ./a2

Enter the number of tellers: 1

Enter file name: a2-sample.txt

[-----]

Total customers being served in this simulation: 100

Number of customers served by teller 1: 100

Total time of the simulation: 1282.57

Average service time per customer (this excludes the time spent in the queue): 12.7983

Average waiting time per customer (this excludes the time spent in the service): 361.906

The maximum length of the queue: 57

Average length of queue: 28.2173

Idle rate of teller 1: 0.0021354

5.2 Two Tellers

macbookmyduy@Elvans1 Final_A2 % ./a2

Enter the number of tellers: 2

Enter file name: a2-sample.txt

[-----]

Total customers being served in this simulation: 100

Number of customers served by teller 1: 52

Number of customers served by teller 2: 48

Total time of the simulation: 653.311

Average service time per customer (this excludes the time spent in the queue): 12.7983

Average waiting time per customer (this excludes the time spent in the service): 60.3841

The maximum length of the queue: 22

Average length of queue: 9.24279

Idle rate of teller 1: 0.0162805
Idle rate of teller 2: 0.0247302

5.3 Four Tellers

macbookmyduy@Elvans1 Final_A2 % ./a2

Enter the number of tellers: 4

Enter file name: a2-sample.txt

[-----]

Total customers being served in this simulation: 100

Number of customers served by teller 1: 20

Number of customers served by teller 2: 28

Number of customers served by teller 3: 25

Number of customers served by teller 4: 27

Total time of the simulation: 531.161

Average service time per customer (this excludes the time spent in the queue): 12.7983

Average waiting time per customer (this excludes the time spent in the service): 0.25181

The maximum length of the queue: 1

Average length of queue: 0.0474074

Idle rate of teller 1: 0.348217

Idle rate of teller 2: 0.448211

Idle rate of teller 3: 0.385047

Idle rate of teller 4: 0.409034

6. A discussion based on the statistics that how the teller's number affect the efficiency of the services.

Maintaining a high degree of efficiency is a major concern in any service system. The quantity of cashiers is one of the most crucial variables influencing performance. When there is only one cashier working, it is clear that consumers must wait a long time before obtaining assistance. Queues that are quickly expanding, lengthy wait times, and rising consumer unhappiness are the results. However, as one might imagine, adding more cashiers does not always provide the desired outcomes. With each additional cashier, resource costs rise along with the likelihood of issues like excessive idle rates. Particularly in the highly competitive corporate world of today, a resource that is not used properly might be viewed as waste.

Therefore, it becomes crucial to identify a solution that strikes a compromise between meeting client expectations and maximising resource efficiency. Optimising the number of cashiers using statistical analysis will assist firms in making the best decisions to satisfy consumer demands without wasting resources. To put it simply, ensuring good performance in service necessitates having a suitable number of cashiers as well as the ability and flexibility to alter this number in accordance with actual demands. Customers will be happy and resources will be employed efficiently as a result of a well-thought-out choice.