

# Biostat 250B HW1

Elvis Cui

January 15, 2021

## 1 Commentary

Recall the classical linear regression model:

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\beta + \epsilon$$
$$\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{W})$$

As practitioners, we would like to estimate  $\beta$  based on observed data and address the goodness-of-fit based on some measurements such as **coefficient of determinants**.

There are 2 common ways to estimate  $\beta$ :

- Ordinary Least Squares (OLS):  $\hat{\beta}_{OLS} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y}$ .
- Weighted Least Squares (WLS):  $\hat{\beta}_{WLS} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{W}^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{W}^{-1} \mathbf{y}$ .

For the second one, usually we write it in the **transformed** version, i.e.,

$$\hat{\beta}_{WLS} = (\mathbf{X}_*^T \mathbf{X}_*)^{-1} \mathbf{X}_*^T \mathbf{y}_*$$

where

$$\mathbf{X}_* = \mathbf{W}^{-1/2} \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{y}_* = \mathbf{W}^{-1/2} \mathbf{y}$$

Then, the coefficient of determination can be calculated in three ways:

•

$$R_{OLS}^2 = 1 - \frac{\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\beta}_{OLS}\|_2^2}{\mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{y} - n\bar{y}^2}$$

•

$$R_{WLS}^2 = 1 - \frac{\|\mathbf{y}_* - \mathbf{X}_*\hat{\beta}_{WLS}\|_2^2}{\mathbf{y}_*^T \mathbf{y}_* - n\bar{y}_*^2}$$

•

$$\text{pseudo } R_{WLS}^2 = 1 - \frac{\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\beta}_{WLS}\|_2^2}{\mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{y} - n\bar{y}^2}$$

The first two are more intuitive than the last one. While usually the second one ( $R_{WLS}^2$ ) is higher than the first one ( $R_{OLS}^2$ ), the third one (pseudo  $R_{WLS}^2$ ) is always less than the first one. Therefore, "sole reliance on the **coefficient of determination** may fail to reveal important data characteristics and model inadequacies".