

Biostat 250B Hw 4

Elvis Cui

Han Cui

Dept. of Biostat
UCLA



- 1 a In a standard 1-way ANOVA with k groups and n observations per group with the usual notation, show that the statistic

$$T_{k,n} = \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} n^{1/2} |\bar{Y}_{i\cdot} - \bar{Y}_{j\cdot}| / \hat{\sigma}$$

is distributed as the studentized range distribution and identify its degrees of freedom.

- b Find the asymptotic distribution of $T_{k,n}$ as n tends to infinity.

- 2 The data you received contains results from a 1-way ANOVA setup with 5 patients' responses in each of the 5 treatment groups.

- a Perform Hartley's test, Levene's test, Brown-Forsythe's test and Bartlett's test to ascertain whether variances from the 5 groups are equal at the 0.05 significance level. Report the 4 p-values for the 4 tests.
- b Someone told you that there is also a O'Brien's test for testing homogeneity of variances in a 1-way ANOVA setup. Do some research on this test and write a description of O'Brien's test, up to $\frac{3}{4}$ page in length.

- 3 Refer to the Cook and Weisberg's paper on diagnosing heteroscedasticity.

- a Describe, in not more than $\frac{3}{4}$ of a page length, the statistical setup and the basics of conducting a score test to draw statistical inference on model parameters.
- b Derive, on your own, the test statistic for testing heteroscedasticity using the score test for the situation described in the above paper and see whether your results agree with equations (8-10).

- 4 Let $U = (U_1, U_2, \dots, U_p)'$ be a vector of principal components of X . Then $U_i = a_i' X$ for some vector a_i of length 1, $i=1,2,\dots,p$. Show that

- a $\text{var } a'X \leq \text{var } U_1$
 b if $a'X$ is uncorrelated with U_1, U_2, \dots, U_{i-1} , then $\text{var } a'X \leq \text{var } U_i$.

[In the class, we discussed principal components in the context of a linear regression model $Ey=X\beta$. Here we have a very long vector X of random variables, and we want to work with a shorter vector formed by extracting a small number of linear combinations of the vector X (i.e. first few principal components of the vector X).]

- 5 Refer to the paper posted on the website where the authors used principal components to analyze a public health data. Read the paper to appreciate how principal components analysis is used in practice.

- 6 Let b be the least squares estimator and let $b_k = (X'X + kI)^{-1}X'y$ be the ridge estimator for estimating β in the standard linear model $EY=X\beta$ and k is a positive constant. Recall the methodology employed in class on how we expressed b_k in terms of b and then derived the bias and mean square error (MSE) of b_k . Show that the same methodology can be used to show that there are values of k for which the predictor of the mean response from the ridge regression perform better than that from the least squares regression, i.e. show that $\text{tr MSE of } Xb_k$ is smaller than that of Xb for some values of k .

Q1:

- 1 a In a standard 1-way ANOVA with k groups and n observations per group with the usual notation, show that the statistic

$$T_{k,n} = \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} n^{1/2} |\bar{Y}_i - \bar{Y}_j| / (\mu_i - \mu_j) / \hat{\sigma}$$

is distributed as the studentized range distribution and identify its degrees of freedom.

- b Find the asymptotic distribution of $T_{k,n}$ as n tends to infinity.

$$\text{Sol. (a): } \sqrt{n} (\bar{Y}_{\cdot \cdot} - \mu_i) / \hat{\sigma} \sim N(0, 1)$$

$$\text{By Cochran: } k(n-1) \hat{\sigma}^2 / 6^2 \sim \chi_{k(n-1)}^2(0)$$

$$\Rightarrow T_{k,n} = \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} \frac{\sqrt{n} ((\bar{Y}_i - \bar{Y}_j) - (\mu_i - \mu_j))}{\hat{\sigma}}$$

$$= \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} \frac{\sqrt{n} ((\bar{Y}_i - \mu_i) - (\bar{Y}_j - \mu_j)) / 6}{\frac{(n-k) \hat{\sigma}^2}{(n-k) \hat{\sigma}^2}}$$

$$\sim Q_{k, k(n-1)} \quad (\text{Tukey's Q})$$

Since by Cochran, numerator & denominator are independent.

(b): we know that $\hat{\sigma}^2 \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \sigma^2$

So by continuous mapping: $\hat{\sigma} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \sigma$.

Also $\sqrt{n} (\bar{Y}_i - \mu_i) / \hat{\sigma} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N(0, 1)$.

So by Slutsky.

$$\sqrt{n} (\bar{Y}_i - \mu_i) / \hat{\sigma} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N(0, 1)$$

Next, since $\max(\cdot)$ & $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1 - x_2$ are both continuous mappings, we have

$$\max_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} \frac{\sqrt{n} ((\bar{Y}_i - \mu_i) - (\bar{Y}_j - \mu_j))}{\hat{\sigma}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}$$

$$\max_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} |Z_i - Z_j|, \quad Z_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(0, 1).$$

Q2:

- 2 The data you received contains results from a 1-way ANOVA setup with 5 patients' responses in each of the 5 treatment groups.

a Perform Hartley's test, Levene's test, Brown-Forsythe's test and Bartlett's test to ascertain whether variances from the 5 groups are equal at the 0.05 significance level. Report the 4 p-values for the 4 tests.

b Someone told you that there is also a O'Brien's test for testing homogeneity of variances in a 1-way ANOVA setup. Do some research on this test and write a description of O'Brien's test, up to 1/4 page in length.

Sol. (a)

$$\text{Hartley's test: } \frac{\max_{1 \leq i \leq k} S_i^2}{\min_{1 \leq i \leq k} S_i^2}$$

$$\text{Levene's test: } \frac{\sum_i n_i (Z_{ij} - \bar{Z}_{\cdot j})^2 / (k-1)}{\sum_i \sum_j (Z_{ij} - \bar{Z}_{\cdot j})^2 / (n-k)}, \quad Z_{ij} = Y_{ij} - \bar{Y}_{\cdot j}$$

Brown-Forsythe's test: replace $\bar{Y}_{\cdot j}$ with median.

$$\text{Bartlett's test: } \frac{(n-k) \ln S^2 - \sum_{i=1}^k (n_i-1) \ln S_i^2}{1 + \frac{1}{3(k-1)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{1}{n_i-1} \right) - \frac{1}{n-k} \right)}$$

$$\text{where } S^2 = \frac{1}{n-k} \sum_i (n_i-1) S_i^2$$

Test	Statistic	P-value
Hartley	$F(4, 5) = 3.57$	0.7576
Levene	$F = 0.674$	0.6179
Brown-Forsythe	$F = 0.567$	0.6897
Bartlett	$\chi^2_4 = 2.569$	0.6323

At level $\alpha = 0.05$, all tests suggest we do not reject the null: $G_1^2 = \dots = G_5^2$.

(b): See next page.

Q2(b): O'Brien's Test

WLOG, assume k groups & n_i
 $\text{obs}_i, i=1, \dots, k, \quad n \equiv \sum_i n_i$

STEP 1: O'Brien's transform

$\forall w \in [0, 1]$, Let

$$r_{ij} = \frac{[(w+n_j-2)n_j(x_{ij}-\bar{x}_j)^2 - wS_j^2(n_j-1)]}{[(n_j-1)(n_j-2)]}$$

where n_j = size of group j

S_j^2 = Variance of the j^{th} group

x_{ij} = resp. of the i^{th} item in the j^{th} group

$\bar{x}_{j\cdot}$ = mean of the j^{th} group

Transformed resp.	Group
r_{11}	1
\vdots	2
\vdots	3
\vdots	4
r_{55}	5

STEP 2: perform a regular 1-way ANOVA

Q3:

3 Refer to the Cook and Weisberg's paper on diagnosing heteroscedasticity.

- a Describe, in not more than ¼ of a page length, the statistical setup and the basics of conducting a score test to draw statistical inference on model parameters.
- b Derive, on your own, the test statistic for testing heteroscedasticity using the score test for the situation described in the above paper and see whether your results agree with equations (8-10).

Sol. (a): Step 1: Calculate $\frac{\partial \ell(\beta; y, x)}{\partial \beta} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \ell(\beta; y_i, x_i)}{\partial \beta}$

Step 2: Calculate $I(\beta)$: Fisher info. for β .

Step 3: Use the test statistic

$$\frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \ell(\beta; y_i, x_i)}{\partial \beta^T} \right) I(\beta)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \ell(\beta; y_i, x_i)}{\partial \beta} \right)$$

$\xrightarrow{1} \chi_p^2$, p is the dim of β .

to test the hypothesis.

$$(b): y = \beta_0 1 + X\beta + \varepsilon, X_0 = [1, X],$$

$$\varepsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2 W) \text{ where}$$

$$W = \text{Diag}(w_i \lambda, z_i)_{i=1}^n \Rightarrow$$

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(\lambda; y_i, x_i) &= \log \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi w_i}} e^{-(y_i - x_i^T \beta)^2 / G_i^2 w_i} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} [\log 2\pi + 2 \log G_i + \log w_i] - \frac{(y_i - x_i^T \beta)^2}{G_i^2 w_i} \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\partial \ell_i}{\partial \lambda} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{w_i^2}{w_i} - \frac{(y_i - x_i^T \beta)^2}{G_i^2 w_i^2} w_i, \quad (1)$$

Substitute β with $\hat{\beta}$, G_i^2 with \hat{G}^2

$$(1) \Rightarrow -\frac{1}{2} \frac{w_i^2}{w_i} - \frac{e_i^2}{\hat{G}^2 w_i^2} w_i, \text{ when } W = I,$$

we have:

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \lambda} = -\frac{1}{2} D^T I + \frac{1}{2} D^T U \quad \text{where}$$

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} (w_1^2)^T \\ \vdots \\ (w_n^2)^T \end{bmatrix}, \quad U = \begin{bmatrix} e_1^2 \\ \vdots \\ e_n^2 \end{bmatrix} / \hat{G}^2$$

To compute Fisher's info. :

$$\widehat{I}(\beta, \sigma^2, \lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} A & B^T \\ B & C \end{bmatrix} \quad \&$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} X_0^T X_0 / \hat{G}^2 & 0 \\ 0^T & \frac{1}{2} n / \hat{G}^4 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and}$$

$$B = (0, \frac{1}{2} D^T I / \hat{G}^2) \quad \text{and}$$

$$C = \frac{1}{2} D^T D \quad \text{where } C \text{ is due to the fact that}$$

$$E(U-1)(U-1)^T = 2I$$

Thus, the inverse of Fisher's info.:

$$\widehat{I}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{A} & \widehat{B}^T \\ \widehat{B} & \widehat{C} \end{bmatrix} \quad \&$$

$$\widehat{C} = 2(\bar{D}^T \bar{D})^{-1} \quad \text{where}$$

$$\bar{D} = (I - \frac{1}{n} J) D, \quad \text{This follows from partitioned matrix formula.}$$

Thus, score statistic for λ is:

$$\frac{1}{2} (U-1)^T D (\bar{D}^T \bar{D})^{-1} D^T (U-1)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} U^T \bar{D} (\bar{D}^T \bar{D})^{-1} \bar{D}^T U \equiv S \quad \text{eq 8}$$

The equality is due to:

$$1^T U = n.$$

When $g_\lambda = 1$,

$D = \begin{bmatrix} w_1' \\ \vdots \\ w_n' \end{bmatrix}$ is a $n \times 1$ vector,

Thus, $\bar{D} = (I - \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{1}^T) D = \begin{bmatrix} w_1' - \bar{w}' \\ \vdots \\ w_n' - \bar{w}' \end{bmatrix}$ where

$$\bar{w}' = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i' = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n w'(z_i, \lambda) \Rightarrow$$

$$S = \frac{\left(\sum_i (w_i' - \bar{w}') (e_i^2 / \sigma^2 - 1) \right)^2}{2 \sum_i (w_i' - \bar{w}')^2} \quad \text{eq 9}$$

Further, let $A = \text{Diag}(w_i')_{i=1}^n$ and we know

$$\epsilon = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ \vdots \\ e_n \end{pmatrix} = (I - V)\gamma \quad \text{where}$$

$$V = X_0(X_0^T X_0)^{-1} X_0^T.$$

\Rightarrow The numerator of S is:

$$\gamma^T (I - V) A (I - V) \gamma$$

$$= \epsilon^T (I - V) A (I - V) \gamma \quad \text{since } X \perp (I - V)$$

Thus, we can use

$$S' = \epsilon^T (I - V) A (I - V) \gamma / \epsilon^T (I - V) \epsilon$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n-p} y_i \chi_i^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n-p} \chi_i^2} \quad \text{eq. 10.11.}$$

where χ_i^2 are $\chi^2(1)$ RVs &
 y_i are eigenvalues of $(I - V) A (I - V)$.

Q4:

- 4 Let $U = (U_1, U_2, \dots, U_p)'$ be a vector of principal components of X . Then $U_i = a_i' X$ for some vector a_i of length 1, $i=1, 2, \dots, p$. Show that
 a var $a_i' X \leq \text{var } U_i$
 b if $a_i' X$ is uncorrelated with U_1, U_2, \dots, U_{i-1} , then $\text{var } a_i' X \leq \text{var } U_i$.

[In the class, we discussed principal components in the context of a linear regression model $EY=X\beta$. Here we have a very long vector X of random variables, and we want to work with a shorter vector formed by extracting a small number of linear combinations of the vector X (i.e. first few principal components of the vector X .)]

Sol (a): Denote $\Sigma = \text{Var } X$, then

$$\sum a_i = \lambda_1 a_1 \text{ WLOG, } \|a\| = \|a_i\| = 1$$

By SDT, $\Sigma = UDU^T$, $D = [\lambda_1 \ \dots \ \lambda_p]$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(a^T X) &= a^T \Sigma a \\ &= a^T U D U^T a \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i (U^T a)_i^2, \|U^T a\| = 1 \\ &\leq \lambda_1 \text{ since } (U^T a)_i^2 \leq 1 \& \sum_i (U^T a)_i^2 = 1 \\ &= a_1^T \Sigma a_1 \text{ since } \|a_1\| = 1 \\ &= \text{Var } U_1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Var}(a^T X) \leq \text{Var } U_1.$$

(b): Let $U = [a_1, \dots, a_p]$ then $U_j = a_j^T X$.

Then $\text{Cov}(a^T X, U_j)$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \text{Cov}(a^T X, a_j^T X) \\ &= a^T \Sigma a_j = 0 \quad \forall j=1, \dots, i-1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\Sigma = UDU^T$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a^T \Sigma a_j &= a^T U D U^T a_j \\ &= (a^T a_j) \lambda_j \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow a^T a_j = 0 \quad \forall j=1, \dots, i-1$$

$\text{Var } a^T X = a^T \Sigma a$

$$\begin{aligned} &= a^T U D U^T a \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^p \lambda_j (U^T a)_j^2 \\ &\leq \lambda_i \text{ since } \sum_{j=1}^p (U^T a)_j^2 = 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \text{Var } a_i^T X$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Var } a^T X = \text{Var } a_i^T X.$$

Q5:

- 5 Refer to the paper posted on the website where the authors used principal components to analyze a public health data. Read the paper to appreciate how principal components analysis is used in practice.

Done.

Q6:

- 6 Let b be the least squares estimator and let $b_k = (X'X+kI)^{-1}X'y$ be the ridge estimator for estimating β in the standard linear model $EY=X\beta$ and k is a positive constant. Recall the methodology employed in class on how we expressed b_k in terms of b and then derived the bias and mean square error (MSE) of b_k . Show that the same methodology can be used to show that there are values of k for which the predictor of the mean response from the ridge regression perform better than that from the least squares regression, i.e. show that $\text{tr } \text{MSE}(Xb_k)$ is smaller than that of Xb for some values of k .

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Sol. } \text{MSE}(\hat{\beta}) &= E(\hat{\beta} - \beta)(\hat{\beta} - \beta)^T \\ &= \text{Var}\hat{\beta} = G^2(X^T X)^{-1} \\ \text{MSE}(b_k) &= G_k(G^2 X^T X + k^2 \beta \beta^T) G_k \quad (\text{shown in class}) \\ \text{where } G_k &= (X^T X + kI)^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Let $g(k) = \text{Tr}(\text{MSE}(b_k))$, then
 $g(0) = \text{Tr}(\text{MSE}(\beta))$.

By SDT, $X^T X = TDT^T$ & $TT^T = T^T T = I$.
 then

$$\begin{aligned}g(k) &= \text{Tr}\left[\left(TDT^T + kTT^T\right)^{-1}(G^2 X^T X + k^2 \beta \beta^T)\right. \\ &\quad \left.(TDT^T + kTT^T)^{-1}\right] \\ &= \text{Tr}\left[T(D+kI)^{-1}T^T(G^2 X^T X + k^2 \beta \beta^T)T(D+kI)^{-1}T^T\right] \\ &= \text{Tr}\left[(D+kI)^{-2}(G^2 D + k^2 T^T \beta \beta^T T)\right]\end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^p (G^2 \lambda_i + k^2 \beta_i^2) / (\lambda_i + k)^2 \text{ where}$$

we assume $D = [\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_p]$

$$\begin{aligned}g(0) &= \sum_{i=1}^p G^2 \lambda_i \rightarrow \\ g(k) - g(0) &= \sum_{i=1}^p \left[\frac{G^2 \lambda_i + k^2 \beta_i^2}{(\lambda_i + k)^2} - G^2 \lambda_i \right] \quad (4)\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial k} \rightarrow \frac{2k \beta_i^2 (\lambda_i + k) - 2(G^2 \lambda_i + k^2 \beta_i^2)}{(\lambda_i + k)^3}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{2\lambda_i [k\beta_i^2 - G^2]}{(\lambda_i + k)^3}$$

Next Page

Clearly,

$\frac{\partial \chi}{\partial k}$ is a continuous fct of k .

When $k=0$:

$$\left. \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial k} \right|_{k=0} = -2 \sum_{i=1}^P \frac{\beta_i^2}{\lambda_i^2} < 0$$

when k large:

$$k > \max\left(\frac{\beta_i^2}{\lambda_i^2}\right)$$

$$\frac{\partial \chi}{\partial k} > 0.$$

So \exists a solution to

$$\frac{\partial \chi}{\partial k} = 0. \text{ & this is a}$$

minima to $g(k) - g(0)$.

Since $g(k) - g(0) = 0$.

There \exists a k s.t.

$$g(k) - g(0) < 0.$$

Since $g(k) - g(0)$ is a continuous mapping & decreases when k small.

Thus, $\exists k$ s.t.

$$\text{Tr}(MSE(\hat{\beta})) > \text{Tr}(MSE(b_k)) \square.$$