General Election - 11/6/18	ote for One) Amended - 12/11/18													
deneral Election 11/0/10								$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	/	$\overline{}$
													_	′ /
			/											
				.04 /	/ /	/ ,	/ /	/ /	/ ,	/ /	/ ,	/ /	/ et /	
		1.5	100			. /			<u> </u>	. /.	. /		Jary /	
		LTIPROUT	AREUS TRA		ia A. Jame	n Worldrd	nuotord mid	nael sussmi	ar Jame	ida A. Jame	Chi	stopher B.		nk [®] Void
DEFRICT	/.	JUR /	REC /	NY /	\ P.\0/	"MOLL	"MOLL	9/21/	18.5°	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	8.51/	she!	·s /	410,
STRI	STA	× / 🚜	. Tuki		ita / six	? `	5,7	nat / air	ia/aii	ita / an	بر کر کر	5 ^{t0} /,iX	e`/ \\$	ik /
	/ 🗸	/ 1/0	/ % ⁷	/ \e	1 te	/ te	/ MI	<u> </u>	/ 🐉	/ High	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	/ Bit	
				DEINI	KEP	CON	GKE	WOR	טאו	REF	LBT			
Middletown - Ward 1-1	257	564	45.57%	149	64	6	8	4	6	1	1	0	18	-
Middletown - Ward 1-2	120	275	43.64%	70	29	3	4	2	1	0	0	0	11	4
Middletown - Ward 1-3 Middletown - Ward 1-4	2 <u>35</u> 61	435	54.02%	144	48	<u>4</u> 0	14	1	2 1	4 0	0	0	18 6	
Middletown - Ward 1-5	216	205 467	29.76% 46.25%	32 131	17 48	9	<u>3</u>	5	2	1	1	0	13	
Middletown - Ward 1-6	176	419	42.00%	111	38	<u>9</u> 1	6	2	5	0	1	0	12	7
Middletown - Ward 2-1	209	381	54.86%	130	53	9	3	3	2		2	0	7	-
Middletown - Ward 2-2	185	484	38.22%	98	49	10	8	5	5	0	1	0	9	1
Middletown - Ward 2-3	251	443	56.66%	151	62	1	15	4	1	1	2	0	14	
Middletown - Ward 2-4	290	611	47.46%	192	50	11	19	5	1	2	0	0	10]
Middletown - Ward 2-5	190	334	56.89%	112	49	5	9	3	1	0	3	0	8	
Middletown - Ward 2-6	196	316	62.03%	119	49	5	10	4	3	1	1	0	4]
Middletown - Ward 2-7	141	212	66.51%	78	39	7	7	0	1		0	0	7	
Middletown - Ward 3-1	320	647	49.46%	177	83	7	24	4	6	3	2	0	14	
Middletown - Ward 3-2	242	464	52.16%	129	60	9	15	6	6	2	1	0	14	ł
Middletown - Ward 3-3 Middletown - Ward 3-4	144	331	43.50%	92 216	27 68	5 6	5 11	3 3	4	1	4	0	9 16	ł
Middletown - Ward 3-4	330 236	724 531	45.58% 44.44%	159	38	5	10	5	<u>4</u> 1		2	0	14	
Middletown - Ward 3-6	0	0	#DIV/o!	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Middletown - Ward 4-1	377	825	45.70%	233	89	5	17	2	7	0	0	0	24	
Middletown - Ward 4-2	339	655	51.76%	234	61	8	6	2	4	0	0	0	24	
Middletown - Ward 4-3	160	364	43.96%	113	24	1	3	4	1	1	1	0	12	
Middletown - Ward 4-4	375	714	52.52%	236	84	10	12	9	2	2	2	0	18	
Middletown - Ward 4-5	373	682	54.69%	230	87	16	17	3	4	1	0	0	15	
Middletown - Ward 4-6	522	1082	48.24%	354	104	12	9	6	5	1	3	0	28	
Middletown - Ward 4-7 Middletown - Ward 4-8	362 27	745 51	48.59%	273 18	46 3	0	14 0	6	<u>4</u> 1	1	0	0	17 3	ŀ
City of Newburgh 1-1	197	624	52.94% 31.57%	164	5	1	2	5	3	1	0	0	<u></u>	İ
City of Newburgh 1-2	382	936		308	20	0	12	9	4				27	-
City of Newburgh 1-3	261	572	45.63%	188	21	3	8	5	1	0	3	0	32	
City of Newburgh 1-4	409	657	62.25%	253	85	11	13	16	5	2	2	1	21	
City of Newburgh 2-1	404	998	40.48%	308	35	5	5	13	3	4	0	0	31	
City of Newburgh 2-2	477	1132	42.14%	365	33	1	9	23	2	0	3	0	41	
City of Newburgh 3-1	419	778	53.86%	223	119	17	12	6	5	2	1	0	34	
City of Newburgh 3-2	455	989	46.01%	288	96	10	8	17	7		1	0	27	
City of Newburgh 3-3 City of Newburgh 3-4	453 282	1056	42.90% 51.27%	353 148	38 88	3	5	7	6	1	<u>4</u> 1	0	36 10	
City of Newburgh 3-4 City of Newburgh 4-1	54	550 152	51.27% 35.53%	140 40	2	<u>5</u>	13 1	4 0	1	0	0	0	19 8	
City of Newburgh 4-2	248	484	51.24%	172	33	6	9	5	0	0	2	0	21	
City of Newburgh 4-3	356	694	51.30%	237	62	8	10	6	3	1	0	0	29	
City of Newburgh 4-4	369	665	55.49%	225	78	7	17	8	5	1	7	0	21	
City of Newburgh 4-5	158	471	33.55%	119	12	0	2	4	3		1	0	17	
Port Jervis 1-1	410	758	54.09%	168	153	15	25	6	8	1	0	0	34	
Port Jervis 1-2	65	168	38.69%	22	17	6	4	1	3	1	0	0	11	-
Port Jervis 2-1	293	621	47.18%	100	139	8	13	3	4		1	0	23	
Port Jervis 2-2	234	636	36.79%	88	90	14	23	2	4	2	3	0	28	
Port Jervis 3-1 Port Jervis 3-2	330	630 710	52.38% 48.45%	111 123	148 155	11 11	17 16	5 4	<u>4</u> 7	1	5 1	0	25	-
Port Jervis 4-1	344 264	646	40.87%	117	94	12	19	4	4		2	0	10	
Port Jervis 4-2	298	663	44.95%	121	103	10	24	4	5	2	5	0	24	
Blooming Grove 1-1	213	325	65.54%	111	67	10	3	1	0		1	0	17	1
Blooming Grove 1-2	500	915	54.64%	240	181	22	7	12	11	6	1	0	20	
Blooming Grove 1-3	427	728	58.65%	196	156	23	9	1	4	10	0	0	28]

General Election - 11/6/18					,	,								
						/	/	/ ,	/ ,	/ ,	/ ,	/ ,		
			,											
			ARKUSTRA	.04 /	/ ,	/ ,	/ ,	/ ,	/ ,	/ ,	/ ,	stopher &	/ es /	
		LTIRHOUT	/ 6					nael Sussiti	s /				ary /	
		/ NOV.	/ .51 ^{R3}		ida A. Jame	T. World	nic mic	· / 200	dar Jame	tia A. Jame	Cy B. Stime	/8		nk [®] Void
		JRK	SECIL /	/	/ /2/1	/ Kor	/ Kor	/ 5453/	/ Jail	/ yall	/ Sliv	/ net/	/ ,	/ Joil
DESTRUCT	/ %	$\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{E}$	б _К . / .	MOD.	.s ^R ./.	MO/	MO	2e1/	·36.	·36.	78.	x08. \	oin /	<u></u> `৺'/
ast.	\ \dol{\dol{\dol{\dol}^{\dol}}	`/\o^\	' / _{\\ \} \\	` / e ^{xi}	in / eix	``/ iš	51 / nic	?" / _e ii	ii / _e ii	(d) / (af	c,/ 'wi	5 / Ji		in /
V	/ ~	/ 4	/ % ·	<u> </u>	/ K	/ K	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	<u> </u>	_ 🌣	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	\leftarrow
				DEM	REP	CON	GRE	WOR	IND	REF	LBT			
Blooming Grove 1-4	61	97	62.89%	18	33	3	1	1	0	0	1	0	4	
Blooming Grove 2-1	194	337	57.57%	78	69	14	9	1	2	6	1	0	14	
Blooming Grove 2-2	457	800	57.13%	178	188	32	10	5	5	5	2	0	32	
Blooming Grove 2-3	383	707	54.17%	150	153	22	9	5	4	14	3	0	23	
Blooming Grove 3-1	408	646	63.16%	147	174	38	9	10	5	5	2	0	18	
Blooming Grove 3-2	289	544	53.13%	130	103	18	6	5	6	4	2	0	15	4
Blooming Grove 3-3	292	506	57.71%	118	107	17	8	12	2	6	3	0	19	
Blooming Grove 3-4	30	51	58.82%	18	3	2	4	1	1	0	0	0	19	7
Blooming Grove 4-1	280	559	50.09%	85	104	12	11	2	13	13	1	0		-
Blooming Grove 4-2						22						0	39 21	
	346	599	57.76%	136	129 102	16	1 <u>3</u>	4	9	11	1	0		4
Blooming Grove 4-3	277	513	54.00%	97				7		24	5		14	-1
Blooming Grove 5-1	406	623	65.17%	129	176	46	14	4	4	5	4	0	24	1
Blooming Grove 5-2	52	90	57.78%	13	23	6	1	2	2	0	1	0	4	
Blooming Grove 5-3	422	709	59.52%	133	189	32	9	9	6	13	5	0	26	-
Blooming Grove 5-4	340	542	62.73%	133	152	23	6	2	3	6	1	0	14	
Blooming Grove 5-5	60	105	57.14%	24	18	9	3		1	0	1	0	3	
Blooming Grove 6-1	325	528	61.55%	122	142	21	16	4	5	2	0	0	13	
Blooming Grove 6-2	418	715	58.46%	141	198	31	14	3	9	8	2	0	12	4
Blooming Grove 6-3	506	818	61.86%	187	198	48	20	8	2	11	4	0	28	4
Blooming Grove 6-4	32	51	62.75%	10	14	4	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	
Chester 1	542	941	57.60%	240	183	26	36	10	7	7	7	0	26	
Chester 2	332	530	62.64%	137	118	14	16	5	7	1	2	0	32	
Chester 3	549	869	63.18%	191	243	33	34	6	8	9	3	0	22	
Chester 4	574	881	65.15%	234	242	37	12	5	8	5	2	0	29	
Chester 5	489	686	71.28%	153	209	51	18	8	7	6	5	0	32	
Chester 6	329	498	66.06%	137	115	30	20	2	3	4	3	0	15	
Chester 7	543	874	62.13%	205	231	21	35	7	9	12	4	0	19	
Chester 8	395	695	56.83%	220	111	15	13	3	5	2	1	0	25	
Chester 9	204	349	58.45%	112	61	3	10		2	3	3	0	9	
Chester 10	358		59.97%	141	136	24	19	7	6	7	2	0	16	1
Chester 11	309	474	65.19%	114		18	4		4	6	3	0	20	
Chester 12	483	736		175	201	37	22	5	10	1	4	0	28	1
Cornwall 1	478	676		228		29	16		7	1	4	0	29	-
Cornwall 2	342	557	61.40%	154	127	19	4		5	2	3	0	24	7
Cornwall 3	432	673	64.19%	198	153	22	10	5	12	2	2	0	28	
Cornwall 4	522	789	66.16%	214	195	37	19	17	10	5	2	0	23	-
Cornwall 5	339	592	57.26%	118	166	13	13		5	2	2	0	16	
Cornwall 6	429	664	64.61%	193	163	31	6	2	7	4	6	1	16	
Cornwall 7	272	461	59.00%	101	122	16	6		4	1	7	0	10	
Cornwall 8	583	842	69.24%	259	200	43	20	ر 10	3	6	3	0	39	-
Cornwall 9	374	578	64.71%	160	129	20	19	13	6	2		1	22	1
Cornwall 10	339	566	59.89%	126	150	25	7		5	2	1	0	17	-
Cornwall 11	552	861	64.11%	214	230	30	25	9	9	2	2	1	30	
Cornwall 12	428	633	67.61%	196	171	18	10		0	1	2	0	26	
Cornwall 13		488						4 8	6					
Cornwall 14	294			130	104 198	11 26	10			5	3	0	17 16	
	428	660	64.85%	146			19	12	9	0	2			
Crawford 1	409	681	60.06%	129	212	22	15	5	6	2	4	0	14	1
Crawford 2	365	617	59.16%	107	201	18	14	3	6	0	2	0	14	1
Crawford 3	568	933	60.88%	165	293	57	25	6	7	1	2	0	12	
Crawford 4	438	752	58.24%	116	226	33	19	5	6	0	6	0	27	-1
Crawford 5	437	688	63.52%	129	211	48	14	5	8	2	7	0	13	
Crawford 6	553	908	60.90%	160	276	39	22		7		8	0	25	
Crawford 7	419	669	62.63%	144	197	33	11	3	7	3	3	0	18]

deneral Election - 11/0/10								$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$
														′ /
			/											
			AREUSTRA	.04 /	/ /	/ /	/ ,	/ /	/ /	/ /	/ ,	stopher &	/ et /	
		15	As.			_ /.	/.		ar /	_ / .	_ /		cary/	
		2HOL	/ USIN		arre	/ loto	/ cord	/ 5517	ane	ane	iing	/ %	/	/ id
,.C	/.	TUR /	REC /	NY /	(A. Yo	MOLL /	"MOLL	9211/	(A.)0/	(A.)	8.51/	she!	·ss /	(440,
DESTRUCT	NA CAP	* / 5 [©]	F / JP		ita / six	? `	57/.2	nat si	ia/aii	ila / ar	بر کر این	5 ⁰ /\;	e'\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \	ik 0
/ Oir	/ 💎	TURNOUT	/ % ⁷	/ Ve	ia A. Jane	n Workord	n Workord	nael Sussin	ar Jame	tia A. Jame	S Simo	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	<u> </u>	, X Void
				DEM	REP	CON	GRE	WOR	IND	REF	LBT			
Crawford 8	354	545	64.95%	125	163	18	12	7	4	2	1	0	22	
Deerpark 1	309	592	52.20%	89	161	29	5	2	5	0	4	0	14	
Deerpark 2	345	647	53.32%	80	193	22	13	3	8	1	3	0	22	
Deerpark 3	375	740	50.68%	119	183	13	24	2	5	3	1	0	25	
Deerpark 4 Deerpark 5	411 406	757 746	54.29%	112 84	209	37	15 16	3	4	2	4	0	26 21	
Deerpark 6	238	746 561	54.42% 42.42%	69	245 112	31 18	16	3 4		1	1	0	13	1
Deerpark 7	389	684	56.87%	72	243	19	13	4	<u>4</u> 7	2	3	0	26	
Goshen 1	424	681	62.26%	184	134	21	33	4	10	6	1	0	31	
Goshen 2	217	380	57.11%	116	58	13	12	3	3	2	2	0	<u> </u>	
Goshen 3	445	683	65.15%	199	151	26	24	3	4	0	3	0	35	
Goshen 4	632	1052	60.08%	244	253	41	38	12	8	4	4	0	28	
Goshen 5	543	896	60.60%	214	230	28	28	9	2	1	3	0	28	
Goshen 6	351	551	63.70%	116	161	23	31	0	3	1	3	0	13	
Goshen 7	550	963	57.11%	188	254	32	32	5	3	3	7	0	26	1
Goshen 8	380	730	52.05%	124	187	23	25	5	4	0	4	0	8	
Goshen 9	595	928	64.12%	218	237	53	47	7	6	5	5	0	17	
Goshen 10	486	765	63.53%	174	214	40	21	6	3	2	4	0	22	
Goshen 11	411	698	58.88%	203	128	23	25	3	3	3	4	0	19	
Goshen 12 Goshen 13	302	524 70	57.63%	112 24	145 14	14	12 0	5 0	<u>3</u>	0	0	1	10	
Greenville 1	45	726	64.29% 61.16%	104	261	3 38	11	4	6	2	3	0	1 15	
Greenville 2	444 541	973	55.60%	162	271	32	32	12	7	2	4	0	19	
Greenville 3	346	625	55.36%	88	183	25	16	4	4	2	1	0	23	
Greenville 4	429	702	61.11%	131	217	32	21	1	7	2	2	0	16	
Hamptonburgh 1	445	785	56.69%	165	201	23	29	4	2	2	2	0	17	
Hamptonburgh 2	554	889	62.32%	164	285	24	33	2	11	15	4	0	16	
Hamptonburgh 3	443	718	61.70%	155	203	31	13	9	4	4	6	0	18	
Hamptonburgh 4	391	622	62.86%	137	170	19	20	8	5	4	1	0	27	
Hamptonburgh 5	458	752	60.90%	131	243	35	11	10	4				16	
Highlands 1	422	727	58.05%	193	147	16	15	4	5	2	2	0	38	
Highlands 2	275	548	50.18%	165	48	11	1 <u>3</u>	9	5 8	4	0	1	19	
Highlands 3 Highlands 4	353	743 648	47.51%	178	120 184	12 21		9 6		2	2	0	14	
Highlands 5	398 271	485	61.42% 55.88%	142 110	117	6	9 7	5	9 4	2	2	0	24 18	
Highlands 6	311	488	63.73%	135	123	19	7	8	3	1	4	0	11	
Minisink 1	468	786	59.54%	115	275	31	24	2	9	1	4	0	7	
Minisink 2	490	840	58.33%	129	250	34	29	7	6	7	0	0	28	
Minisink 3	328	535	61.31%	82	179	26	16	3	3	5	0	0	14	
Minisink 4	396	705	56.17%	120	192	24	18	1	7	2	2	0	30	
Monroe 1	532	892	59.64%	224	203	31	15	7	7	7	2	0	36	
Monroe 2	459	688	66.72%	182	191	22	15	3	3	6	1	0	36	
Monroe 3	363	572	63.46%	149	143	20	10	4	8	3	3	0	23	
Monroe 4	491	898	54.68%	214	190	21	16	9	8	3	2	0	28	
Monroe 5	440	762 503	57.74%	195	178 160	14	8	5	4	4	2	0	30 26	
Monroe 6 Monroe 7	384	593	64.76%	150 216		24 28	10 16	3 12	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u> 1	4 2	0		
Monroe 8	539 289	902 551	59.76% 52.45%	216 148	233 95	10	7	6	/ 7	1	0	1	24 14	
Monroe 9	259	510	50.78%	130	95 81	10	5	3	4	3	1	0	22	
Monroe 10	483	881	54.82%	178	205	30	14	7	4	9	6	0	30	
Monroe 11	359	561	63.99%	111	173	16	11	3	1	4	3	1	36	
Monroe 12	435	694	62.68%	152	192	28	18	6	8	2	4	0	25	1
Monroe 13	372	639	58.22%	169	142	21	10	9	7	1	2	0	11	
									•					

General Election - 11/6/18						_			_	_		_	_	$\overline{}$
						/	/ /	/ ,	/ ,	/ /	/ ,	/ /	/ /	/ .
			ARECISTRA	/ .										
				,0 ¹⁴ /	/	/ /	/ /	/ /	/ /	/ /	/ /	stopher 8.	et/	/ ,
		LTIRHOUT	/ 8	S /		. /		nael Sussin	<u> </u>	. /.	. /	/	Jary/	
		/ NOT	/ .5 th		ia A. Jame	n Workord	nuotord mic	· / 5th	dar Jame	ida A. Jame	Chi	· / ,&·		, Woid
DESTRUCT		NRI /	ation /	\ \ \ \ \ \	1300	/ office/	/ office/	/ Sig/	130	1300	/ 5117	/ shet/	/	/ Joil
RIC	/ , s		8 / J	MON /	:0 ^k /,	$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{M}}$	\sqrt{n} .	sel/	10 PM	10 K	₹./.	²⁰⁰ /	ein /	*a /
als'i	100,	/ 101	/ July	`/ .e ^{xi}	· / Jeiš	`/_ei	. / snic	`/ .e ^{xi}	·/ ei	L/ Mar	Chi	"/ Ni	ala	×/
<u> </u>			<u> %</u>		750	601	<u> </u>			755	(t = =		_ v	\longleftarrow
				DEM	REP	CON	GKE	WOR	IND	REF	LBT			
Monroe 14	368	587	62.69%	133	171	27	8	3	3	2	2	0	19	
Monroe 15	604	997	60.58%	273	213	33	24	7	3	13	3	0	35	İ
Monroe 16	331	484	68.39%	121	145	18	14	4	1	5	4	0	19	ł
Monroe 17	415	659	62.97%	148	177	28	21	5	4	1	2	0	29	ł
Monroe 18	78	260	30.00%	17	28	2	6	0	15	0	2	0	8	l
Monroe 19	223	396	56.31%	127	68	5	3	2	1	1	3	0	13	l
Monroe 20	0	1	0.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ł
Monroe 21	0	0	#DIV/o!	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Montgomery 1	467	780	59.87%	159	215	42	16	5	4	2	4	0	20	
Montgomery 2	606	984	61.59%	271	214	33	27	ر 11	8	4	9	0	29	
Montgomery 3	582	1024	56.84%	275	213	22	14	7	6	2	4	0	39	
Montgomery 4	423	738		113	222	33	10	6	6	2	3	0	28	
	423	857	57.32%	189		25	24	8	10	6	2	0	17	
Montgomery 5 Montgomery 6		682	55.78%		197	10	<u>24</u> 16		5	5	1	0	27	1
Montgomery 7	370		54.25%	156	137			1 <u>3</u>		6		0		1
	441	791	55.75%	173	196	23	14		5		5	0	1 <u>3</u> 18	1
Montgomery 8	381	703	54.20%	199	120	20	11	5	2	1	5	0		1
Montgomery 9	375	651	57.60%	119	178	27	12	5	2	3	2		27	1
Montgomery 10	396	658	60.18%	109	202	40	8	5	10	2	4	0	16	1
Montgomery 11	394	663	59.43%	128	196	26	16	7	7	0	7	0	7	1
Montgomery 12	403	805	50.06%	204	124	25	11	6	4	1	3	1	24	1
Montgomery 13	528	823	64.16%	162	270	44	15	6	6	4	3	0	18	1
Montgomery 14	316	567	55.73%	94	152	23	15	6	4	1	5	0	16	
Montgomery 15	475	815	58.28%	190	181	27	19	7	11	4	1	0	35	1
Montgomery 16	502	818	61.37%	186	223	30	22	8	8	4	1	0	20	1
Montgomery 17	447	792	56.44%	185	191	19	11	7	2	1	5	0	26	1
Montgomery 18	605	954	63.42%	181	311	37	24	7	5	3	4	0	33	
Mount Hope 1	362	665	54.44%	112	156	19	27	3	5	5	2	0	33	l
Mount Hope 2	533	919	58.00%	160	249	40	29	9	5	6	7	1	27	ĺ
Mount Hope 3	560	941	59.51%	160	256	45	26	13	11	5	5	0	39	l
Mount Hope 4	547	923	59.26%	158	272	39	25	5	8	3	3	0	34	ĺ
New Windsor 1	279	457	61.05%	136	91	16	6	2	5	2	5	0	16	l
New Windsor 2	303	573	52.88%	148	100	17	11	4	2	2	3	0	16	l
New Windsor 3	312	510	61.18%	118	136	9	16	7	2	3	4	0	17	İ
New Windsor 4	387	667	58.02%	174	140	24	9	7	8	1	3	0	21	l
New Windsor 5	594	1005	59.10%	206	271	33	18	12	11	13	10	0	20	l
New Windsor 6	369	667	55.32%	191	119	10	7	5	10	5	1	0	21	l
New Windsor 7	295	475	62.11%	131	111	14	10	7	7	0	0	0	15	İ
New Windsor 8	427	766	55.74%	190	182	18	6	3	3	2	2	0	21	l
New Windsor 9	286	463	61.77%	134	101	12	9	7	1	0	4	0	18	ł
New Windsor 10	568	978	58.08%	310	158	28	13	10	13	0	1	1	34	ł
New Windsor 11	463	760	60.92%	212	170	29	14	5	2	1	1	0	29	1
New Windsor 12	296	623	47.51%	169	80	10	3	5	3	0	0	0	26	ł
New Windsor 13	448	811	55.24%	223	148	18	16	4	6	3	4	0	26	ł
New Windsor 14	471	850	55.41%	220	168	28	7	4	3	4	3	0	34	ł
New Windsor 15	621	1126	55.15%	320	220	21	8	8	5	2	2	0	35	
New Windsor 16	737	1220	60.41%	279	343	47	11	10	9	3	5	0	30	
New Windsor 17	233	466	50.00%	131	70	4	1	7	4	2	3	0	11	
New Windsor 18	532	873	60.94%	277	166	19	13	8	7	6	2	0	34	
New Windsor 19	614	1067	57.54%	365	166	26	15	13	6	3	5	1	14	
New Windsor 20	390	660	59.09%	140	166	36	6	9	6	2	3	0	22	
New Windsor 21	421	627	67.15%	136	206	29	13	4	5	5	3	1	19	
New Windsor 22	208	323	64.40%	90	71	23	6	3	3	ر 1	1	0	10	1
Newburgh Town 1	301	475	63.37%	144		12	7	7	2	0	2	0	14	
	201	4/0	23.3//	'44	113	12	/	/		J		U	14	l .

							///	//	//	//	///	///	//	7
			/											
			AREUSTRA	,o ^A /	/ /	/ ,	/ /	/ /	/ ,	/ ,	/ ,	stopher 8.	/ (et /	
		LTIPHOUT	/ RAP	· /		, /,		nael sussmi	da A. Jarne	dia A. Jame	s / .		cary/	
		I RING	ر دوناج	/	ida A. Jame	n Workord	nic mic	(LUSSI)	Jame	Jame	S Sime	/ Ket b.	/ ,	, Way Void
DESTRUCT	/ 18	\$ ⁷⁰ / £	284/2	MON,	12A.	WO.	"MON	28e)/	12 A.	iah.	3°./.	GOON /	ein/	****/
DIST	1011	101	/ %TUR	·/ Lexi	i'/ Keik	. Kei	Mic	'\\eiti	i/ Jei	Yar Nar	dir.	y wii	Blat	×/
				DEM	REP	CON	GRE	WOR	IND	REF	LBT			
Newburgh Town 2	360	541	66.54%	166	139	15	12	7	3	1	1	0	16	
Newburgh Town 3	447	766	58.36%	192	180	25	13	7	3	0	0	0	27	
Newburgh Town 4	520	951	54.68%	267	172	18	16	11	4	3	2	1	26	
Newburgh Town 5 Newburgh Town 6	493 287	756 458	65.21% 62.66%	202 170	216 83	32 11	12	6 5	<u>3</u> 3	3	0 2	0	19	ł
Newburgh Town 7	318	492	64.63%	121	143	21	7	3	<u> </u>	1	1	0	9 15	
Newburgh Town 8	461	734	62.81%	151	225	23	16	6	7	3	8	0	22	
Newburgh Town 9	436	739	59.00%	231	138	27	9	6	6	0	1	0	18	
Newburgh Town 10	348	551	63.16%	171	121	17	11	4	2	2	4	0	16	1
Newburgh Town 11 Newburgh Town 12	428	769 813	55.66%	185 169	157 168	24 30	20 18	10	<u>5</u>	1	2	0	24 22	1
Newburgh Town 13	424 551	950	52.15% 58.00%	285	190	20	15	4 7	5	3 0	3	0	26	1
Newburgh Town 14	530	866	61.20%	213	233	31	14	8	8	2	2	0	19	
Newburgh Town 15	572	1002	57.09%	294	200	19	12	9	6	3	3	0	26	
Newburgh Town 16	451	824	54.73%	168	192	37	10	5	6	4	4	0	25	
Newburgh Town 17 Newburgh Town 18	607	995 861	61.01%	218	289	45 36	13	6 5	<u>5</u>	6	2	0	28	ŀ
Newburgh Town 19	501 581	959	58.19% 60.58%	207 219	205 273	37	13 16	8	3	1	3	0	20 21	
Newburgh Town 20	217	376	57.71%	104	83	8	9	2	2	3	1	0	5	
Newburgh Town 21	562	979	57.41%	185	285	34	16	8	6	2	1	0	25	
Newburgh Town 22	394	650	60.62%	165	161	20	10	4	7	2	5	0	20	
Newburgh Town 23	579	920	62.93%	406 186	114	15	10	7	3	1	0	1	22 18	ł
Newburgh Town 24 Newburgh Town 25	451 597	803 1010	56.16% 59.11%	312	193 199	25 24	10 16	4 6	<u>9</u> 8	1 2	5 4	0	26	
Newburgh Town 26	270	424	63.68%	89	133	16	8	0	6	2	3	0	13	
Newburgh Town 27	202	332	60.84%	153	33	0	4	4	4	0	1	0	3	
Palm Tree 1	190	388	48.97%	17	13	2	2	1	150	0	0	0	5	
Palm Tree 2 Palm Tree 3	528	900 288	58.67%	38 16	10	3 0	0	5 1	459 148	0	0	0	13	ŀ
Palm Tree 4	173 263	566	60.07% 46.47%	39	3 11		_		196			-	5 14	
Palm Tree 5	320	640	50.00%	49	11	1	0	2	242	0	0	0	15	
Palm Tree 6	80	320	25.00%	1	2	1	0	0	74	0	0	0	2	
Palm Tree 7	325	584	55.65%	39	12	1	2	5	260	1	0	0	5	
Palm Tree 8 Palm Tree 9	395 216	743	53.16%	64	14 6	0	5 0	0	304	0	0	0	22	ŀ
Palm Tree 10	270	532 574	40.60% 47.04%	49 43	7	1	1	6	1 <u>37</u> 198	0	0	0	14	
Palm Tree 11	239	490	48.78%	44	8	1	0	1	164	0	0	0	21	
Palm Tree 12	244	442	55.20%	39	10	2	1	2	173	0	0	0	17	
Palm Tree 13	385	790	48.73%	79	17	1		2	260	0	1	0	24	
Palm Tree 14	302	650	46.46%	74	3	3		3	201	0		0	18	ł
Palm Tree 15 Palm Tree 16	352 270	759 570	46.38% 47.37%	56 45	10 14	<u>2</u> 5	0	1	262 184	0	0	0	19 19	ŀ
Palm Tree 17	503	842	59.74%	37	23	4	0	3	424	1	0	0	11	
Palm Tree 18	21	66	31.82%	1	5	0	1	1	12	0	0	0	1	
Palm Tree 19	10	20	50.00%	3	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	
Tuxedo 1	313	550 675	56.91%	131	133	11	6	4	6	1	4	0	17	1
Tuxedo 2 Tuxedo 3	436 323	675 569	64.59% 56.77%	174 154	178 129	22 11	22 5	8	<u>5</u> 5	<u>5</u>	3 0	0	19 15	
Tuxedo 4	504	772	65.28%	205	234	28		3	<u> </u>	3	3	0	22	1
Wallkill 1-1	372	683	54.47%	133	143	27	19	7	4		5	0	31	
Wallkill 1-2	512	861	59.47%	177	217	42	21	9	12	2	2	0	30	
Wallkill 1-3	299	577	51.82%	209	56	10	7	6	4	0	2	0	5	1
Wallkill 1-4	242	441	54.88%	101	94	12	12	2	3	2	0	0	16	j

General Election - 11/6/18					,									
						/	/ ,	/ ,	/ ,	/ ,	/ ,	/ ,	/ ,	/ .
				/ .										
				,o ^A /	/	/ /	/ /	/ /	/ /	/ /	/ /	/ /	/ Jey /	/ ,
		15	/ ak	<i>y</i> /		_ /.	<i>/</i> .		ar /	_ /	_ /		card/	
		LTIPROUT	ARECISTRA		tia A. Jame	n Worldrd	nuotord	nael Sussin	da A. Jame	ida A. Jarne	S Simo	stopper 8.		bi.
DESTRUCT		TIL /	atil /	~ 15 /	~.y°/	"Office"	Notion/	3511/	\ \\\.\	~.y°/	\ 8.5×/	aghe!	·. ~ /	~40.\
TRIE	10	× / 🔏	* / R	^M / ii	iia'/.;x	5 ³³ /.×	5 / 5	936/ il	ita' / .;	ia'/	્ર′ ∕ું	5 ⁰ `/ ;	e ^N / 3	Way Void
/ DIS	10.	10.	/ %TO	/ Les	./ te,	/ tel	Mil	/ \e^	/ \e^	/ Ha	/ th	141	/ Bla	
				DEM	REP	CON	GRE	WOR	IND	REF	LBT			
Wallkill 1-5	163	293	55.63%	52	82	8	7	2	1	0	5	0	6	
Wallkill 1-6	343	758		214	78	12	7	3	6	1		0	20	
Wallkill 1-7	245	416	58.89%	85	104	18	15	5	3	0		0	10	
Wallkill 2-1	313	565	55.40%	143	117	18	10	0	3	1		0	20	
Wallkill 2-2	331	618	53.56%	165	112	13	6	5	4	2		0	21	
Wallkill 2-3	222	445	49.89%	116	69	12	7	3	3	0		0	12	
Wallkill 2-4	351	670	52.39%	252	69	8	3	4	0	0		0	15	
Wallkill 2-5	265	565	46.90%	162	61	12	6	3	5	0		0	15	1
Wallkill 2-6	244	415	58.80%	124	81	13	6	1	2	0		0	15	
Wallkill 2-7	216	373	57.91%	114	72	9	3	5	3	0		0	9	
Wallkill 3-1	496	831	59.69%	281	138	14	12	7	5	3	2	0	34	
Wallkill 3-2	381	766	49.74%	214	112	6	11	10	4	0		0	24	1
Wallkill 3-3	668	997	67.00%	409	172	28	14	7	6	2	1	0	29	
Wallkill 3-4	379	815	46.50%	244	75	8	12	6	4	2	0	0	28	
Wallkill 3-5	354	678	52.21%	175	102	12	12	9	6	2	1	0	35	
Wallkill 3-6	543	1037	52.36%	284	173	20	26	7	4	3	1	0	25	
Wallkill 3-7	569	1065	53.43%	386	114	13	9	12	6	0		0	28	
Wallkill 4-1	459	811	56.60%	148	214	31	18	7	10	5	1	0	25	
Wallkill 4-2	216	400	54.00%	82	79	12	5	7	6	1	3	0	21	
Wallkill 4-3	274	516	53.10%	144	81	6	15	2	7	2	0	0	17	
Wallkill 4-4	505	881	57.32%	193	209	35	26	5	7	4	5	0	21	
Wallkill 4-5	451	823	54.80%	153	208	25	21	4	6	4	2	0	28	
Warwick 1	377	683	55.20%	126	163	14	31	8	9	1	4	0	21	
Warwick 2	516	805	64.10%	169	244	36	23	5	3	7	9	0	20	
Warwick 3	397	646	61.46%	192	146	16	9	5	3	2	1	0	23	
Warwick 4	590	889	66.37%	241	216	41	40	9	4	1	11	0	27]
Warwick 5	423	695	60.86%	199	136	29	16	4	3	3		0	28	1
Warwick 6	322	586	54.95%	123	133	25	14	5	2	2		0	13	1
Warwick 7	364	561	64.88%	120	166	23	14	6	7	3		0	18	4
Warwick 8	550	911	60.37%	270		20	30	8	7	0		0	36	
Warwick 9	483	759	63.64%	177	217	31	26	6	6	2		0	16	4
Warwick 10	433	732	59.15%			24	8	3	3	7	6	0	45	1
Warwick 11	428		56.76%	153	186	31	14	7	7	8		0	18	4
Warwick 12	470	781	60.18%	184		29	20	6	7	1		1	15	
Warwick 13 Warwick 14	433	746	58.04%	195	165 168	14	14 8	9 8	6	3		0	26	1
Warwick 15	398		59.23%	147 106		24 18		2	4 6	1 2		0	29 26	ł
Warwick 16	336	814	63.64% 64.25%	189	150 228		23 25	7		1		0	17	ł
Warwick 17	523	642		148		40 20	17	2	4 0	3		0	23	ł
Warwick 18	379	595	59.03% 59.33%	135	159	25	8	3	1	1		0	<u>23</u> 17	
Warwick 19	353 469	847	55·37%	177	198	41	5	8	6	5		0	24	
Warwick 20	520	816	63.73%	184	232	40	23	10	4	1		0	24 21	
Warwick 21	624	905	68.95%	277	251	51	18	3	5	0		0	18	
Warwick 22	422	668	63.17%	220	117	17	31	3	5	2		0	20	1
Warwick 23	511	834	61.27%	200	200	50	27	6	4	2		0	18	4
Warwick 24	542	843	64.29%	179	233	42	35	8	7	3		0	26	4
Warwick 25	408		60.99%	177	144	20	14	7	12	7		0	22	1
Warwick 26	510	832	61.30%	212	196	37	28	1	8	5		0	18	1
Warwick 27	513	839	61.14%	184		41	20	3	8	5		0	29	1
Warwick 28	438		57.94%	168		26	28	5	9	3		0	23	
Warwick 29	381	659	57.81%	277	58	14	8	8	3	0		0	<u>-23</u> 11	1
Warwick 30	299		61.15%	98		19	14	2	4	0		0	15	4
Warwick 31	257		61.63%	104			10	1	0	1			6	
	-31	ויד /	01103/6	1 .04	5	ر.		· ·	J		т т	J		1

General Election - 11/6/18														
DISTRICT	TOTA	TURNOUT	REUSTRA'S TURE	ION Leit	ta A. Jarne	n Watard	n watard	Take Susski	ar James	ta A. Jarne	Cyb. Strue	stopher B.	ein Bar	M & Void
				DEM	REP	CON	GRE	WOR	IND	REF	LBT			
Wawayanda 1	496	853	58.15%	153	240	39	24	6	10	2	5	0	17	
Wawayanda 2	484	856	56.54%	202	166	22	37	10	8	2	4	1	32	
Wawayanda 3	474	857	55.31%	164	211	30	27	5	6	2	5	0	24	
Wawayanda 4	410	703	58.32%	141	193	22	27	3	6	4	0	1	13	
Wawayanda 5	401	687	58.37%	114	211	21	21	3	10	1	2	0	18	
Wawayanda 6	570	939	60.70%	188	280	31	35	5	2	2	2	0	25	
Woodbury 1	380	600	63.33%	134	148	26	12	8	7	4	5	0	36	
Woodbury 2	417	702	59.40%	169	164	21	16	3	6	4	5	0	29	
Woodbury 3	463	792	58.46%	206	165	17	13	4	13	3	5	0	37	
Woodbury 4	351	633	55.45%	149	129	14	11	6	9	1	3	0	29	
Woodbury 5	591	922	64.10%	302	202	22	11	3	6	2	2	0	41	
Woodbury 6	474	920	51.52%	196	176	30	9	9	8	4	5	0	37	
Woodbury 7	359	554	64.80%	172	126	17	10	1	10	2	1	0	20	
Woodbury 8	437	706	61.90%	156	203	21	11	4	3	4	1	0	34	
Woodbury 9	185	337	54.90%	125	37	4	3	4	2	1	0	0	9	
Woodbury 10	627	980	63.98%	296	219	38	15	10	4	6	5	1	33	
GRAND TOTAL	125913	220454	57.12%	52620	46617	6578	4429	1760	5431	854	854	23	6747	

Town	Dist	Write In	Vote(s)
NBG	1-4	VOID	ìí
CW	6	VOID	1
CW	9	Brian Abbott	1
CW	11	Barbara Underwood	1
GSN	12	Zephyr Teachout	1
GSN	13	Aaron Slotnick	1
HI	2	VOID	1
HI	3 8	VOID	1
MRO	8	VOID	1
MRO	11	Zephyr Teachout	1
MGY	12	Windy Graziano	1
MH	2	Daniel P Farrell	1
NW	10	VOID	1
NW	19	Michael D. Blythe ESQ.	1
NW	21	Zepher Teachout	1
NWT	4	Fred Rogers	1
NWT	12	VOID	1
NWT	23	Torrance Harvey	1
PT	15	VOID	1
WAR	12	Larry Sharpe	1
WAW	2	Stacey Abrams	1
WAW	4	Christopher Kleister	1
WO	10	Emma V. Schlifkin	1
TOTAL	/OTES		23