

CS 4235 / 6035 Introduction to Information Security
Homework Two Public Key Cryptography
Spring, 2016
Due at Start of Class on Tuesday, 9 February

You may collaborate on this homework, but you must list your collaborators. If you use any resources, cite them! Please print this document and write your answers in the space provided. Show enough work that I can give partial credit.

Problem Zero (0 Points)

Your Name: _____ Your GTID: _____

Collaborators: _____

Problem One (2 Points)

In sharedkey cryptography keys are generally 256 bits or less. Why are numbers in publickey cryptosystems typically on the order of thousands of bits long? (This question may require outside sources.)

Answer:

The security of public key cryptosystems are based on the assumption that certain mathematical problems are computationally hard to solve.

Brute force attacks on shared-key cryptosystems usually take $O(2^n)$ time (which is long). However, public key cryptosystems have algorithms for them to be broken in shorter than $O(2^n)$ time.

Using large numbers in public key cryptosystems would increase time taken to solve the problem and hence make them more secure.

Problem Two (2 Points)

Both digital signatures and message authentication codes provide for data integrity. Why don't message authentication codes provide *nonrepudiation*?

Answer:

A MAC needs the key for verifying the tag. So, anyone with the key can also "sign" the message (provide the tag on that message). As this means that the key is shared between the "signer" and the "verifier", MAC schemes are not real signature schemes and also do not provide non-repudiation.

Problem Three (2 Points)

In our unit on access control we will learn that in some protocols a random digital signature challenge is sent for authentication purposes. For example, Alice may send Bob a random number to sign for Bob to prove his identity. With this in mind, why is it a bad idea to use the same RSA key pair for signing and encryption?

Answer:

In the example, this authentication protocol provides a decryption oracle. Proper padding and hashing mitigates the problem but it is still an undesirable circumstance.

Problem Four (2 Points)

Recall that the RSA assumption is that, given $c = m^e \bmod n$, finding m given only c , e & n is hard (if we choose our key correctly). Suppose that you invent an algorithm, $D(c, e, n)$, that can find m for 1% of c . Give pseudocode for an algorithm that can use $D(c, e, n)$ to find m easily for any c . (Hint: Review the basic number theory that we discussed in class.)

Answer:

$D'(c, e, n)$ uses $D(c, e, n)$. [All operations are mod n]

```
D'(c,e,n):
    r=1
    while true:
        c'=c. re
        m'=D(c', e, n)
        if D is able to find the corresponding m':
            return m'. r(-1)
    else:
        Pick a random r
```

So, the program loops till it finds a c' that falls within the 1% that $D(. , . , .)$ can decrypt.

Problem Five (2 Points)

Find $3^{123} \bmod 17$ with only pen and this paper. Show each step! Put a box around your final answer. You may use a computer to double check your final answer.

Answer:

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(17) &= 16 \quad \text{So, } 3^{123} \bmod 17 = 3^{123 \bmod \Phi(17)} = 3^{(123 \bmod 16)} \bmod 17 \\ &= 3^{11} \bmod 17 = 3^3 \cdot 3^8 \bmod 17 \\ &= 27 \cdot 81^2 \bmod 17 = 27 \cdot 81 \cdot 81 \bmod 17 \\ &= 27 \cdot 13 \cdot 13 \bmod 17 = 27 \cdot 169 \bmod 17 \\ &= 10 \cdot 16 \bmod 17 = (-10) \bmod 17 \\ &= 7 \bmod 17 = \boxed{7}\end{aligned}$$

Problem Six (3 Points)

Show that digital signatures under plain RSA are insecure. (Plain RSA means that signing is done by calculating $m^d \bmod n$, $m < n$, with no padding or hashing of m .) Write an algorithm that, given someone's public signature verification key, (e, n) , can easily generate a (message, signature) pair for some message that the private key holder never intended to sign. For full credit your algorithm should not require a signing oracle and your algorithm should generate "signed" messages where the your message is different than its signature. (Hints: The message need not have any meaning to count as a forgery. Think backwards.)

Answer:

Forger knows (e, n) and can do the following to generate a valid message-signature pair:

- Select σ , a random number
- Compute $m = \sigma^e \bmod n$
- return (m, σ)

Problem Seven (3 Points)

This problem shows the importance of picking p & q randomly when generating RSA keys. For this problem all variable names are as we used in class when we discussed the RSA encryption scheme. Suppose that an attacker can guess something about p & q other than $n (= pq)$. Given a public key (n, e) , a ciphertext, c , and some leaked information about p & q , can an attacker find the message, m ?

Suppose that an attacker can guess that the distance between p & q is exactly 99,756 ($q - p = 99,756$). Given that and the following, find m . Show work and explain steps for full credit.

$n=13407807929942597099574024998205846127479365820592393377723561443721764070199905996922954027860345430630722069842959996915503663917498816880633795704557141$

$e=65537$

$c=8005759326399040081769730394944621533886049862669982424066212838891731754896891137854460095179708123649729805240187601296323531253803144998960099456881193$

For easy cutting and pasting, I have copied the above numbers to <http://pastebin.com/cje8S1pz>. Your answer may remind you of a famous mathematical constant. (Protip: For my calculations I used Python's `pow(a, b, c)` method, which returns $a^b \bmod c$. I used `PowerMod(...)` at <http://www.wolframalpha.com/> to calculate a modular inverse, and I used Wolfram Alpha for a large square root.)

Answer:

1. Calculate p, q

Given $\Delta = q - p = 99,756$ and $n = pq$, $q = \frac{n}{p} \Rightarrow \frac{n}{p} - p = \Delta$ or $p^2 + \Delta p - n = 0$

compute $p = \frac{1}{2} * [\sqrt{\Delta^2 + 4n} - \Delta]$ and $q = 99756 + p$

2. Calculate d

Compute $\Phi = (p-1) * (q-1)$ and hence $d = e^{-1} \bmod \Phi(n)$

3. Calculate m as: $m = c^d \bmod n = 314159265358979$

Problem Eight (4 Points)

In class we learned the DiffieHellman key exchange protocol for Alice and Bob. Suppose that Alice, Bob and Charlie need to share a common secret key. List the steps that would be involved in a secure three-way DiffieHellman key exchange. (Disregard maninthemiddle attacks. Assume that Alice, Bob and Charlie all trust each other completely.)

Answer:

1. Alice, Bob and Charlie agree on the prime p and the base g .
2. They also pick their secret keys a, b, c .
3. Alice and Bob carry out a two-party Diffie Hellman key exchange, calculate g^{ab} and announce it.
4. Bob and Charlie carry out a two-party Diffie Hellman key exchange, calculate g^{bc} and announce it.
5. Alice and Charlie carry out a two-party Diffie Hellman key exchange, calculate g^{ac} and announce it.
6. Alice, Bob and Charlie can now g^{abc} , which is their shared secret.

While malicious Eve will know $g^a, g^b, g^c, g^{ab}, g^{bc}, g^{ac}$ but cannot calculate g^{abc}