

Gödel's THEOREM. Any sufficiently powerful axiomatic system (i.e. formalization of mathematics) is either inconsistent (i.e. \exists statement S s.t. both S and its negation can be proven) OR it has a true statement that cannot be proven.

"Sufficiently powerful" = can encode Turing machines.

$\text{HALT}(M, x)$: TM M halts on input x .

Can be written in the system

A1. If M halts on x , there is a proof P_x of $\text{HALT}(M, x)$.

A2. If M loops on x , there is a proof \bar{P}_x of $\overline{\text{HALT}(M, x)}$.

TM D:

Given $\langle M \rangle$ as input:

enumerate proofs P

[check if P is a proof of $\text{HALT}(M, \langle M \rangle)$
then loop forever
else if P is a proof of $\overline{\text{HALT}(M, \langle M \rangle)}$
halt .

What does D do input $\langle D \rangle$?

If D halts on $\langle D \rangle$ and there is a proof that it does, then D will find it and loop forever.

If D does not halt on $\langle D \rangle$ and there is a proof that it does not halt, then D will halt.

\therefore There is no proof (within the system) that D will halt or D will not halt on input $\langle D \rangle$.

\rightarrow so there is also a proof that D will not halt on $\langle D \rangle \Rightarrow$ inconsistent system.

We can also deduce this (and other unprovable facts) from Kolmogorov sets.

Recall $L_K = \{x : K(x) \geq |x|\}$

is an infinite undecidable language.

$K(x) = \min \{ | \langle M \rangle | + |y| : M \text{ is a TM that on input } y \text{ outputs } x \}$

Take any axiomatic system in which we can express " $K(x) \geq n$ ".

Assume it can be verified whether or not a string P is a proof of a statement in the system.

Th. \exists true statements that are unprovable within any consistent system.

OR, more concretely $\exists n, x$ s.t. " $K(x) \geq n$ " is true but cannot be proven.

Pf. Suppose that for each x and each n if " $K(x) \geq n$ " it has a proof. Any candidate proof P of a statement S can be verified.

But this gives us the ability to find incompressible strings:

TM M : On input n :

enumerate pairs (s, p) of integers

For each (s, p) :

enumerate strings x of length s

and proofs p of length p

Check if p is a proof that " $K(x) \geq n$ ".

If yes, output x and stop.

If x, p exist for n , then M outputs x for which

$$n \leq K(x) \leq C + \log n$$

$\therefore \exists n_0$ s.t. $\forall n \geq n_0$, " $K(x) \geq n$ " has
no proof!

This is particularly striking since it is easy to produce strings x for which $K(x) \geq n$.

Pick random strings of length $n+1$.

Then w.p. $\geq \frac{1}{2}$, $K(x) \geq n$.