# INF102 Algorithms, Data Structures and Programming

Marc Bezem<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Informatics University of Bergen

Fall 2015

### INF102, practical stuff

- Lecturer: Marc Bezem; Team: see homepage
- Homepage: INF102 (hyperlinks in red)
- ► Also: GitHub (recommended); Dropbox: slides, schedule
- Textbook: Algorithms, 4th edition
- ▶ Prerequisites: INF100 + 101 ( $\approx$  Ch. 1.1 + 1.2)
- Syllabus (pensum): Ch. 1.3 − 1.5, Ch. 2 − 4
- Exam: three compulsory exercises and a written exam
- ▶ Old exams: 2004–2013, 2014
- Table of Contents of these slides

#### Resources

- Good textbook, USA-style: many pages, exercises etc.
- Average speed must be ca 50 pages p/w
- Lectures (ca 24) focus on the essentials
- Slides (ca 120, dense!) summarize the lectures
- Prepare yourself by reading in advance
- Workshops: selected exercises
- ► Test yourself by trying some exercises in advance
- ▶ If you can do the exercises (incl. compulsory), you are fine
- Review of exercises on Friday morning

# Generic Bags, Queues and Stacks

- Generic programming in Java, example: PolyPair.java
- ▶ Bag, Queue and Stack are generic, iterable collections
- Queue and Stack: Ch. 9 in textbook INF100/1
- ► APIs include: boolean isEmpty() and int size()
- All three support adding an element
- Queue and Stack support removing an element (if any)
- ► FIFO Queue (en/dequeue), LIFO Stack (push/pop)
- Dijkstra's Two-Stack Expression Evaluation Movie
- ► Example: (1+((2+3)\*(4\*5)))

### **Implementations**

- ResizingArray\_Stack.java
- Arrays give direct access, but have fixed size
- Resizing takes time and space proportional to size
- LinkedList\_Stack.java
- No fixed size, but indirect access
- ▶ Pointers take space and dereferencing takes time
- Programming with pointers: make a picture
- LinkedList\_Queue.java

### Computation time and memory space

- ► Two central questions:
  - How long will my program take?
  - ▶ Will there be enough memory?
- Example: ThreeSum.java
- ▶ Inner loop (here a[i]+a[j]+a[k]==0) is important
- Sorting helps: ThreeSumOptimized.java
- ▶ Run some experiments: 1Kints.txt, 2Kints.txt, ...

# Methods of Analysis

#### Empirical:

- ▶ Run program with randomized inputs, measuring time & space
- Run program repeatedly, doubling the input size
- Measuring time: StopWatch
- Plot, or log-log plot and linear regression

#### Theoretical:

- Define a cost model by abstraction (e.g., array accesses, comparisons, operations)
- Try to count/estimate/average this cost as function of the input (size)
- ▶ Use O(f(n)) and  $f(n) \sim g(n)$

# ThreeSum, empirically

- ▶ Input sizes 1K, 2K, 4K, 8K take time 0.1, 0.8, 6.4 ,51.1 sec
- ► The log's are 3, 3.3, 3.6, 3.9 and -1, -0.1, 0.8, 1.71
- Basis of the logarithm should be the same for both
- ▶ Linear regression gives  $y \approx 3x 10$
- ▶  $\log(f(n)) = 3\log(n) 10$  iff

$$f(n) = 10^{\log(f(n))} = 10^{3\log(n)-10} = n^3 * 10^{-10}$$

- ▶ Conclusion: cubic in the input size, with constant  $\approx 10^{-10}$
- Strong dependence on input can be a problem
- ightharpoonup Constant  $10^{-10}$  depends on computer, exponent 3 does not

# ThreeSum, theoretically

- ▶ Number of different picks of triples: g(n) = n(n-1)(n-2)/6
- ▶ Inner loop a[i]+a[j]+a[k]==0 executed g(n) times
- $g(n) = n^3/6 n^2/2 + n/3$
- ▶ Cubic term  $n^3/6$  wins for large n
- ► Computational model # array accesses:  $3 * n^3/6 = n^3/2$
- ► Cost array access t sec: time  $t * n^3/2$  sec
- Cost models are abstractions! (NB cache)

### Big Oh, and $\sim$

- Q: 'wins for large n' uhh???
- lacktriangle A: Big Oh, and  $\sim$  will clear this up
- ▶ Costs are positive quantities, so  $f, g, ... : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}^+$
- ▶ MNF130: f(n) is O(g(n)) if there exist  $c \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f(n) \le cg(n)$  for all  $n \ge N$  (that is,, for n large enough)
- ► Example:  $n^2$  and even  $99n^3$  are  $O(n^3)$ , but  $n^3$  is not  $O(n^{2.9})$
- ▶ INF102:  $f(n) \sim g(n)$  if  $1 = \lim f(n)/g(n)$
- ▶ If  $f(n) \sim g(n)$ , then f(n) is O(g(n)) and g(n) is O(f(n))
- ▶ Big Oh and ~ aim to capture 'order of growth'
- ightharpoonup Big Oh abstracts from constant factors,  $\sim$  does not
- Large constant factors are important!

# Important orders of growth

- ▶ constant: c, f(n) = c for all n
- ▶ linear: n (compare all for n = 20 sec)
- ▶ linearithmetic: n log n
- quadratic: n<sup>2</sup>
- ightharpoonup cubic:  $n^3$
- exponential: 2<sup>n</sup>
- general form:  $an^b(\log n)^c$

# Logarithms and Exponents

- ▶ Definition:  $\log_x z = y$  iff  $x^y = z$  for x > 0
- ▶ Inverses:  $x^{\log_x y} = y$  and  $\log_x x^y = y$
- Exponent:  $x^{(y+z)} = x^y x^z$ ,  $x^{(yz)} = (x^y)^z$
- ► Logarithm:  $\log_x(yz) = \log_x y + \log_x z$ ,  $\log_x z = \log_x y \log_y z$
- ▶ Base of logarithm: the x in log<sub>x</sub>
- ▶ Various bases:  $log_2 = lg$ ,  $log_e = ln$ ,  $log_{10} = log$
- ▶ Double exponent: e.g.  $2^{(2^n)}$  (not used in INF102)
- ▶ Double logarithm: log(log n) (not used in INF102)

### **Examples**

- Worst case: guaranteed, independent of input
- ▶ Average case: not guaranteed, dependent of input distribution
- Linked list implementations of Stack, Queue and Bag: all operations take constant time in the worst case
- Resizing array implementations of Stack, Queue and Bag: adding and deleting take linear time in the worst case (easy)
- ▶ Amortized: worst-case cost *per operation*. E.g., each 10-th operation has cost  $\leq 21$ , all others cost 1, amortized  $\leq 3$  p/o.
- Resizing arrays: adding and deleting take constant time per operation in the worst case (proof is difficult)
- ▶ Special case of resizing array that is only growing:  $1(2)2(4)34(8)5678(16)9 \dots 16(32) \dots$ , with (n) the new size. Risizing to (n) costs 2n array accesses, so in total  $(1+4)+(1+8)+(2+16)+(4+32)+(8+64) \dots$ , so 9 p/push.

# Staying Connected

- ▶ MNF130: relation  $E \subseteq V \times V$  is an *equivalence* if
  - ▶ *E* is reflexive:  $\forall x \in V$ . E(x,x)
  - ▶ *E* is *symmetic*:  $\forall x, y \in V$ .  $E(x, y) \rightarrow E(y, x)$
  - ▶ *E* is transitive:  $\forall x, y, z \in V$ .  $E(x, y) \land E(y, z) \rightarrow E(x, z)$
- We assume connectedness to be an equivalence
- Dynamic connectivity means that R can grow and shrink
- ► Example: if the 'Bergensbanen' is broken, Oslo and Bergen are no longer connected by rail
- We want efficient algorithms and datastructures for testing whether two objects are connected
- Clear relationship with paths in graphs, (connected) components (MNF130)
- We take  $V = \{0, ..., N-1\}$ .

#### Union Find

- ▶ UF, idea: every component has an identifier ('hub'), which has edges ('spokes') to the elements of its component
- ► API: UF
- ▶ Implementations with int[] id containing the identifiers
  - SlowUF.java
  - ► FastUF.java
  - WeightedUF.java
- WeightedUF: log depth of tree (Proposition X)

# Sorting

- Sorting: putting objects in a certain order
- ▶ MNF130: relation  $R \subseteq V \times V$  is a total order(ing) if
  - 1. *R* is reflexive:  $\forall x \in V$ . R(x,x)
  - 2. R is transitive:  $\forall x, y, z \in V$ .  $R(x, y) \land R(y, z) \rightarrow R(x, z)$
  - 3. R is antisymmetric:  $\forall x, y \in V$ .  $R(x, y) \land R(y, x) \rightarrow x = y$
  - 4. R is total:  $\forall x, y \in V$ .  $R(x, y) \vee R(y, x)$
- Natural orderings:
  - ▶ Numbers of any type: ordinary  $\leq$  and  $\geq$
  - ► Strings: lexicographic
  - ► Objects of a Comparable type: v.compareTo(w) < 0

# Sorting (ctnd)

- Bubble sort: ExampleSort.java
- Certification: assert isSorted(a) in main()
- No guarantee against modifying the array (but exch() is safe)
- Costmodel 1: number of exch()'s and less()'s
- Costmodel 2: number of array accesses
- ► Pitfalls: cache misses, expensive v.compareTo(w) < 0
- Why studying sorting? (java.util.Arrays.sort())
- Comparing sorting algorithms: CompareSort.java

#### Selection Sort

- ▶ Bubble sort:  $\sim n^2/2$  compares, 0 . .  $\sim n^2/2$  exchanges
- Selection sort:
  - Find index of a minimal value a[1..n], exchange with a[1]
  - ▶ Find index of a minimal value a[2..n], exchange with a[2]
  - ▶ ... until n-1
- ▶ Selection sort:  $\sim n^2/2$  compares, n-1 exchanges

```
public static void sort(Comparable[] a) {
  int N = a.length;
  for (int i=0; i<N-1; i++){
    int min=i;
    for (int j=i+1; j<N; j++) if less(a[j],a[min])) min=j;
    exch(a,i,min);
}</pre>
```

#### Insertion sort

- Insertion sort:
  - Insert a[2] on its correct place in (sorted) a[1..1]
  - Insert a[3] on its correct place in (sorted) a[1..2]
  - ... until a[n]
- Very good for partially sorted arrays, costs:
  - ▶ Best case: n-1 compares and 0 exchanges
  - Worst case:  $\sim n^2/2$  compares and exchanges
  - ▶ Average case:  $\sim n^2/4$  compares and exchanges (distinct keys)

```
public static void sort(Comparable[] a) {
  int N = a.length;
  for (int i=1; i<N; i++){
    for (int j=i; j>0 && less(a[j],a[j-1]); j--)
      exch(a,j,j-1);
  }
}
```

#### Shell sort

- Insertion sort:
  - Very good for partially sorted arrays
  - Slow in transport: step by step exch(a,j,j-1)
- ▶ Idea: h-sort, a[i],a[i+h],a[i+2h],... sorted (any i)

```
public static void hsort(int h, Comparable[] a) {
  int N = a.length;
  for (int i=h; i<N; i++)
   for (int j=i; j-h>=0 && less(a[j],a[j-h]); j-=h)
      exch(a,j,j-h);
}
```

- ▶ Insertion sort: hsort(1,a)
- Shell sort: e.g., hsort(10,a); hsort(1,a)

# Shell sort (ctnd)

- ▶ hsort(10,a); hsort(1,a) faster than just hsort(1,a)!
- Q: How is this possible?
- ► A: hsort(10,a) transports items in steps of 10, which would be done by hsort(1,a) in 10 steps of 1
- ▶ What about hsort(100,a); hsort(10,a); hsort(1,a)?
- ▶ To be expected: depends on the length N of the array
- ▶ Book:

### Mergesort

- ► Top-down (recursive) algorithm:
  - Mergesort left half, mergesort right half
  - Merge the results
- Using an auxiliary array: TopDownMergeSort.java, Movie
- ▶ Bottom-up algorithm:
  - Merge a[0],a[1], a[2],a[3], a[4],a[5], ...
  - ► Mergea[0..1],a[2..3], a[4..5],a[6..7], ...
  - ► Mergea[0..3],a[4..7], a[8..11],a[12..15], ...
- Also using an auxiliary array: BottomUpMergeSort.java

# The complexity of sorting

- ▶ Mergesort uses between  $\sim (n/2) \lg n$  and  $\sim n \lg n$  compares
- ▶ Mergesort uses between  $\sim 6n \lg n$  array accesses
- ▶ Mergesort uses  $\sim 2n$  space (plus some var's)
- Q: How fast can compare-based sorting be?
- ▶ Book:

### Quicksort

- Top-down (recursive) algorithm:
  - Choose a (pivot value) v in the array
  - ▶ Partition the array in non-empty parts  $\leq v$  and  $\geq v$
  - Quicksort the two parts
- ▶ Pros: in-place, average computation time  $O(n \log n)$
- ▶ Cons: stack space for the recursion, worst-case  $O(n^2)$
- Implementation: QuickSort.java

### Quicksort, details

- Subtleties in partition:
  - Invariants 1<=h in the two inner loops</p>
  - Postcondition after the two inner loops
  - Invariant of the for(;;) loop
  - Termination of the for(;;) loop
- Termination of recursive quicksort

### Quicksort, performance

- Compare Quicksort to other sorting methods  $(n = 10^2, 10^3, ...)$
- Quicksort runs in quadratic time if pivot is always smallest (largest)
- Randomization is important (choose pivot randomly, or shuffle array)
- ▶ If all keys are distinct and randomization is perfect, then quicksort uses on average  $\sim 2n \ln n$  compares (proof on blackboard)
- Similar result for exchanges holds (proof is complicated)
- Relevant improvements:
  - ▶ Cutoff to insertion sort for sizes ≤ M
  - ► Median-of-three pivot
  - Taking advantage of duplicate keys (3-way partitioning)
- Quicksort is generally very good, ... bucketsort

# **Priority Queues**

- Assume collecting and processing items having keys
- Examples of keys: time-stamp, price-tag, priority-tag
- Assume: keys can be ordered
- Reasonable: processing currently highest (or lowest)
- Seen this before? Yes, when items are time-stamped when added:
  - Queue: dequeue currently oldest (lowest time-stamp)
  - Stack: pop currently newest (highest time-stamp)
- Priority queue generalizes this
- Examples: highest priority, largest transaction, lowest price
- Distinction between 'item' and 'key' inessential

# **Priority Queues**

```
► Good info: Wikipedia; API (the essentials):

public class ArrayListPQ<Key extends Comparable<Key>>

void insert(Key v) // insert a key
Key delMax() // delete the largest key, if any
boolean isEmpty()
int size()
```

### Heaps

- MNF130: A binary tree is complete if all levels are filled. So, a complete binary tree of depth d has 2<sup>d</sup>-1 nodes (picture).
- NF102: A binary tree is (left-)complete if all levels < h are filled, the level h may be partially be empty on the right. So, a (left-)complete binary tree of n nodes has height ⌊lg n⌋.</p>
- A binary tree is heap-ordered if the key in each node is ≥ the keys in its children (if any). So, the root has a maximal key.
- ► Array representation of heap-ordered binary tree: picture
- ▶ The methods swim and sink

# Purpose of Sorting

- Sorting makes the following easier and more efficient:
  - ► Searching (binary search, example: ThreeSumOptimized
  - ▶ Searching and looking up, e.g., the pagenumber in an index
  - Removing duplicates
  - Finding the median, quartiles etc.
- Our sorting algorithms are generic: sort(Comparable[] a), for any user-defined data type with a compareTo() method
- ▶ We do *pointer sorting*, manipulating refs to objects.
  - ▶ Pro: not moving full objects
  - Cons: pointer dereferencing, no sort(int[] a)
- ▶ More flexibility: pass a Comparator object to sort()

# Comparator object

- API: public static void sort(Object[] a, Comparator c)
- Call: Insertion.sort(a, new Transaction.WhenOrder())
- Call: Insertion.sort(a, new Transaction.SizeOrder())
- ▶ Obs: import java.util.Comparator
- Obs: public static boolean less(Object o1, Object o2, Comparator c)
- ▶ Priority queues also with Comparator

#### More

- Stability: relative order of equal keys is preserved
- ▶ Important in multi-key applications (e.g., timestamp and size)
- Which sorting algorithm to use?
  - Quicksort is a good general purpose choice
  - Don't forget: java.util.Arrays.sort()
  - Special care: sorting arrays of primitive type
  - Special care: many duplicate keys
- ► Consider sorting first to make other problems easier

# Applications of Sorting

- Commercial computing
- Search for information
- Job scheduling heuristic: longest processing time first
- Combinatorial search in AI
- ► To come: Prim's and Dijkstra's algorithms
- Data compressions
- Cryptology and genomics (e.g., longest repeating substring)

# Symbol Tables

- ▶ Symbol table associates *keys* with *values: key-value pairs*
- Examples: keyword-page number, ID number-personal data
- Important operations:
  - Insert a key-value pair in the symbol table
  - Search the value for a given key (if any)
- Important conventions:
  - Inserting key-value for existing key: overwriting the value
  - No duplicate keys, no null keys
  - ▶ Value null: no value for this key
  - ▶ Lazy deletion: insert key-null; Eager: really delete key
- Other operations: contains(key), isEmpty(), size()
- ▶ Aim: all operations in time  $\sim c(\lg n)$  with small constant c

# ToC and topics of general interest

- ► Table of Contents on next slide (all items clickable)
- ► Practical stuff: slide 2

#### Introduction

Ch.1.3 Bags, Queues and Stacks

Ch.1.4 Analysis of Algorithms

Ch.1.5 Case Study: Union-Find

Ch.2.1 Elementary Sorts

Ch.2.2 Mergesort

Ch.2.3 Quicksort

Ch.2.4 Priority Queues

Ch.2.5 Applications

Ch.3.1 Symbol Tables

Ch.3.2 Binary Search Trees

Ch.3.3 Balanced Search Trees

Ch.3.4 Hash Tables

Ch.3.5 Applicatios

Ch.4.1 Undirected Graphs

Ch.4.2 Directed Graphs

Ch.4.3 Minimum Spanning

Trees

Ch.4.4 Shortest Paths

Table of Contents