## **Credit Punctuation Model**

ID: 728407 and 730342 University: Instituto Tecnológico y de Estudios Superiores de Occidente (ITESO)

Developed by: Emiliano Mena González and Jorge Alberto Hernández Hernández

Semester: 10th

Date: 20/02/2024

Degree: Finanacial Engineer

# Libraries and dependencies import main as m import visualizations as v Introduction Main objective

3 ...

3 ...

3 ...

3 ...

3 ...

Good

Good

Good

Good

809.98

809.98

809.98

809.98

809.98

ITESO, Universidad

Jesuita de Guadalajara

22 Yea

22 Yea

22 Yea

22 Yea

26.822620

31.944960

28.609352

31.377862

24.797347

Data

The main objective of this project is to create a Personal Credit Punctuation Model that classify every new record on one of three possible scores(Poor, Standard or Good). The data we are taking to do the model is the dataset contained in the csv file called "train.csv", this dataset has 28 columns (variables) and 100,000 rows (records). The last column of the dataset is the Credit\_Score variable that will be the reference point to see the accuracy of the model. Below we have the first 5 record of the dataset to see what variables we have, what type of value they are. m.df.head(5)

821-Aaron **0** 0x1602 CUS\_0xd40 January 23 00-Scientist 19114.12 1824.843333 Maashoh 0265 821-Aaron CUS\_0xd40 February 23 00-Scientist 19114.12 **1** 0x1603 NaN Maashoh 0265

821-Aaron CUS\_0xd40 00-19114.12 NaN **2** 0x1604 March -500 Scientist Maashoh 0265 821-Aaron CUS\_0xd40 23 00-19114.12 Scientist NaN April

0265 821-

00-

0265

Type NA\_values Present\_values Unique\_values

0 0

0

0

9985

100000

100000

100000

90015

100000

Scientist

19114.12

100000

12500

10139

1788

12501

18940

13235

943

1179

1750

434

6260

73

749

4384

1223

13178

100000

404

3

4

16

8

1824.843333

23

Maashoh

Aaron

Maashoh

May

**3** 0x1605

**4** 0x1606 5 rows × 28 columns To see more clearly the type of data we will work with we have a little dataset that has some valious information to know what proccesses we will need to do in order to clean the data. The info we

Out[]:

m.data\_info

have is first of all the type of data, if they are null values, the present values and the unique values.

CUS\_0xd40

Variable\_name ID Customer\_ID Month Name Age SSN Occupation Annual\_Income Monthly\_Inhand\_Salary Num\_Bank\_Accounts

Num\_Credit\_Card

Interest\_Rate

Num\_of\_Loan

Type\_of\_Loan

Num\_Credit\_Inquiries float64

Outstanding\_Debt

Credit\_History\_Age

Payment\_of\_Min\_Amount object

Payment\_Behaviour

Total\_EMI\_per\_month float64

Monthly\_Balance object

Delete the data it won't be used

Credit\_Score object

Credit\_Utilization\_Ratio

Amount\_invested\_monthly

Data cleaning

Null values

methods.

Numeric values

Change age format

the model creation.

m.data\_stadistics

1.000000e+05

1.616206e+05

1.297796e+06

7.005930e+03

1.945333e+04

3.757238e+04

7.269021e+04

2.383470e+07

v.violinplots(m.df2['Annual\_Income'])

v.violinplots(m.df2['Interest\_Rate'])

v.violinplots(m.df2['Num\_of\_Delayed\_Payment'])

v.violinplots(m.df2['Num\_Credit\_Inquiries'])

v.violinplots(m.df2['Total\_EMI\_per\_month'])

v.violinplots(m.df2['Amount\_invested\_monthly'])

Outliers

outliers.

count

mean

std

min

25% **50**%

**75**%

max

2.5

2.0

0.5

0.0

6000

5000

4000

3000

2000

1000

4000

Num\_of\_Delayed\_Payment

0

2500

2000

1500

1000

500

0

80000

60000

40000

20000

10000

8000

6000

4000

2000

3.5

3.0

2.5

1.0

0.5

0.0

m.df3.head(5)

Customer\_ID

CUS\_0xd40

CUS\_0xd40

CUS\_0xd40

CUS\_0xd40

CUS\_0xd40

Model bases

Model

Aaron

Aaron

Aaron

Aaron

Aaron

lot of sources say that the distribution is the next:

Maashoh

Maashoh

Maashoh

Maashoh

Maashoh

Monthly\_Balance

0

v.violinplots(m.df2['Monthly\_Balance'])

cleaned data available to be used in the model creation, the data look like:

19114.12

19114.12

19114.12

19114.12

19114.12

final conformation of the pillars and the variables selected for the model structure:

1824.843333

1824.843333

1824.843333

1824.843333

1824.843333

3.0

3.0

3.0

3.0

3.0

Amount\_invested\_monthly

0

Total\_EMI\_per\_month

Num\_Credit\_Inquiries

0

Interest\_Rate

Annual\_Income

In [ ]:

Out[]:

In [

Outstanding\_Debt - Amount\_invested\_monthly - Monthly\_Balance

Annual\_Income Monthly\_Inhand\_Salary

100000.000000

4188.592303

3180.036303

303.645417

1624.937917

3087.595000

5947.364167

15204.633333

Monthly\_Balance But to be sure we have the violinplots of this variables to see it more clearly.

100000.000000

73.213360

468.665823

1.000000

8.000000

14.000000

20.000000

5789.000000

Credit\_Mix object

float64

object

Delay\_from\_due\_date

Num\_of\_Delayed\_Payment

object object object object object object object object float64 int64 object object int64 Changed\_Credit\_Limit object

0

0

4479

1200

14950 91049

98792

To start with the data cleaning, first we delete the data that won't be used on the creation of the punctuation model. The data deleted is: - ID: It is only a descriptive variable of the person who is being punctuated. - Month: The model is the same in every moment of the year so it doesn't matter the month of the record evaluated. - Age: The age doesn't has a correlation with the final score. -SSN: Is another descriptive variable of the person. - Occupation: It doesn't matter on what the person evaluated works. - Num\_Bank\_Accounts: This info is not necessary considering the dataset has already a Credit\_Mix variable. - Num\_Credit\_Card: This info is not necessary considering the dataset has already a Credit\_Mix variable. - Num\_of\_Loan: This info is not necessary considering the dataset has already a Credit Mix variable. - Type of Loan: This info is not necessary considering the dataset has already a Credit Mix variable. - Payment of Min Amount: There are more

relevant variables of payment. - Payment\_Behaviour: There are more relevant variables of payment. After deleting the variables that doesn't have relevance on the model, the next step is to fill the null values. After seeing the variables that have null values, we separate them in two groups. The first group are the variables that can be filled with the values of other records from the same person, to do this we consider the Customer\_ID to assign the same values. The second group are the variables that need to be filled with 0, this is because are variables that change on every record and are considered as 0. Below we can see a table that shows which variables are going on both fill Name Annual\_Income Interest\_Rate Credit\_Mix

100000.000000

21.068780

14.860104

-5.000000

10.000000

18.000000

28.000000

67.000000

Some possible variables with outliers are: - Annual\_Income - Interest\_Rate - Num\_of\_Delayed\_Payment - Num\_Credit\_Inquiries - Total\_EMI\_per\_month - Amount\_invested\_monthly -

Filled with customer info Monthly\_Inhand\_Salary Credit\_History\_Age

Num\_Credit\_Inquiries Amount\_invested\_monthly Monthly\_Balance Now that we have a dataset with all the present values we are only missing to assign the correct format to every variable. The data has multiple variables that are numbers but of string type, to be able to be used the number variables we need to changed them to a numerical type, in this case float. This variables are: - Annual\_Income - Num\_of\_Delayed\_Payment - Changed\_Credit\_Limit -In this part we need to change the Credit\_History\_Age variable format from "NN Years and NN Months" to only the number of months. Now we can see the data cleaned and ready to be used on It is necessary to see if the selected variables have any outliers that can difficult the model. Down we have a table with the quartiles, maximum and minimum of the variables to see if there are any Interest\_Rate Delay\_from\_due\_date Num\_of\_Delayed\_Payment Changed\_Credit\_Limit Num\_Credit\_Inquiries Outstanding\_Debt Credit\_Utilization\_Ratio C 100000.000000 28.779410

18.000000

4397.000000

218.114813 0.000000 8.000000 4.990000 13.000000 9.250000

Filled with 0 Num\_of\_Delayed\_Payment

> 100000.000000 10.246841 6.768353 0.000000

> > 14.660000

36.970000

100000.000000

27.208880

191.308723

0.000000

3.000000

5.000000

9.000000

2597.000000

100000.000000 1426.220376 1155.129026

0.230000

566.072500

1166.155000

1945.962500

4998.070000

100000.000000

32.285173

5.116875

20.000000

28.052567

32.305784

36.496663

50.000000

Out[]:

Out[]: In [ ]: Poor Model Standard

After seting the punctuation variables with their respective percentages, we gave the final score to every record. Down below we have some of the scores on a table, this table contains: -Customer\_ID and Name: Descriptive data of the person that is being scored. - Model\_Punctuation: The final punctuation the model assigned for every person, the range of the punctuation is m.results

between 170 and 1000. - Model\_Score: The final score that the model gave, if the punctuation was under 600 the score is Poor, if it is between 600 and 800 is Standard and if it is above 800 it is Good. - Original\_Score: The original score that the dataset had. Customer\_ID CUS\_0xd40 Aaron Maashoh CUS\_0x942c CUS\_0x942c CUS\_0x942c CUS\_0x942c CUS\_0x942c 100000 rows × 5 columns The accuracy of the model was of 62.98 %10106 2739 8079

820 820 850 820 print(f'The accuracy of the model was of {round(m.accuracy\*100,2)} %') Confusion Matrix 7145 5374 31993 Good of values that we are taking as reference to give the punctuation. 3. Using non complex models (with added variables) can lead us to a model accuracy maybe above 50, but can't take us to an

930

960

930

880

860

820

Nicks

Nicks

Nicks

Nicks

Nicks

577

20885

13102

Standard

Original

Poor

Conclusions

Name Model\_Punctuation Model\_Score Original\_Score Good Good Good Good Good Good Good Good Good Good

optimal accuracy, so this is not an optimal way to found our desired model; probably using machine learning could get us where we want to be.

Poor Poor Poor Standard Poor - 30000 - 25000 - 20000 15000 - 10000 - 5000

Now we can see the Confusion Matrix that the majority of the model errors are on the Good model assigned that are on reality Poor. The model assigned Good are the scores with more accuracy. v.heatmap(m.results['Original\_Score'], m.results['Model\_Score'], ['Poor', 'Standard', 'Good'])

As we expected, the previous variables contain visible outliers. We replaced this outliers with the value of the same person before (take the last value of the same Customer\_ID). We finally have our

3

-1

3

6

The developed credit punctuation model is a traditional one that takes it principal inspiration on the FICO model, there are multiple traditional models (FICO, Vantage, Credit Karma, Equifax, etc.) but we selected the FICO as a base because it's the most used on the United States and multiple other models are based on this one. So to select the variables and the percentage of the final score they will have we looked on the FICO model pillars: 1. Payment history: essentially how frequently a borrower makes payments on time. Accounts for missed or late payments. 2. Amounts owed: how much a borrower owes, includes credit card balances, loans, and mortgages. 3. Credit history: how long a borrower has had credit accounts. Including age of their oldest account and the

average age of all their accounts. 4. Credit mix: examines types of credit accounts a borrower has—credit cards, loans, and mortgages. 5. New credit: evaluates the number of recently opened credit accounts and credit applications. Also looks at the borrower's overall credit history. It is not known for sure how they are weighted every one of this pillars on the final score of the model, but

Percentage

35%

30%

15%

10%

10%

Variables

Num\_of\_Delayed\_Payment

Delay\_from\_due\_date

Outstanding\_Debt Credit\_History\_Age

Num\_Credit\_Inquiries

Credit\_Mix

Pillar

Length of credit history

We add a sixth pillar to our model, this pillar is the Credit utilization. It is important because if a person is using properly his credit line it could be increased and vice versa. Below we can see the

Percentage

20%

15%

30%

10%

15%

10%

Payment history

Amounts owed

Credit mix

New credit

Pillar

Lengh of credit history

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Payment history

Amounts owed

Credit mix

New credit

Name Annual\_Income Monthly\_Inhand\_Salary Interest\_Rate Delay\_from\_due\_date Num\_of\_Delayed\_Payment Changed\_Credit\_Limit Num\_Credit\_Inquiries Credit\_Mix Outstanding\_D

7.0

0.0

7.0

4.0

0.0

11.27

11.27

0.00

6.27

11.27

4.0

4.0

4.0

4.0

4.0

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

808

809

808

809

808

After we developed the model and get the final scores we can say that: 1. The variables and parameters that are generally used, are completely arbitrary, so in this case you'll have to believe that we have chosen the best ones, as we do with FICO. 2. The variables that we finally used where the ones that gave us a best accuracy, this is interesting considering that the other models we were trying they considered other variables that are out of the FICO pillars. So despite we weren't able to get a more precise accuracy, the variables are not the problem, the problem may be the ranges