## The diachrony of mixed directionality in Sino-Tibetan: RelN & VO in Caijia and Longjia

Andreas Hölzl, University of Potsdam

The cross-linguistic study of word order patterns has found strong correlations between the relative position of object (O) and verb (V) with respect to other features (e.g., Dryer 2007). For instance, languages with VO order usually also have externally headed relative clauses (Rel) that follow the head noun (N). On the contrary, the combination of prenominal relative clauses with VO order is uncommon and only found in varieties of Chinese, Bai, and Austronesian, all of which are spoken in Southeast Asia (e.g., Comrie 2008, Dryer 2008).

This talk reports the same combination of RelN & VO in two unclassified Sino-Tibetan languages in Southwest China called Caijia (Lü 2020) and Longjia (see 1a, 1b).

- (1) Longjia
  - a.  $[mo^{55} \ \epsilon i^{55}]$   $\eta u \eta^{55}$   $[m\epsilon^{31} \ i^{55} \ ku^{33}]$  3SG family have horse one CLF 'His/her family has a horse.' (Zhang & Li 1982: 2(2))
  - b.  $[a^{55} k\epsilon^{33} ku^{31}] la^{31}wo^{55}$ take chicken ATTR eagle 'an eagle that takes a chicken' (Zhang & Li 1982: 5(3))

These two languages offer a unique possibility to investigate this cross-linguistically rare phenomenon. The first part of this talk presents a brief synchronic description of the relevant features and points out similarities and differences between the two languages. For instance, both languages also have examples of OV order, the object being marked with a grammaticalized form of the verb for 'to take' (Longjia  $a^{55}$ , Caijia  $a^{33}$ ). But Caijia, instead of  $ku^{31}$ , uses a marker  $s\eta^{21}$  for the integration of the relative clause into the noun phrase.

The second part presents a brief comparison with other languages of the area that show RelN & VO, such as Bai (e.g., Xu & Zhao 1984, Wang 2012) and Southwestern Mandarin (e.g., Ming Chao Gui 2000, Ming Shengrong 2007, personal elicitation). For instance, Mandarin shares the marked OV order using the verb  $b\check{a}$  'to take' as an object marker. Unlike Mandarin, however, the two languages do not use the marker of the relative clause as a genitive for which there is a separate form (Longjia  $hu\eta^{31}$ , Caijia  $h\eta^{55}$  or  $\gamma u\eta^{55}$  in Bo 2004).

The third and final part is a detailed diachronic analysis of the phenomenon. Since the two languages are not historically attested, the diachronic development must be inferred and reconstructed from the synchronic data. For this purpose, different hypotheses are formulated and evaluated. For instance, prenominal relative clauses could be a retention while the languages underwent a change from OV to VO order (e.g., Dryer 2008, 2017). Such a change would be expected to be also visible in other parts of the language, which is why this talk will also address further features such as prepositions and their diachrony (e.g., Dryer 2019).

Alternatively, the languages could have always been of the VO type. In this case, prenominal relative clauses could either have been inherited, implying a certain diachronic stability of the RelN & VO type, or could have been calqued from Chinese or a language with the more common RelN & OV order. This last point is intimately connected with the unresolved classification of Caijia and Longjia. Tentatively arguing for diachronic stability,

this talk presents evidence that (1) both languages appear to be closely related (e.g., regular sound correspondences, shared syntactic features) and (2) they could be archaic members of the Sinitic family (e.g., retention of Old Chinese laterals, Baxter & Sagart 2014: 109).

## **Selected references**

- Baxter, William H. & Laurent Sagart. 2014. *Old Chinese. A new reconstruction*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Bo, Wenze 薄文泽. 2004. Caijiayu gaikuang 蔡家话概况. Minzu Yuwen 民族语文 2. 68-81.
- Comrie, Bernard. 2008. Prenominal relative clauses in verb-object languages. *Language and Linguistics* 9. 723-732
- Dryer, Matthew S. 2007. Word order. In Timothy Shopen (ed.), *Language Typology and Syntactic Description. Volume I: Clause Structure*, 2nd edn., 61-131. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Dryer, Matthew S. 2008. Word order in Tibeto-Burman languages. *Linguistics of the Tibeto-Burman Area* 31. 1-83.
- Dryer, Matthew S. 2017. Word order in Sino-Tibetan languages from a typological and geographical perspective. In Graham Thurgood & Randy J. LaPolla (eds.), *The Sino-Tibetan languages*, 2nd edn., 70-82. London: Routledge.
- Dryer, Matthew S. 2019. Grammaticalization accounts of word order correlations. In Karsten Schmidtke-Bode, Natalia Levshina, Susanne Maria Michaelis & Ilja A. Seržant (eds.), *Explanation in typology: Diachronic sources, functional motivations and the nature of the evidence*, 63–95. Berlin: Language Science Press.
- Lü, Shanshan 吕珊珊. 2020. A reference grammar of Caijia. An unclassified language of Guizhou, China. Paris: École des Hautes Études en Sciences Sociales. (Doctoral dissertation.)
- Ming, Chao Gui. 2000. Kunming Chinese. Munich: Lincom.
- Ming, Shengrong 明生荣. 2007. *Bijie fangyan yanjiu* 毕节方言研究. Peking: Zhongguo shehui kexue chubanshe.
- Wang, Feng 王锋. 2012. *Kunming xishan shalang baiyu yanjiu* 昆明西山沙朗白语研究. Peking: Zhongguo shehui kexue chubanshe.
- Xu, Lin 徐琳 & Zhao Yansun 赵衍荪. 1984. Baiyu jianzhi 白语简志. Peking: Minzu chubanshe.
- Zhang, Jimin 张济民 & Li Juewei 李珏伟. 1982. *Yuyan diaocha dagang: dafang longjiayu* 语言调查大纲: 大方龙家语. Guizhousheng minzu shiwuweiyuanhui 贵州省民族事务委员会 (Unpublished manuscript.)