Structure of a middle voice in Germanic high contact varieties

Lea Schäfer, Philipps University of Marburg Marc Brode, Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf Edgar Baumgärtner, European University Viadrina Frankfurt (Oder)

Keywords: voice, diathesis, language contact, language islands, morphological borrowing

The central principle of Uriel Weinreich's (1954) structuralist dialectology is the idea that diachronic processes of language change become visible as diffusion of varieties in space. Dialects as spatially bound varieties are thus the ideal test field to study change processes of natural (i.e. natively acquired) languages (cf. Moulton 1962:25). In addition, language islands are regarded as a special kind of historical linguistic "laboratory" (Schirmunski 1992: 113). On the one hand, they are detached from the dynamics of the source variety and preserve the developments of often more conservative structures for a longer period of time, and, on the other hand, they are more exposed to language contact situations and the associated language changes. In our talk, we will address the latter by examining contact-induced changes in the morphosyntax of Germanic high-contact varieties such as German language islands and Yiddish (for the first and only approach of comparing these varieties cf. Weinreich 1958).

While the Proto-Indo-European reflexive pronoun *s(u)e (Kulikov 2010:397) has been extended to a middle marker (MM) in many ide. languages like (1), the (older) Germanic languages have dismantled the reflexive pronoun and have not developed a MM. Only in Old High German the reflexive pronoun remained in 3.sg.acc. (Ottósson 2013). However, compared to e.g. slavic languages High German has developed only a rudimentary Middle Voice (MV) system, limited to only a few contexts (1c) (Steinbach 2002).

```
(1) a. rus. On utomil=sja
        he exhausted=MM
        'He grew weary' (Haiman 1983:796)
b. fr.
       Il s'=est
                      lassé
        he MM=is
                      exhausted
        'He grew weary'
               Buch
                      liest sich (leicht)
c. germ. Das
               book
                       reads MM (easily)
        the
        'The Book reads (easily)'
```

Germanic varieties standing in close contact with Slavic (2a–b) and Romance (3c) languages can express MV in more semantic domains (cf. Kemmer 1993) than in the source variety (German; Western Yiddish). Thus, a language contact influence can be assumed.

```
(2) a. Eastern Yid. der
                     fidl
                             hot
                                    zekh
                                           tsibrokhn
                      fiddle has
                                    MM
                                           broke
               'the violin broke'
b. Rus. Germ. die
                      bonga hat
                                    sich
                                           verschloje
                      jar
                             has
                                    MM
                                           broken
               'The jar broke'
c. Cimbrian
               Di
                      ulla
                                           vorprocht
                             izze=se
                      pot
                                           broken
               the
                             is=MM
               'The pot is broken' (Bidese & Turolla 2018:33)
```

Looking at selected high contact varieties we discuss how the borrowing of MM is structured, what the semantic, morphological or lexical conditions are and how the varieties differ.

References

- Bidese, Ermenegildo & Turolla, Claudia. 2018. Auxiliarselektion und Diatheseneffekte in reflexiven Konstruktionen: Evidenz vom Zimbrischen im Deutsch-Italienischen Vergleich" in Leiss, E., Zeman, S. (a cura di), Die Zukunft von Grammatik: die Grammatik der Zukunft, Tübingen: Stauffenburg, 19–49.
- Haiman, John. 1983. Iconic and economic motivation. Language 59:781–819.
- Kemmer, Suzanne. 1993. The middle voice (Typological Studies in Language). Amsterdam: Benjamins.
- Kulikov, Leonid. 2010. Bridging typology and diachrony: A preliminary questionnaire for a diachronic typological study of voice and valency-changing categories. In: Vydrin V.F., Dmitrenko S.Ju., Zaika N.M., Saj S.S., Sumbatova N.R., Xrakovskij V.S. (Ed..) *Problemy grammatiki i tipologii: Sbornik statej pamjati Vladimira Petroviča Nedjalkova* (1928–2009) [Issues in grammar and typology: A memorial volume for Vladimir Nedjalkov]. Moscow: Znak. 139–163.
- Moulton, William. 1962. Dialect geography and the concept of phonological space. Word 18, 23–32.
- Ottósson, Kjartan G. 2013. The anticausative and related categories in the Old Germanic languages. In Josephson, F. and I. Söhrman (Ed.), *Diachronic and typological perspectives on verbs*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins. 329–382.
- Schirmunski, Viktor. [1930] 1992. Sprachgeschichte und Siedlungsmundarten. In: Hutterer, Claus Jürgen (Ed.): Linguistische und ethnographische Studien: über die alten deutschen Siedlungen in der Ukraine, Russland und Transkaukasien. München: Südostdeutsches Kulturwerk, 112–134. [Original 1930: Germanisch-romanische Monatsschrift 18, 113–122, 171–188].
- Steinbach, Markus. 2002. *Middle Voice: A Comparative Study of the Syntax-Semantics Interface of German*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Weinreich, Uriel. 1954. Is a Structural Dialectology Possible? Word 10, 388–400.
- Weinreich, Uriel. 1958. Yiddish and Colonial German in Eastern Europe: The Differential Impact of Slavic. *American Contribution to the Fourth International Congress of Slavistics*, 369–421. (Slavistic Printings and Reprintings 21). Moscow: 'S-Gravenhage.
- Weinreich, Uriel. 1964. Western Traits in Transcarpathian Yiddish. In: Dawidowicz, Lucy/ Erlich, Alexander / Erlich, Rachel / Fishman, Joshua A. (Eds.): For Max Weinreich on his seventieth birthday: Studies in Jewish Languages, Literature, and society. Berlin: De Gruyter, 245–264.