

Changing modals, changing mores: Obligation in Australian English across real and apparent time

Catherine E. Travis, *The Australian National U* & Rena Torres Cacoullos, *The Pennsylvania State U*

The role of interpersonal authority is widely assumed to have diminished since the 1800s, however the locus of parallel linguistic change beyond lexis has been elusive (e.g., Hilpert 2020; Verhagen 2000). Quantitative variation patterns provide a way to trace language change, which proceeds through the rise of new expressions in conjunction with shifts in their conditioning in variation with existing expressions (Labov 1982:20; cf. Poplack & Malvar 2007; Szmrecsanyi 2016; Torres Cacoullos 2012). To gauge the role of social-cultural change in grammatical change we put forward variation patterns between competing forms expressing similar meanings, together with distributions of the data according to meaning nuances expressed. We focus on English modals of obligation, for which declines in *must* and *should* and increases in *have to*, *(have) got to* and *need to* (e.g., Collins 2014; Penry Williams & Korhonen 2020; Tagliamonte & D'Arcy 2007) have been linked to a move away from authoritative, external obligation towards more personal obligation (Myhill 1995).

We capitalise on three corpora of Australian English to assess linguistic and societal change over five generational groups: Elderly Australians (born early 1900s) from the *NSW Bicentennial Oral History Project* (1987); Adults (born 1930s) and Teenagers (born 1960s) from the *Sydney Social Dialect Survey* (Horvath 1985); and Adults (born 1960s) and Young Adults (born 1990s) recorded in the 2010s for the *Sydney Speaks* project (Travis 2016-2022). Of some 3,800 tokens of the five competing modals of obligation extracted, approximately half ($n=1,760$) occur within a variable context (Present tense, affirmative, declarative clauses) (Myhill 1995:165-166; Tagliamonte & D'Arcy 2007:60).

Consistent with prior studies, we observe a drop over time in *must* and to a lesser degree *should*, offset by an increase in *have to* and *(have) got to* (in particular, by working class men), and, most recently, *need to*. To assess change in the nature of obligation expressed, we establish a three-way meaning classification of source of obligation—that imposed by social hierarchy or norms (ex. (1)); that arising naturally from circumstances or as a logical consequence (ex. (2) and (3)); and that deriving from personal choice or opinion (ex. (4) and (5)). We find that the nature of the obligation expressed correlates with recency of the modal, for example, *have to* is favoured for obligation arising from circumstances, while the newest grammaticalizing form, *need to*, is favoured for personal choice.

- (1) Beatrice: *You must put your pencil down.* ((instructor to student)) [Bent_AEF_158]
- (2) Sophia: *but she has to do it,
because she has to support her family.* [SydS_AYF_035]
- (3) Graham: *like when you just can't get petrol. and I've got to spend,
half the day running from place to place, getting a bit of petrol.* [SSDS_AAM_501]
- (4) Flynn: *I should get fit again.* [SydS_CYM_051]
- (5) Max: *I don't feel like I n- need to be in a relationship generally anyway.* [SydS_AYM_012]

Tracking distributions of the data according to meaning expressed reveals a change in the role of interpersonal authority. For human specific subjects ($n=703$), we identify shifts in the relative frequency of hierarchy/social norms (which decrease) and personal obligation contexts (which increase) in real time (across corpora) and apparent time (across older and younger cohorts within the 2010s corpora). This change, furthermore, is observed most clearly among women. By pairing variation patterns between competing forms with contextual distributions of the data according to meaning nuances we discern an association between a change in *modal forms* with a change in the *nature of obligation expressed*, and thus provide evidence that the linguistic change observed is concomitant with societal change.

References

- Collins, Peter. 2014. Quasi-modals and modals in Australian English fiction 1900-1999, with comparisons across British and American English. *Journal of English Linguistics* 42(1): 7-30.
- Hilpert, Martin. 2020. The great temptation: What diachronic corpora do and do not reveal about social change. In Paula Rautioaho, Arja Nurmi and Juhani Klemola (eds), *Corpora and the changing society: Studies in the evolution of English*, 3-27. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Horvath, Barbara. 1985. *Variation in Australian English: The sociolects of Sydney*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Labov, William. 1982. Building on empirical foundations. In Winfred P. Lehmann and Yakov Malkiel (eds), *Perspectives on Historical Linguistics*, 17-92. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Myhill, John. 1995. Change and continuity in the functions of the American English modals. *Linguistics* 33: 157-211.
- NSW Bicentennial Oral History Project. 1987. *NSW Bicentennial oral history collection*. Council on the Ageing NSW Branch and NSW Oral History Association of Australia, housed at the National Library of Australia.
- Penry Williams, Cara and Minna Korhonen. 2020. A sociolinguistic perspective on the (quasi-)modals of obligation and necessity in Australian English. *English World-Wide* 41(3): 267-294.
- Poplack, Shana and Elisabete Malvar. 2007. Elucidating the transition period in linguistic change: The expression of the future in Brazilian Portuguese. *Probus* 19: 121-169.
- Szmrecsanyi, Benedikt. 2016. About text frequencies in historical linguistics: Disentangling environmental and grammatical change. *Corpus Linguistics and Linguistic Theory* 12(1): 153-171. doi.org/10.1515/cllt-2015-0068
- Tagliamonte, Sali and Alexandra D'Arcy. 2007. The modals of obligation/necessity in Canadian perspective. *English World-Wide* 28(1): 47-87.
- Torres Cacoullos, Rena. 2012. Grammaticalization through inherent variability: The development of a progressive in Spanish. *Studies in Language* 36(1): 73-122.
- Travis, Catherine E. 2016-2022. *Sydney Speaks*. Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence for the Dynamics of Language, Australian National University: <http://www.dynamicsoflanguage.edu.au/sydney-speaks/>.
- Verhagen, Arie. 2000. Interpreting usage: Construing the history of Dutch causal verbs. In Michael Barlow and Suzanne Kemmer (eds), *Usage-based models of language*, 261-286. Stanford University, Palo Alto: CSLI Publications.