Reconstructing negation in a language isolate: Jespersen's Cycle(s) and grammaticalization in Basque

Borja Ariztimuño & Iker Salaberri (University of the Basque Country)

Keywords: <morphosyntax, negation, Basque, grammaticalization, Jespersen's Cycle(s)>

In recent decades much of the research on Basque historical linguistics has focused on reconstructing specific features of the proto-language, mostly within the fields of phonology and morphology (Hualde 1997; Manterola 2009; Lakarra 2011; Martínez-Areta (ed.) 2013; Igartua 2015; Aldai 2020). As a result of this trend, the reconstruction of some areas of grammar, particularly pertaining to morphosyntax, has been neglected (with two important exceptions: Mounole (2014) and Krajewska (2017)). This is is the case of negation, which is nevertheless a complex and well-documented phenomenon in historical Basque and thus promises to be a fruitful field of study.

Early documented stages of Basque portray an intricate picture concerning the expression of negation. First of all, two -ke-suffixes can be observed in 16th- and 17th-century texts: one of them (-ke¹, together with its variants -ge, -ga, -ka) appears as a fossilized caritive/privative-like marker attached to lexical roots belonging to different categories, as in ukuzka 'dirty' (from ukuz(i) 'to wash') (Anonymous 1996 [1596]: 380) and ahalge 'shame, shy' (from ahal 'ability, power') (Oihenart 2003 [1657]: 93), whereas the other one $(-ke^2)$ adheres to finite verb forms so as to encode future events (among other uses), as in Ordu har-tan ixil dau-que triste veghatori-a hour that-LOC silent be-ke sad sinner-DEF 'Sinners shall be sadly silent at that hour' (Etxepare 1980 [1545]: 54). Lakarra (p. c.) traces -ke¹ back to a reconstructed verb form *gen 'to lack, not have, take away, deprive' (cf. present-day ken-du 'to take away'), and we assume -ke1 and -ke2 to be etymologically related. However, the exact way in which both suffixes may have grammaticalized from the same source remains unexplained. Typological parallels of negation particles coming from a verb meaning 'lack' are found in Bemba, Chinese, Fulfulde and Makwe (Kuteva et al. 2019); indirect evidence for this development also stems from the fact that the original caritive meaning of -ke1 has been preserved in the adverb bage 'without' (secondary variants gabe, baga), usually reconstructed as *badV- 'one' + -ge¹ (Mitxelena 1961: 335). Second of all, two similar but apparently unrelated words coexist in early Basque: the reconstructed form *eze that is believed to underlie the historical standard negation markers ez (cross-dialectal) and ze (which only occurs in Old Western dialects, in subjunctive and imperative constructions), and the polarity item ezer 'anything'.

In view of these data, and based on an initial proposal by Ariztimuño (2016), this paper aims to reconstruct the prehistory of Basque negation(s) by drawing on a number of well-known generalizations concerning processes of change in the languages of the world. The reconstruction rests on two assumptions: (a) $-ke^1$ and $-ke^2$ should be traced back to a single morpheme, and (b) these forms participate in the evolution of negator ez. The proposed path of change involves the following stages:

Stage #1: caritive $-ke^1$ first shifts into a lexical negator (cf. English un-, dis-), then into a sentential negator (cf. English not) and, due to a change in contextual implicatures, it subsequently shifts into an aspectual negator (cf. English not yet) – a parallel of this development involves Early Middle Chinese $w \ge i$ (Meisterernst 2020);

Stage #2: sentential negator uses of $-ke^1$ (cf. Stage #1), which follow the verb, become bleached and eventually reinforced in preverbal position by the aforementioned element *eze(r) ('thing'?), in line with Jespersen's Cycle(s) (Jerspersen 1917);

Stage #3: $-ke^1$ continues to undergo stages in the grammaticalization path; as the result of previous semantic bleaching, extension in use and the emergence of further contextual implicatures, it moves away from a negative meaning and comes to mean '(perhaps) later', after which it shifts into a future marker (that is: from 'not yet' to 'in the future (yes)', i.e., $-ke^2$).

This chain of stages (#1-3) is conceived here as the sole means to account for the aforementioned scenario observed in Basque from the 16th century onwards. Therefore, this typologically informed reconstruction opens a new path in describing the prehistory and early history of Basque negation. In addition, it presents a methodology for reconstructing unattested morphosyntactic changes in a language isolate, where the lack of relatives implies an impossibility to reconstruct by drawing on the Comparative Method.

References

- Aldai, Gontzal. 2020. Complex predicates, simple inflecting verbs, and "uninflecting verbs" in Pre-Basque. *Linguistics* 58(6). 1609–1658.
- Anonymous. 1996 [1596]. *Refranes y sentencias (1596): ikerketak eta edizioa* (ed. by Joseba A. Lakarra). Bilbo/Bilbao: Euskaltzaindia.
- Ariztimuño, Borja. 2016. Euskal ezeztapena(k) 'Jespersen Zikloen' argitan [Basque negation(s) in light of Jespersen's Cycles]. Talk held at the *Monumenta Linguae Vasconumen mintegi irekiak* conference, University of the Basque Country, Vitoria-Gasteiz, 05/12/2016.
- Etxepare, Bernard. 1980 [1545]. *Linguae vasconum primitiae* (ed. by Patxi Altuna). Bilbo/Bilbao: Euskaltzaindia, Ediciones Mensajero.
- Hualde, José I. 1997. Aitzineuskararen leherkariak [Proto-Basque plosives]. *International Journal of Basque Linguistics and Philology* [ASJU] 31(2). 411–424.
- Igartua, Iván. 2015. Diachronic effects of rhinoglottophilia, symmetries in sound change, and the curious case of Basque. *Studies in Language* 39(3). 635–663.
- Jespersen, Otto. 1917. Negation in English and other languages. Copenhagen: A. F. Høst & Søn.
- Krajewska, Dorota. 2017. *Euskararen sintaxi diakronikorantz: egitura konplexuak* [Towards a historical syntax of Basque: complex constructions]. Vitoria-Gasteiz: University of the Basque Country PhD dissertation.
- Kuteva, Tania, Bernd Heine, Bo Hong, Haiping Long, Heiko Narrog & Seongha Rhee. 2019. *World lexicon of grammaticalization* (2nd edition). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Lakarra, Joseba A. 2011. Erro monosilabikoaren teoria eta aitzineuskararen berreraiketa: zenbait alderdi eta ondorio [Monosyllabic root theory and Proto-Basque reconstruction: some aspects and conclusions]. *Fontes Linguae Vasconum: Studia et Documenta* 113. 5–114.
- Manterola, Julen. 2009. Erakusleak berreraikitzen: arazoaren beste alderdi bat [Reconstructing demonstratives: another side to the problem]. *Lapurdum* 13. 261–275.
- Martínez-Areta, Mikel (ed.). 2013. Basque and Proto-Basque: language-internal and typological approaches to linguistic reconstruction. Frankfurt am Main: Peter Lang.
- Meisterernst, Barbara. 2020. Possibility and necessity and the scope of negation in Early Middle Chinese. *International Journal of Chinese Linguistics* 7(1). 1–44.
- Mitxelena, Koldo. 1961. Fonética histórica vasca. Donostia/San Sebastián: Gipuzkoan Provincial Council.
- Mounole, Céline. 2014. Le verbe basque ancien: étude philologique et diachronique. *International Journal of Basque Linguistics and Philology* [ASJU] 48 (1/2). 1–506.
- Oihenart, Arnaud. 2003 [1657]. *Euskal atsotitzak eta neurtitzak* [Basque proverbs and poems] (ed. by Patxi Altuna and Jose A. Mujika). Bilbo/Bilbao: Euskaltzaindia.