

Kersti Börjars, St Catherine's College, Oxford  
Tine Breban, University of Manchester  
Roxanne Taylor, University of Manchester

The so-called genitive alternation of present-day English (1) has been a major focus in linguistic research (amongst others, Rosenbach 2002; Rosenbach 2005; Feist 2012; O'Connor, Maling & Skarabela 2013; Grafmiller 2014; Hinrichs & Szmrecsanyi 2014; Payne & Berlage 2014; Heller, Szmrecsanyi & Grafmiller 2017).

- This paper examines the extent and nature of alternations with genitive morphology in Old English. The consensus in the literature is that the genitive alternation begins to be relevant in the history of English in the late Middle English period, once *of* has begun to be used extensively as a marker of possessive relations (Rosenbach 2002: 177–178; Allen 2008; Anderson 2016; Ceolin 2021). We argue that data from the *York-Toronto-Helsinki Parsed Corpus of Old English Prose* (YCOE) demonstrate that contrary to widely-held assumptions, there is alternation between the morphological genitive and prepositional phrases headed by *of* in the Old English period. In (2a), the subset relation is marked by an *of*-phrase, whilst in (2b) the same relation is marked by the genitive pronoun, *heora*.

- Based on a data set that includes all examples of adnominal *of* in YCOE, we propose that variation with the genitive case exists in the expression of a limited range of semantic relations: subsets, origins, and some arguments in eventive noun phrases. Some of these relations are precisely those excluded from the variation at later stages, like subset relations. In addition to delineating the alternation, we also conduct an investigation into the factors that favour either realisation, focusing on the nature of the NPs complementing *of* in our data set.

A more detailed examination of a second data set of eventive noun phrases, however, indicates that *of* is not unique amongst prepositions in alternating with the genitive in Old English. Other prepositions including *be*, *on*, and *to* also alternate with genitive morphology. A set of eventive noun phrases featuring varied forms of argument realisation shows that the alternation is motivated by specific semantic relations that can be expressed by both genitive morphology and by a range of different prepositions. Moreover, *of* in Old English appears to have no special status amongst prepositions: it is at this stage a lexical preposition yet nevertheless alternates with the genitive. We are therefore able to show the semantic basis for this genitive alternation in Old English, which also involves a wider set of forms, namely, the various genitive morphemes and a wider range of prepositions.

Variation is more widespread than has previously been considered, both in its diachronic longevity and in the size of the envelope of variation.

## References

- Allen, Cynthia L. 2008. *Genitives in early English: Typology and evidence*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Anderson, Salena Sampson. 2013. Genitive Variation in Old English Verse with Special Attention to *Beowulf*. *English Studies* 94(8). 845–871.
- Ceolin, Andrea. 2021. Constraints on Old English genitive variation. *Journal of Historical syntax: Proceedings of the 20th Diachronic Generative Syntax (DiGS) conference*, 5. 1–35.
- Feist, Jim. 2012. What controls the genitive variation in present-day English? *Studies in Language* 36(2). 261–299.
- Grafmiller, Jason. 2014. Variation in English genitives accross modality and genres. *English Language and Linguistics* 18(3). 471–496.
- Heller, Benedikt, Benedikt Szmrecsanyi, and Jason Grafmiller. 2017. Stability and Fluidity in Syntactic Variation World-Wide: The Genitive Alternation Across Varieties of English. *Journal of English Linguistics* 45(1). 3–27.
- Hinrichs, Lars, and Bendikt Szmrecsanyi. 2007. Recent Changes in the Function and Frequency of Standard English Genitive Constructions: a Multivariate Analysis of Tagged Corpora. *English Language and Linguistics* 11(3). 437–474.
- O'Connor, Catherine, Joan Maling, and Barbora Skarabela. 2013. Nominal Categories and the Expression of Possession. In *Morphosyntactic Categories and the Expression of Possession*, edited by Kersti Börjars, David Denison and Alan Scott, 89–122. Amsterdam: John Benjamins Publishing Company.
- Payne, John & Berlage, Eva. 2014. Special Issue: New dimensions in genitive variation. *English Language and Linguistics*, 18(2).
- Rosenbach, Anette. 2002. *Genitive variation in English: Conceptual Factors in Synchronic and Diachronic Studies*. Berlin; New York: Mouton de Gruyter, 2002.
- Rosenbach, Annette. 2005. Animacy Versus Weight as Determinants of Grammatical Variation in English. *Language* 81(3). 613–644.
- Taylor, Ann, Anthony Warner, Susan Pintzuk & Frank Beths. 2003. *The York-Toronto-Helsinki Parsed Corpus of Old English Prose*. Available from the Oxford Text Archive.