

Assessment Brief - Coursework

Academic Year	2024-25
Semester	1 (One Semester)
Module Number	CM1603
Module Title	Database Systems
Assessment Method	Coursework (Individual)
Deadline (time and date)	16 th December 2024 at 11.30 pm (IST)
	Assessment Dropbox in the Module Study
Submission	Area in CampusMoodle.
Sabilission	1. Database Report
	2. SQL Script
Word Limit	Not Applicable
Use of Generative Artificial Intelligence (AI) text	IS NOT authorised.
Module Co-ordinator	Dileeka Alwis

What knowledge and/or skills will I develop by undertaking the assessment?

Describe the knowledge and/or skills that students will develop by undertaking the assessment.

Develop an understanding of the principal theories, concepts and methods used in creating a Relational Database Management System (RDBMS). Specifically, you will be able to:

- analyse a given case study and identify the requirements to create a RDBMS.
- apply conceptual database design techniques to create an Enhanced Entity Relationship Diagram (EERD) with suitable entities, relationships, attributes, and multiplicities.
- apply database modelling techniques to convert the Conceptual Data Model (EERD) into the Logical Data Model (Relational Schema) with suitable integrity constraints.
- use MySQL with phpMyAdmin dashboard to implement and test a relational database using Structured Query Language (SQL).
- write SQL queries to create and manipulate data in the relational database according to the requirements and constraints of the given case study.

What knowledge and/or skills will I develop by undertaking the assessment?

On successful completion of the assessment students will be able to achieve the following Learning Outcomes:

- 1. Describe and evaluate underlying theory and principles of relational database management system (RDBMS).
- 2. Analyse and apply database design and modelling methods for a given business case study.
- 3. Use SQL as a data definition and data manipulation language and to query a relational database.
- 4. Implement and test relational database using a query language with a suitable interface.

Please also refer to the Module Descriptor, available from the module Moodle study area.

What is expected of me in this assessment?

Task(s) – Content

Case Study: Hospital Management System

Golden Valley Hospital (GVH) is a premier healthcare institution that offers comprehensive services to patients, aimed at enhancing the quality of healthcare delivery and administrative efficiency. GVH maintains detailed records for both in-patients and out-patients, ensuring effective patient care management and facilitating seamless appointment scheduling.

In-patients are assigned to specific rooms within designated wards. GVH records both the date and time of their admission and discharge, along with the details of their designated guardian. Each room is identified by a unique room number, and room type (e.g., standard, deluxe). Wards are identified by unique numbers, classified by type (e.g., General, ICU, Surgical, Maternity), and are designed to accommodate a specific number of patients. In-patients may be treated by multiple doctors during their stay, depending on their condition and treatment requirements. The medical care (treatment) provided during their stay must be recorded, including details like diagnosed medical condition, the type of treatment administered (e.g., medication, surgery), prescribed medications, and their dosage.

Out-patients can schedule appointments with doctors to receive medical consultations. Each appointment is assigned a unique number and is scheduled with a specific doctor for a particular date and time. Doctor profiles include essential information such as their specialization (e.g., Dermatology, Orthopaedics), classification indicating whether they are a resident (in-house) or a consultant (visiting), and the date they joined with GVH. Some doctors are available for both in-patient care and out-patient

What is expected of me in this assessment?

consultation. Patients can make payments using cash, card, or insurance, and GVH tracks the details of all payments made by patients.

You have been appointed as a Database Management Architect by Golden Valley Hospital to develop the database for the Hospital Management System. Your primary objective is to design a high-quality **Conceptual Data Model**, and a **Logical Data Model** tailored for the specified Relational Database Management System (RDBMS). Additionally, you are tasked with developing the **Physical Data Model** by creating appropriate SQL queries using MySQL.

An executive report should be provided to justify your database design along with the underlying assumptions made during the process. The report should also include the relevant SQL queries utilized in the development of the database, accompanied by high-quality screenshots as evidence of your work.

Task(s) - Format

Your submission should include the following:

- 1) Database Report
- 2) SQL Script

Database Report

This should be a PDF that includes:

- a cover sheet that contains RGU and IIT Student ID, student name, module code and module title.
- table of contents, list of figures, list of tables etc.
- section 1: Extended Entity Relationship Diagram (EERD)
- section 2: Relational Schema Diagram
- section 3: Data Normalization (up to 3NF)
- section 4: Table Creation and Population of data
- section 5: Data Manipulation with SQL
- reference list

Section 1: Conceptual Entity Relationship Diagram (Extended ERD)

Provide an accurate Extended ERD with suitable entities, relationships, attributes, and multiplicity constraints with suitable assumptions. You need to identify additional attributes for each entity.

Note that your diagram must include the given requirements, but you are allowed to add new requirements as they do not alter the case study's scope.

What is expected of me in this assessment?

The diagram needs to fit into a single page of the report while maintaining the clarity. Mention all the relevant assumptions you have made.

This section will be evaluated based on the following criteria:

- Listing of suitable assumptions
- Identification of suitable entities
- Identification of suitable key and non-key attributes
- Identification of meaningful relationships between entities
- Identification of suitable multiplicity constraints
- Design of a complete EERD

Section 2: Logical ERD (Relational Schema)

Provide an accurate Relational Schema Diagram for the above EERD with all the relations, primary keys, foreign keys, and other attributes. This needs to fit into one page of the report. Mention all the appropriate assumptions you have made.

This section will be evaluated based on the following:

- Identification of the appropriate relations
- Identification of the correct primary keys, foreign keys, and non-key attributes
- Design a complete Relational Schema Diagram

Section 3: Data Normalization (up to 3NF)

Provide a comprehensive explanation and justification of the data normalization process for all relations identified from the provided relational schema. For each relation, clearly identify the functional dependencies at each stage of normalization and demonstrate the steps taken to achieve Third Normal Form (3NF). Ensure that you justify your normalization decisions, and all functional dependencies are resolved to minimize redundancy and prevent anomalies. Additionally, mention any relevant assumptions made during the process.

This section will be evaluated based on the following criteria:

- Identification and resolution of functional dependencies.
- Justification of normalization decisions with normalization principles.
- Identification of the correct primary keys, foreign keys and non-key attributes.

Section 4: Table Creation and Population of Data

Provide the SQL queries used to implement the above relational schema in MySQL. Each table should consist of appropriate table names, field names, data types, field sizes, and constraints (if applicable). It is required to submit clear screenshots as evidence of all the SQL queries used for table creation, as well as the specification of each column within the tables after their creation in the database.

Provide the Database Diagram generated by the system by indicating all the tables, their attributes, and relationships clearly.

What is expected of me in this assessment?

Furthermore, insert at least 5 meaningful sample data into each table. Note that the sample data in each table must satisfy the requirements given in Section 4. For each table created, provide clear screenshot evidence displaying the entered sample data.

This section will be evaluated based on the following:

- Use of appropriate table names and field names
- Use of appropriate data types and field sizes
- Use of appropriate constraints
- Writing accurate DDL Queries for table creation
- Presentation of a clear Database Diagram
- Insertion of meaningful sample data for each table

Section 5: Data Manipulation with SQL

Generate suitable SQL queries to perform the below tasks. The screenshot evidence of each SQL query and the respective output should be included in the report clearly. Make sure to include suitable field names to display a meaningful output to the user.

- a) Display the names and specializations of all resident Cardiologists and Neurologists who joined GVH before 2022 and are available for outpatient consultations. The data should be arranged with the most recently joined doctors listed first.
- b) Display the names of the wards with deluxe rooms along with their remaining patient availability, specifically for those wards that currently accommodate more than five patients.
- c) Calculate the total cash payment received for all out-patient appointments conducted by Paediatricians with the surnames 'Peterson' and 'Fedrick' within the last 10 days.

This section will be evaluated based on the following criteria:

- Creation of error free DML queries
- Production of accurate result sets with meaningful sample data

SQL Script

It should be simple text document (eg: .txt) which includes all the SQL queries used to:

- table creation (section 4)
- population of data (section 4)
- data manipulation (section 5)

Note that you need to copy and paste all the original SQL queries used to create the database and manipulate data.

Also note that the marks will be deducted if the DB backup file is not submitted.

How will I be graded?

A number of subgrades will be provided for each criterion on the feedback grid which is specific to the assessment.

The overall grade for the assessment will be calculated using the algorithm below.

A	At least 50% of the subgrades to be at Grade A, at least 80% of the subgrades to be at Grade B or better, and normally 100% of the subgrades to be at Grade C or better.
В	At least 50% of the subgrades to be at Grade B or better, at least 80% of the subgrades to be at Grade C or better, and normally 100% of the subgrades to be at Grade D or better.
С	At least 50% of the subgrades to be at Grade C or better, and at least 80% of the subgrades to be at Grade D or better.
D	At least 50% of the subgrades to be at Grade D or better, and at least 80% of the subgrades to be at Grade E or better.
E	At least 50% of the subgrades to be at Grade E or better.
F	Failing to achieve at least 50% of the subgrades to be at Grade E or better.
NS	Non-submission.



Feedback grid

GRADE	A	В	С	D	E	F
DEFINITION /	EXCELLENT	COMMENDABLE/VERY GOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	BORDERLINE FAIL	UNSATISFACTORY
CRITERIA	Outstanding	Meritorious	Highly Competent	Competent		Fail
(WEIGHTING)	Performance	Performance	Performance	Performance		
	An excellent EERD has	A very good EERD has been	A good EERD has been	A reasonable EERD has	An approximately	No ERD has been
Conceptual	been produced. All	produced. Relevant entities	produced. Number of	been produced. 3 or 4	reasonable ERD has been	produced or a
Entity	relevant entities are	are correctly identified.	relevant entities are	relevant entities are	produced. 2 or 3 relevant	semantically poor ERD
Relationship	correctly identified. Most	Relevant key and non-key	correctly identified.	identified. 2 or 3	entities are identified. 1 or	with inappropriate and/or
Diagram (EERD)	relevant key and non-key	attributes are correctly	Number of relevant key	reasonable key and non-	3 approximately	incorrect entities and/or
Diagram (LLND)	attributes are correctly	identified for each entity.	and non-key attributes	key attributes are	reasonable key and non-	relationships and/or key
(Weight 2)	identified for each entity.	Relevant relationships and	are correctly identified for	identified for each entity.	key attributes are	and non-key attributes
(vveigiti 2)	All relevant relationships	multiplicities are correctly	each entity. Number of	2 or 3 reasonable	identified for each entity.	and/or multiplicities.
	and multiplicities are	identified. Clarity, formatting,	relevant relationships and	relationships and	1 or 2 approximately	Clarity, formatting, and
	correctly identified.	and structure of the complete	multiplicities are correctly	multiplicities are	reasonable relationships	structure of the complete
	Clarity, formatting, and	EERD is very good.	identified. Clarity,	identified. Clarity,	and multiplicities are	ERD is semantically poor.
	structure of the complete	Appropriate assumptions are	formatting, and structure	formatting, and structure	identified. Clarity,	Appropriate assumptions
	EERD is excellent. Most	identified.	of the complete EERD is	of the complete EERD is	formatting, and structure	need to be identified.
	appropriate assumptions		good. Number of	reasonable. 2 or 3	of the complete ERD need	
	are identified.		appropriate assumptions	reasonable assumptions	to be improved. 1 or 2	
			are identified.	are identified.	approximately reasonable	
					assumptions are	
					identified.	
	An excellent Relational	A very good Relational	A good Relational Schema	A reasonable Relational	An approximately	No Relational Schema
Logical ERD	Schema Diagram has	O	Diagram has been	Schema Diagram has	reasonable Logical	Diagram has been
(Relational	been produced. All	produced. Relevant relations	produced. Number of	been produced. 3 or 4	Relational Schema	produced or a
Schema	relevant relations are	are correctly identified.	relevant relations are	relevant relations are	Diagram has been	semantically poor
Diagram)	correctly identified. Most	Relevant non-key attributes,	correctly identified.	identified. 2 or 3	produced. 2 or 3 relevant	diagram with
	relevant non-key	primary key and foreign keys	Number of relevant non-	reasonable non-key	relations are identified. 1	inappropriate and/or
(Weight 1)	attributes, primary key	_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	key attributes, primary	attributes, primary key	or 3 approximately	incorrect relations and/or
	and foreign keys (if		key and foreign keys (if	and foreign keys (if	reasonable non-key	relationships and/or non-
	applicable) are correctly	·	applicable) are correctly	applicable) are correctly	attributes, primary key	key attributes and/or
	identified for each	multiplicities are correctly	identified for each	identified for each	and foreign keys (if	primary key and/or

GRADE	Α	В	С	D	E	F
DEFINITION /	EXCELLENT	COMMENDABLE/VERY GOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	BORDERLINE FAIL	UNSATISFACTORY
CRITERIA	Outstanding	Meritorious	Highly Competent	Competent		Fail
(WEIGHTING)	Performance	Performance	Performance	Performance		
	relation. All the	mapped. Clarity, formatting,	relation. Number of	relation. 2 or 3 reasonable	• •	multiplicities and/or
	relationships and	and structure of the work is	relevant relationships and	·		foreign keys (if
	multiplicities are correctly	very good.	multiplicities are correctly		approximately reasonable	
	mapped. Clarity,		mapped. Clarity,	Clarity, formatting, and	·	formatting, and structure
	formatting, and structure		O,	structure of the work is		of the complete work is
	of the work is excellent.		of the work is good.	reasonable.	Clarity, formatting, and	semantically poor.
					structure of the work	
					need to be improved.	
		A very good justification of	A good justification of	!	An insufficient	Very little or no
		data normalization has been	data normalization has	justifying data	,	justification of data
		provided. The design	been provided. The	normalization has been		normalization has been
	design demonstrates a	demonstrates a solid	design shows an	made. The design		provided. The design lacks
	9	understanding of	adequate understanding	demonstrates a limited		a clear understanding of
	of normalization	normalization principles, with	1	understanding of	S	normalization principles,
I .	principles, with all	most relations normalized up	some relations are	normalization principles,	normalization principles,	with relations not
		to 3NF. While functional	normalized up to 3NF.	with only a few relations	with most relations not	reaching 3NF and
	to 3NF. Functional	dependencies have been	Functional dependencies	normalized up to 3NF.	•	functional dependencies not addressed.
	•	addressed, there are minor	are mostly correct, but there are several areas	Functional dependencies are not consistently		Redundancy and
		instances of redundancy or potential anomalies that		addressed, leading to		anomalies are prevalent
I .	redundancy and	could be improved.	where redundancy or anomalies may occur.	redundancy and potential	<u>o</u> .	throughout. Substantial
	prevention of anomalies.	Could be improved.	More attention to detail	anomalies. Significant	S	work is required to meet
	prevention of anomalies.		and further refinement	improvements are	_	the basic standards of
			would enhance the	needed in understanding	stronger focus on	normalization and
			normalization process.	and applying	normalization concepts is	
			mormanzación process.	normalization principles.	required.	database design.
	An excellent script of SQL	A very good script of SQL	A good script of SQL	A reasonable script of SQL	•	A semantically poor
	codes with relevant	codes with relevant				and/or inappropriate
	comments has been	comments has been	been produced to create	to create and populate		and/or incorrect and/or
-		produced to create and	and populate the tables.		to create and populate	no script of SQL codes has
	!	populate all the tables.	Number of relevant data			been produced to create
	1	Relevant data types, field sizes		constraints are applied.		and populate the tables.
, ,	sizes and constraints are	and constraints are correctly	constraints are correctly	Reasonable sample data	3 .	Applied data types and
	correctly applied. Mostly	applied. Relevant and	applied. Relevant and	has been entered to 2 or	sample data has been	field sizes are irrelevant.

GRADE	A	В	С	D	E	F
DEFINITION /	EXCELLENT	COMMENDABLE/VERY GOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	BORDERLINE FAIL	UNSATISFACTORY
CRITERIA	Outstanding	Meritorious	Highly Competent	Competent		Fail
(WEIGHTING)	Performance	Performance	Performance	Performance		
	relevant and meaningful sample data has been entered to each table which satisfy the table constraints. All the screenshot evidence and the DB diagram are included in the report. Clarity, formatting, and structure of the work is excellent. *** Cannot award if the SQL Script file is not	been entered to each table which satisfy the table constraints. Relevant screenshot evidence and the DB diagram are included in the report. Clarity, formatting, and structure of the work is very good. **** Cannot award if the SQL	meaningful sample data has been entered to number of tables which satisfy the table constraints. Number of relevant screenshot evidence and the DB diagram are included in the report. Clarity, formatting, and structure of the work is good. *** Cannot award if the SQL Script file is not	4 tables which satisfy the table constraints. Reasonable number of screenshot evidence and the DB diagram are included in the report. Clarity, formatting, and structure of the work is reasonable.	DB diagram is included in the report. Clarity,	table constraints. No
Data Manipulation with SQL (Weight 1)	submitted	A very good script of SQL codes with relevant conditions has been produced to generate the correct resultset. All the screenshot evidence is included in the report. Clarity, formatting, and structure of the query result-set is very good. *** Cannot award if the SQL Script file is not submitted	A good script of SQL codes with conditions has been produced to generate the result-set. Relevant screenshot evidence is included in the report. Clarity, formatting, and structure of the query result-set is good. *** Cannot award if the SQL Script file is not submitted	· '	reasonable script of SQL	A semantically poor and/or inappropriate and/or incorrect and/or no script of SQL codes has been produced to generate the correct result-set. No screenshot evidence is included in the report. Clarity, formatting, and structure of the query result-set is semantically poor.

GRADE	A	В	С	D	E	F
DEFINITION /	EXCELLENT	COMMENDABLE/VERY GOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	BORDERLINE FAIL	UNSATISFACTORY
CRITERIA	Outstanding	Meritorious	Highly Competent	Competent		Fail
(WEIGHTING)	Performance	Performance	Performance	Performance		
Knowledge, Awareness, and Preparation for the demonstration (Weight 2)	justification for the use of appropriate methods and techniques. On-time	justification for the use of appropriate methods and techniques. On-time participation. Very good preparation for the	and concepts. Good justification for the use of certain methods and techniques. On-time participation. Good preparation for the demonstration.	A reasonable knowledge and awareness of the theories and concepts. Reasonable justification for the use of certain methods and techniques. Late participation for the demonstration / less preparation for the demonstration / failed to handle the issues during the demonstrations.	preparation for the demonstration / failed to handle the issues during the demonstrations.	A semantically poor knowledge and awareness of the theories and concepts. Very poor justification for the use of certain methods and techniques. Late participation for the demonstration / very poor preparation for the demonstration / failed to handle the issues during the demonstrations / failed to execute any SQL query.
Ability to create an error free DML query for a given requirement (Weight 2)	of an accurate SQL query with relevant logics and conditions. Accurate result-set has been	relevant logics and conditions. Partially accurate result-set has been produced. Very good justification for the	Partial implementation of a SQL query with few logics and conditions. Generates an incorrect / irrelevant result-set. Good justification for appropriate logics and conditions.		Poor attempt to implement a SQL query. Query execution generates errors. Failed to generate a result-set. Poor justification for appropriate logics and conditions.	No attempt to implement a SQL query. Very poor justification for appropriate logics and conditions.

Coursework received late, will be regarded as a non-submission (NS) and one of your assessment opportunities will be lost.



What else is important to my assessment?

What is the Assessment Word Limit Statement?

It is important that you adhere to the Word Limit specified above. The Assessment Word Limit Statement can be found in Appendix 2 of the <u>RGU Assessment Policy</u>. It provides detail on the purpose, setting and implementation of wordage limits; lists what is included and excluded from the word count; and the penalty for exceeding the word count.

What's included in the word count?

The table below lists the constituent parts which are included and excluded from the word limit of a Coursework; more detail can be found in the full Assessment Word Limit Statement. Images will not be allowed as a mechanism to circumvent the word count.

Excluded	Included
Cover or Title Page	Main Text e.g. Introduction, Literature Review, Methodology, Results, Discussion, Analysis, Conclusions, and Recommendations
Executive Summary (Reports) or Abstract	Headings and subheadings
Contents Page	In-text citations
List of Abbreviations and/or List of Acronyms	Footnotes (relating to in-text footnote numbers)
List of Tables and/or List of Figures	Quotes and quotations written within ""
Tables – mainly numeric content	Tables – mainly text content
Figures	
Reference List and/or Bibliography	
Appendices	
Glossary	

What are the penalties?

The grade for the submission will be reduced to the next lowest grade if:

- The word count of submitted work is above the specified word limit by more than 10%.
- The submission contains an excessive use of text within Tables or Footnotes.

What else is important to my assessment?

What is plagiarism?

Plagiarism is "the practice of presenting the thoughts, writings or other output of another or others as original, without acknowledgement of their source(s) at the point of their use in the student's work. All materials including text, data, diagrams or other illustrations used to support a piece of work, whether from a printed publication or from electronic media, should be appropriately identified and referenced and should not normally be copied directly unless as an acknowledged quotation. Text, opinions or ideas translated into the words of the individual student should in all cases acknowledge the original source" (RGU 2022).

What is collusion?

"Collusion is defined as two or more people working together with the intention of deceiving another. Within the academic environment this can occur when students work with others on an assignment, or part of an assignment, that is intended to be completed separately" (RGU 2022).

For further information please see <u>Academic Integrity</u>.

What if I'm unable to submit?

- The University operates a <u>Fit to Sit Policy</u> which means that if you undertake an assessment then you are declaring yourself well enough to do so.
- If you require an extension, you should complete and submit a <u>Coursework Extension Form</u>. This form is available on the RGU <u>Student and Applicant Forms</u> page.
- Further support is available from your Course Leader.

What additional support is available?

- RGU Study Skills provide advice and guidance on academic writing, study skills, maths and statistics and basic IT.
- RGU Library guidance on referencing and citing.
- The Inclusion Centre: Disability & Dyslexia.
- Your Module Coordinator, Course Leader and designated Personal Tutor can also provide support.

What are the University rules on assessment?

The University Regulation 'A4: Assessment and Recommendations of Assessment Boards' sets out important information about assessment and how it is conducted across the University.