


How to Obtain High Customers' Review Scores in Airbnb

Airbnb Customers' Reviews Rating Analysis



Boston University
Qixuan, Zhang

Contents

Abstract	2
1. Introduction	2
1.1. Background.....	2
2. Previous Work.....	2
2.1. Cleaning Dataset and Summary of Variables.....	2
2.2. Summary Variables.....	2
3. Exploratory Data Analysis.....	3
3.1. EDA of Boston Customers Reviews.....	3
3.1.1. Distribution of Airbnb Customers' Reviews in Boston	3
3.1.2. Variable Analysis.....	4
3.1.3. Compared different Reviews Scores Rating in Boston	5
3.2. Text mining Customers' Review	6
3.2.1. Word Counts Analysis of Customers' Comments.....	6
3.2.2. Sentiment Analysis	7
4. Method	9
4.1. Linear Regression Model.....	9
4.2. Latent Linear Regression Model.....	11
4.3. Logistic Regression Model.....	12
4.4. Multilevel Models.....	14
4.5. Multinomial Model.....	14
5. Conclusion	16
5.1. Model Selection.....	16
5.2. Interpretation	16
6. Discussion	16
6.1. Implications	16
6.2. Limitations.....	17
6.3. Future Direction.....	17
7. Reference.....	17

Abstract

This study investigates key factors that influence Airbnb customer experiences by analyzing big dataset of online review comments through the process of text mining and sentiment analysis. Based on the key attributes, we will give some suggestions to future Airbnb hosts. Compared with traditional study, the innovation of this study is using AFINN dataset to calculate sentiments scores of Airbnb customers' comments to study customers' opinions about Airbnb services. From our analysis, we found price is not the crucial factor influence customers' experience, and customers' overall review scores are based on location, accuracy, communication, room types and cleanliness. Methodologically, this study contributes to improve the satisfaction of customers and illustrate how big data can be used and visually interpreted in marketing studies.

Key Words: Customers' Reviews, Latent Rating Regression, Multilevel Regression

1. Introduction

1.1. Background

With advantages of price, there is no doubt Airbnb is becoming the best choice of more and more people. Due to high volume of customers, it is crucial for hosts to provide a comfortable and high-quality room for customers. Based on those previous studies, most of researchers paid more attention to listing dataset, they analyzed customers experience by using reviews rate as response variable and took economy-based variables as predictors.

In this study, we used sentiment analysis get the mean sentiments scores of each apartment in the cleaned listing dataset. And then we will use sentiment scores as response to fit our models and compare the results with the results based on traditional response (overall review rating/value). More specifically, we will compare key factors of customer reviews from different models. And then we figure out the key attributes that influence customers reviews and give some suggestions to improve customer reviews rate.

2. Previous Work

2.1. Cleaning Dataset and Summary of Variables

DATA-SOURCE DESCRIPTION:

The Boston dataset was released by Airbnb itself on October 8th, 2018 to show people that how Airbnb is really being used and is affecting their neighborhood. The original data set had 6041 rows and 96 variables (columns) in Boston listing dataset, Boston reviews data had 173818 rows and 6 columns.

CLEANING DATASET:

We kept the variables that are relevance to customers reviews in listing dataset. Furthermore, we deleted NA values and filtered numbers of reviews more than three. In this study, we focused on price interval of \$0-\$1000 rental Airbnb apartments in Boston. The cleaned listing dataset we used had 3611 rows and 23 variables. And for Boston reviews dataset, we only deleted NA comments and non-English comments.

2.2. Summary Variables

Summary Variables

Although there are 100+ variables in the 2 datasets, only 21 are used for the analysis. We briefly describe such variables is given below:

TABLE 2.1

ID	Unique Hosts
NEIGHBOURHOOD_CLEANSED	Defined apartment area in Boston
PROPERTY TYPE	The type of house that hosts provided

ROOM TYPE	The type of room that hosts provided
BATHROOMS	The number of bathrooms
BEDS	The number of beds
BEDROOMS	The number of bedrooms
PRICE	Price
REVIEW SCORES RATING	Overall scores rating of customers
REVIEW SCORES CLEANLINESS	Rating of cleanliness
REVIEW SCORES ACCURACY	Rating of accuracy
REVIEW SCORES LOCATION	Rating of location
REVIEWSCORESCOMMUNICATION	Rating of communication
REVIEW SCORES CHECKIN	Rating of check-in
NUMBER OF REVIEWS	Number of reviews of a unique host
COMMENTS	Comment of customers
SENTIMENT	Sentiment scores of customers
DESCRIPTION	Description of host's house
ACCOMODATES	The number of accommodates


3. Exploratory Data Analysis

3.1. EDA of Boston Customers Reviews

3.1.1. Distribution of Airbnb Customers' Reviews in Boston


To give our reader a more observable visualization, we made a leaflet to describe the overall review scores distribution in different area in Boston at first. With stronger and stronger of the green color, the overall review scores are higher.

Figure 3.1 Customers' Reviews Scores Rating of Boston



Following leaflet plot of Boston customers' reviews, we made a histogram plot to describe reviews of Boston.

Figure3.1 Revies Rate Distribution of Boston




From Figure 3.1, we could get conclusion that most people prefer to give positive feedback. That made me more curious about what caused people to give negative feedback. Back to the Boston listing dataset, we found that reviews scores rating related to several aspects, therefore we made several plots to visualize and analyze different aspects related to customers' reviews.

3.1.2. Variable Analysis

There are several categorical variables, from cleansed Boston listing table, therefore we made several plots to illustrate different relationship between customers reviews scores and variables.


Initially, we want to figure out overall review scores distribution of different neighborhoods. We made a bar plot to illustrate counts of different location related to their customers reviews scores for location, in Figure 3.2 we could find the best location of Airbnb apartments is in Allston. Because more than 2000 apartments located in Allston was given 10 scores by customers.

Figure 3.2 Review Scores Location Of Different Neighbourhood




Based on the inspiration of neighborhood related to customers' reviews scores, we analyzed plots of property type, room type and price related to customers' reviews. From Figure 3.3, we found that the most common and popular room type is Entire home/apt, and there are few Shared room in Airbnb.

Figure 3.3 Review Scores Rating of Different Room Type



And we found similar conclusion when we observed Figure 3.4, we found the most popular property type is Apartment. As for price analysis, we made


Figure 3.4 Review Scores Rating of Different Property Type



3.1.3. Compared different Reviews Scores Rating in Boston

Based on above distribution of customers' overall review scores in Boston, we classified the overall review scores to three parts, good, normal and bad. As for good part, it included review scores rating from customers that more than 90. And for the bad part, it included overall review scores rating less than 70. And within the interval of 70-90, we classified it marked by normal. And we added evaluation to listing table to illustrate the different.

After classified overall review scores rating, we made a plot to compare the distribution of top 11 best reviews and top 11 worse reviews in Boston.




3.2. Text mining Customers' Review

3.2.1. Word Counts Analysis of Customers' Comments

Based on visualization plots of different variables, we want to figure out some meaning information that latent in customers' reviews. We believe that these comments made Airbnb customers give positive and negative feedback. And we also think based on comments sentiments analysis, we could get more specific idea that made us to get close to what happened during the customers' Airbnb trip. Initially, we want to see the description combined with overall review scores. We divided our rating scores rating to two groups, first one is high rating group. We filtered the overall review scores rating more than 90 as the high rating group. And then we filter the overall review scores rating less than 70 as low rating group. After that, we apply these following methods to each city.

We get the plot of Top frequency words of high and low customers' scores rating in Boston as following (Figure3.7). From comments words counts of low customers' rating, we found some common word like noise, uncomfortable, etc. Compared with comments of low rating words clouds plot, we can find lots of positive words easily like clean, perfect, easy, beautiful, super, highly, super in comments of high rating words plot.



Furthermore, we would like to build two word clouds to illustrate the specific frequency words of these above two parts. In Figure 3.8 and Figure 3.9, we displayed word clouds of high scores rating comments and low scores rating comments.

Figure 3.8 High Rating Word Cloud



Figure 3.9 Low Rating Word Cloud



When we complete the part of checking frequency words and words cloud, we move forward to sentiments analysis of Airbnb customers' comments and we want to figure out the latent factors that influence customers' overall review scores rating.


3.2.2. Sentiment Analysis

There is no doubt that comments of customers reflect why they give the positive or negative feedback to hosts. However, Airbnb did not provide a review system which could evaluate customers' comments. In this study, we calculated sentiment scores by using Airbnb customers' comments words joined with AFINN sentiment dataset, and then we create a new column to named sentiment which recorded sentiments scores corresponding to unique host ID. More specifically, we want to confirm if overall review scores rating is perfectly reflecting customers' reviews.

After adding sentiment scores column, we want to analyze several customer behavior-related variables combined with overall review scores. More specifically, we want to confirm if overall review scores rating


is perfectly reflecting customers' reviews. After getting sentiment scores of every host, we made the distribution of comments in different room type, plot that shown in Figure 3.10, by using AFFIN Lexicon sentiment scores.

Figure 3.10 Distribution of Sentiment Score Of Comments For Different Room Type




From Figure 3.11, we could get the conclusion that the distribution of sentiment scores follow normal distribution and Apartments is most popular property type.

Figure 3.11 Distribution of Sentiment Of Comments in Property



Comparing with reviews scores rating, from Figure 3.12, the distribution of sentiment scores is different from customers scores rating. It demonstrates that there is some useful information that embedded in customers' comments.

Figure 3.12 Compared Distribution of Sentiment and Review Scores Rating




4. Method

4.1. Linear Regression Model

After exploratory of data analysis and text mining analysis process for Airbnb customers' reviews and listing dataset, we move forward to model building step.

As for using Linear Regression Model to demonstrate customers' reviews rating, we built a correlation plot to observe relationship between these variables. And then, we divided our dataset to training dataset and test dataset. We randomly pick up 2400 rows as training dataset and use it to predict our model.

Figure 4.1 Plot Numeric Variables Correlation



By using training dataset, the results of model1 (lm1) display as following:

Figure 4.2 Linear Regression Model

	Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
scale(price)	1	5	5	0.5403	0.4623788
neighbourhood_cleansed	24	5312	221	24.1185	< 2.2e-16 ***
accommodates	1	43	43	4.7205	0.0298964 *
bathrooms	1	63	63	6.8186	0.0090741 **
bedrooms	1	0	0	0.0205	0.8862023
property_type	15	1409	94	10.2367	< 2.2e-16 ***
room_type	2	12	6	0.6533	0.5204282
beds	1	39	39	4.2609	0.0390994 *
review_scores_accuracy	1	37008	37008	4032.5261	< 2.2e-16 ***
review_scores_location	1	1396	1396	152.0895	< 2.2e-16 ***
review_scores_communication	1	2921	2921	318.3339	< 2.2e-16 ***
review_scores_cleanliness	1	4775	4775	520.2905	< 2.2e-16 ***
review_scores_checkin	1	114	114	12.4098	0.0004346 ***
Residuals	2555	23448	9		

Signif. codes:	0	****	0.001	**	0.01 *
					0.05 .
					0.1 ' '
					1

From the Linear Regression Model summary, the model p-value and predictor's p-value are less than the significance level, so we know we have a statistically significant model. For now, key attributes of customers' reviews are comments, neighborhood, property type, beds, bathrooms, accommodates, review scores accuracy, review scores cleanliness, review scores communication and review scores check in.

After fitting linear regression model, we will diagnose and check our linear models to get more accuracy result under the correct hypothesis. Figure 4.3 displays the residual plot and marginal model plots of linear model1.

Figure 4.3 Linear Regression Model Residual Plots





Figure 4.4 Marginal Model Plot



4.2. Latent Linear Regression Model

Going beyond the scores rating to know the opinions of a reviewer on different aspects is important because different reviewers may give a host the same scores rating for very different reasons. For example, under the same 80 overall review scores rating, one customer may be unsatisfied with location and the other one may be unhappy with the bed in the room. Therefore, it is important for us to add this latent information in customers' comments to get more accuracy and reasonable customers' overall review scores related to their Airbnb experience.

Furthermore, even if we can reveal the rating on an aspect such as "price", it may still be insufficient because "cheap" may mean different price ranges for different reviewers. Even the same reviewer may use a different standard to define "cheap" depending on how critical other factors (e.g. location) are; intuitively, when a reviewer cares more about the location, the reviewer would tend to be more willing to tolerate a higher price. To understand such subtle differences, it is necessary to further reveal the relative importance weight that a reviewer placed on each aspect when assigning the overall rating.

We applied the above sentiment scores to our linear regression model as weights attributes of influence customers' reviews.


Figure 4.5 Latent Linear Regression Model

	Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
scale(price)	1	5.0	5.0	0.5749	0.44841
sentiment	1	18311.1	18311.1	2122.9020	< 2.2e-16 ***
neighbourhood_cleansed	24	3422.4	142.6	16.5325	< 2.2e-16 ***
accommodates	1	24.7	24.7	2.8629	0.09076 .
bathrooms	1	145.8	145.8	16.9081	4.047e-05 ***
bedrooms	1	0.8	0.8	0.0912	0.76267
property_type	15	598.9	39.9	4.6287	7.676e-09 ***
room_type	2	10.1	5.0	0.5832	0.55820
beds	1	31.8	31.8	3.6848	0.05502 .
review_scores_accuracy	1	24652.0	24652.0	2858.0384	< 2.2e-16 ***
review_scores_location	1	884.4	884.4	102.5340	< 2.2e-16 ***
review_scores_communication	1	2155.3	2155.3	249.8740	< 2.2e-16 ***
review_scores_cleanliness	1	4191.5	4191.5	485.9444	< 2.2e-16 ***
review_scores_checkin	1	81.8	81.8	9.4886	0.00209 **
Residuals		2554	22029.5	8.6	

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1					

The results display in Figure 4.4, from the above chart we could get the conclusion that only the overall review scores of different aspects did not include all the enough and reliable information. As we can see, combined with sentiment scores, we could get more accuracy predict results.

Figure 4.6 Marginal Plot of Latent Linear Regression Model




From Figure 4.5, the marginal plots of Latent Linear regression model, we could find that sentiments scores of comments are perfectly relevant to customers' rating scores. It means that we could use sentiment scores to get more accuracy results. More specifically, we will create Airbnb customers' comments table in the future. We could use that sentiment table to get more accuracy sentiment scores and use that scores to predict overall review scores rating.

4.3. Logistic Regression Model

To fit Logistic Regression Model, we use the same evaluation variable as we mentioned before. Figure 4.5 displays the residual binned plot from Logistic Regression Model.

Figure 4.7 Binned residual plot



The accuracy of Logistic Regression Model is only 65.29%. Figure 4.6 shows ROC plot of Logistic Regression Model. From our confusion matrix (Figure 4.7) we find that no information is 66%, the p-value of Logistic Regression Model is only 0.66. Therefore, we do not recommend applied this model to classify key attributes and predict the customers' reviews.

Figure 4.8 ROC plot of Logistic Regression Model




Figure 4.9 Confusion Matrix
Confusion Matrix and Statistics

		Reference	
		Prediction	
Prediction	0	1	
	0	366	89
1	209	206	
Accuracy : 0.6575			
95% CI : (0.6249, 0.689)			
No Information Rate : 0.6609			
P-Value [Acc > NIR] : 0.6003			
Kappa : 0.3047			
McNemar's Test P-Value : 5.444e-12			
Sensitivity : 0.6365			
Specificity : 0.6983			
Pos Pred Value : 0.8044			
Neg Pred Value : 0.4964			
Prevalence : 0.6609			
Detection Rate : 0.4207			
Detection Prevalence : 0.5230			
Balanced Accuracy : 0.6674			
'Positive' Class : 0			

4.4. Multilevel Models

Based on above model analysis, we consider utilizing Multilevel Regression Model to compare differences between different group. We use neighborhoods as our first group, it contains 21 kinds of different neighborhoods. And then we consider using property type as our second group.


We build four Multilevel models listed as following, in the following chart (Figure4.8), the log_mix3 model is Multilevel Logistic Model.

Figure 4.10 Compare Different Multilevel Models

```
refitting model(s) with ML (instead of REML)
Data: reviews_sentiment3
Models:
log_mix1: Evaluation2 ~ review_scores_location + review_scores_accuracy +
log_mix1:   review_scores_cleanliness + review_scores_communication +
log_mix1:   (0 + review_scores_accuracy | property_type) + (1 | property_type)
log_mix2: scale(review_scores_rating) ~ bathrooms + review_scores_location +
log_mix2:   review_scores_accuracy + review_scores_cleanliness + review_scores_communication +
log_mix2:   (0 + review_scores_accuracy | neighbourhood_cleansed) + (1 |
log_mix2:   property_type)
log_mix3: Evaluation2 ~ bathrooms + review_scores_location + review_scores_accuracy +
log_mix3:   review_scores_cleanliness + review_scores_communication +
log_mix3:   (0 + review_scores_accuracy | neighbourhood_cleansed) + (1 |
log_mix3:   property_type)
log_mix: Evaluation2 ~ bathrooms + review_scores_location + review_scores_accuracy +
log_mix:   review_scores_cleanliness + review_scores_communication +
log_mix:   (1 + review_scores_accuracy | neighbourhood_cleansed)
Df      AIC      BIC    logLik deviance    Chi Df Pr(>ChiSq)
log_mix1 8 2334.5 2383.7 -1159.2   2318.5
log_mix2 9 5998.0 6053.4 -2990.0   5980.0  0.00      1       1
log_mix3 9 2335.9 2391.3 -1159.0   2317.9 3662.11     0 <2e-16 ***
log_mix 10 2230.8 2292.3 -1105.4   2210.8 107.13     1 <2e-16 ***
---
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

From Figure 4.8, we could get the conclusion that log mix is the best model of Multilevel Models. And the residual plot of log-mix model is shown in Figure 4.9. From Figure 4.9 we could find customers' reviews different from neighborhoods location.

Figure 4.9Residual Plot of Log-mix



4.5. Multinomial Model

Before building Multinomial Model for Airbnb customers' reviews, we build a new column named Evaluation2 at first. We divided the overall review scores rating to 4 levels and we listed as following:

	Review scores rating	Evaluation2
Excellent	>90	4
Good	(80,90]	3
Normal	(70,80]	2
Bad	<70	1


And then we build the Multinomial Model for Airbnb customers' reviews, from Figure 4.10, we get the coefficient of different evaluation levels. More specifically, the variables listed in Figure 4.10 are key factors that influence customers' reviews scores.

Figure 4.12 Result of Multinomial Model

```
polr(formula = ordered(Evaluation2) ~ bathrooms + review_scores_accuracy +
    review_scores_location + neighbourhood_cleansed + review_scores_communication +
    review_scores_cleanliness + review_scores_checkin, data = train_glm)
      coef.est  coef.se
bathrooms          -0.05   0.12
review_scores_accuracy     1.97   0.14
review_scores_location     0.50   0.13
neighbourhood_cleansedBack Bay -0.78   0.39
neighbourhood_cleansedBay Village -0.28   1.20
neighbourhood_cleansedBeacon Hill -1.36   0.44
neighbourhood_cleansedBrighton -0.56   0.45
neighbourhood_cleansedCharlestown -0.60   0.62
neighbourhood_cleansedChinatown -2.48   0.49
neighbourhood_cleansedDorchester -0.39   0.38
neighbourhood_cleansedDowntown -1.19   0.38
neighbourhood_cleansedEast Boston -0.89   0.41
neighbourhood_cleansedFenway -0.48   0.41
neighbourhood_cleansedHyde Park  0.32   0.85
neighbourhood_cleansedJamaica Plain  0.55   0.46
neighbourhood_cleansedLeather District 13.54   0.00
neighbourhood_cleansedLongwood Medical Area 12.95   0.00
neighbourhood_cleansedMattapan  0.34   0.75
neighbourhood_cleansedMission Hill -0.78   0.49
neighbourhood_cleansedNorth End -0.64   0.44
neighbourhood_cleansedRoslindale  0.32   0.75
neighbourhood_cleansedRoxbury -0.61   0.40
neighbourhood_cleansedSouth Boston -0.57   0.44
neighbourhood_cleansedSouth Boston Waterfront 14.34   0.00
neighbourhood_cleansedSouth End -1.12   0.42
neighbourhood_cleansedWest End -0.83   0.79
neighbourhood_cleansedWest Roxbury -0.55   0.79
review_scores_communication  0.83   0.13
review_scores_cleanliness  1.27   0.10
review_scores_checkin  0.55   0.13
112  40.04  1.97
213  41.20  1.98
314  46.20  2.11
---
n = 2607, k = 33 (including 3 intercepts)
residual deviance = 1482.2, null deviance is not computed by polr
```

After fitting model, we checked and visualized our model by using test dataset. Figure 4.11 shows the evaluation of different neighborhoods.

Figure 4.13 Evaluation of Different Neighborhoods



5. Conclusion

5.1. Model Selection

In this study, based on the inspire of latent rating regression model (Wang,2011), I created a unique Airbnb sentiments scores of Airbnb customers' comments and reviews scores of location, cleanliness and description etc. aspects from Airbnb to get more accuracy results. Based on above analysis, we will choose Latent Linear Regression model to analyze key attributes of customers' reviews and give some suggestions to improve future customers' reviews for Airbnb hosts.

The results display in Figure 4.4, from the above chart we could get the conclusion that only the overall review scores of different aspects did not include all the enough and reliable information. As we can see, combined with sentiment scores, we could get more accuracy predict results.

From the Latent Linear Regression Model summary, the model p-value and predictor's p-value are less than the significance level, so we know we have a statistically significant model. For now, key attributes of customers' reviews are comments, neighborhood, property type, beds, bathrooms, accommodates, review scores accuracy, review scores cleanliness, review scores communication and review scores check in.

5.2. Interpretation

Within the Latent Linear Regression Model, we summary coefficients of main variables in the model shown in Figure 5.1. From Figure 5.1, we find that customer's overall review scores strongly correlated with review scores location, cleanliness, communication, accuracy and check in. We listed the coefficient as following.

Figure 5.1 Coefficient of Main Variables

room_typePrivate room	0.220210	0.189615	1.161	0.245607
room_typeShared room	0.474351	0.544338	0.871	0.383603
review_scores_accuracy	3.040789	0.142501	21.339	< 2e-16 ***
review_scores_location	0.874835	0.115066	7.603	4.04e-14 ***
review_scores_communication	1.774146	0.150683	11.774	< 2e-16 ***
review_scores_cleanliness	2.291581	0.103451	22.151	< 2e-16 ***
review_scores_checkin	0.827074	0.154709	5.346	9.79e-08 ***

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1				

Residual standard error: 2.939 on 2556 degrees of freedom

Multiple R-squared: 0.7187, Adjusted R-squared: 0.7132

F-statistic: 130.6 on 50 and 2556 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

Based on above analysis, we have following suggestions to future Airbnb hosts and the hosts who want to improve their customers' overall review scores.

- a) It's crucial to promise your apartment is consist with you description, because when keep the other conditions with the same level, one unit increase of accuracy description will increase 3 point of your customers' overall review scores.
- b) Keep communicate with your customers, because a kind host will impress customers deeply.
- c) Keep your apartment as clean as you can.

6. Discussion

6.1. Implications

The findings from the present study provide important theoretical and managerial implications for academicians and practitioners. Specifically, the implications may be beneficial to scholars who seek to

evolve research in the areas of customer experience and rating behavior, and to practitioners (particularly in the lodging industry) when developing useful strategies to create and offer the ideal experiences that customers seek.

6.2. Limitations

In the Latent Linear Regression Model, we did not calculate the weights, we just use sentiment scores as a new variable. It may cause bias, though when we did VIF diagnose it has normal results, when we did BP-test, the model shows Heteroscedasticity.

Therefore, the further step for this study we plan to use Weighted Linear Regression Model to eliminate Heteroscedasticity and get more reliable results. As for the other model we studied in this project, they still have space to improve and get more accuracy results.

6.3. Future Direction

The first goal is to combine more dataset of major cities in United States and create an Airbnb sentiment words analysis dataset. When we want to calculate the overall customers' review scores of specific trips, we will combine the scores the customer given with his/her comment's sentiment scores. Based on this reliable information, we could build a more sufficient, reasonable and reliable customer reviews scores rating system. Both Airbnb and hosts in Airbnb could get feedback to improve their service from the scores given by customers.

7. Reference

- Wang (2011) Latent Aspect Rating Analysis on Review Text Data: A Rating Regression Approach
- Hsieh, J. K., Hsieh, Y. C., & Tang, Y. C. (2012). Exploring the disseminating behaviors of eWOM marketing: persuasion in online video. *Electronic Commerce Research*, 12(2), 201-224.
- Hsu, M. H., Ju, T. L., Yen, C. H., & Chang, C. M. (2007). Knowledge sharing behavior in virtual communities: The relationship between trust, self-efficacy, and outcome expectations. *International journal of human-computer studies*, 65(2), 153-169.
- Hu, M., & Liu, B. (2004, August). Mining and summarizing customer reviews. In Proceedings of the tenth ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining (pp. 168-177). ACM.