2K: Causal Inference and Experiments in the Social Sciences

Ryan T. Moore*

22 July 2019 at 11:33

Course Information

Course 2K: Causal Inference and Experiments in the Social Sciences
Essex Summer School in Social Science and Data Analysis
Session 2: 22 July - 2 August 2019
Monday-Friday 14:15-17:45
Room 2.02 / Lab G

Instructor Information

Ryan T. Moore, Ph.D.
Associate Professor of Government
Homepage: http://www.ryantmoore.org
Email: rtm (at) american (dot) edu

Melle Albada
Email: mellealbada (at) gmail (dot) com

Course Description

This course is an introduction to causal inference and experiments for the social sciences. We will discuss the nature of causal research, how to design research to answer different types of causal questions, how to analyze experimental (and perhapts observational) data, how to implement analysis using the R statistical language, and how to interpret the results of causal analyses. Specific topics will include potential outcomes, experiments, blocked designs, conjoint, list, and multiarm bandit survey experiments. We will examine observational matching, sensitivity, instruments, discontinuities, synthetic controls, and other special topics as permitted and as student interest dictates.

Learning Objectives

By the end of the course, you should be able to

*Department of Government, American University, Kerwin Hall 226, 4400 Massachusetts Avenue NW, Washington DC 20016-8130. tel: 202.885.6470; rtm (at) american (dot) edu; http://www.ryantmoore.org.

- Identify causal effects using the potential outcomes framework
- Perform design-based inference for randomized experiments
- Create and analyze variety of randomized designs, including for blocked, conjoint, list, and multiarm bandit experiments
- Estimate mediation effects and assess their sensitivity

Learning Strategies

Readings

Readings should be completed before the course meeting under which they are listed below.

The primary textbook for the course is

> Alan S. Gerber and Donald P. Green. *Field Experiments: Design, Analysis, and Interpretation*. WW Norton, New York, NY, 2012.

Problem Sets

The problem sets should be completed outside of class. You may work with others currently taking the course on the problem sets, but every keystroke of your submission must be your own. You may not copy code or answers from others, but you may develop your code with classmates. You are responsible for understanding every line of code you submit. Academic integrity is a core value of institutions of higher learning. It is your responsibility to avoid and report plagiarism, cheating, and dishonesty.

Software

The primary software for the course is R. See http://j.mp/2swvN0p for help getting started.

Intellectual Property

Course content is the intellectual property of the instructor or student who created it, and may not be recorded or distributed without consent.

Calendar

Day 1: Monday, 22 July

Introduction to causal inference.
The potential outcomes framework. Estimands.
Introduction to computing environments.
Lab: Introduction to R

Day 2: Tuesday, 23 July

Randomized experiments I: Motivation, inference, testing.

- ☐ Submit PS1: Gerber and Green 2.1, 2.10, 2.12
- ☐ Chapters 2 and 3 of

  Alan S. Gerber and Donald P. Green. *Field Experiments: Design, Analysis, and Interpretation*. WW Norton, New York, NY, 2012.

Day 3: Wednesday, 24 July

Randomized experiments II: Covariates, blocked designs.

- ☐ Submit PS2: 3.1, 3.5
- ☐ Chapter 4 of Gerber and Green
- ☐ Moore, Ryan T. "Multivariate Continuous Blocking to Improve Political Science Experiments". *Political Analysis*, 20(4):460–479, Autumn 2012.
- ☐ Moore, Ryan T. and Sally A. Moore. "Blocking for Sequential Political Experiments". *Political Analysis*, 21(4):507–523, 2013.

Day 4: Thursday, 25 July

Regression and Experiments. Heterogeneous treatment effects.

- ☐ Submit PS3
- ☐ Chapter 9 of Gerber and Green

Day 5: Friday, 26 July

Survey experiments. Conjoints, item counts, lists.

- ☐ Submit PS4
- ☐ Paul M. Sniderman. Some advances in the design of survey experiments. *Annual Review of Political Science*, 21:259–275, May 2018.
- ☐ Jens Hainmueller, Daniel J. Hopkins, and Teppei Yamamoto. Causal inference in conjoint analysis: Understanding multidimensional choices via stated preference experiments. *Political Analysis*, 22(1):1–30, 2014.
- ☐ Yusaku Horiuchi, Daniel M Smith, and Teppei Yamamoto. Measuring voters' multidimensional policy preferences with conjoint analysis: Application to japan's 2014 election. *Political Analysis*, 26(2):190–209, 2018.
- ☐ Graeme Blair and Kosuke Imai. Statistical analysis of list experiments. *Political Analysis*, 20(1):47–77, Winter 2012.
- ☐ Graeme Blair, Kosuke Imai, and Jason Lyall. Comparing and combining list and endorsement experiments: Evidence from afghanistan. *American Journal of Political Science*, 58(4):1043–1063, 2014.

Day 6: Monday, 29 July

Multiarm bandits.

- ☐ Molly Offer-Westort, Alexander Coppock, and Donald P Green. Adaptive experimental design: Prospects and applications in political science. *Manuscript.*, 2018. http://j.mp/2FsHlKr.
- ☐ Volodymyr Kuleshov and Doina Precup. Algorithms for multi-armed bandit problems. *CoRR*, abs/1402.6028, 2014.
- ☐ Neha Gupta, Ole-Christoffer Granmo, and Ashok Agrawala. Thompson sampling for dynamic multi-armed bandits. In *2011 10th International Conference on Machine Learning and Applications Workshops*, pages 484–489. IEEE, 2011.

Day 7: Tuesday, 30 July

Lab Experiments. ESSEXLab Visit.

- Interactive incented experiments
- Biometric demonstration in conjoint experiments
- zTree/oTree programming introduction

☐ Submit PS6

Day 8: Wednesday, 31 July

Interference. Time-varying treatments and covariates.

- ☐ Chapter 8 of Gerber and Green
- ☐ Michael G. Hudgens and M. Elizabeth Halloran. Toward Causal Inference With Interference. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 103(482):832–842, June 2008.
- ☐ Paul R. Rosenbaum. Interference Between Units in Randomized Experiments. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 102(477):191–200, 2007.
- ☐ Michael E. Sobel. What do randomized studies of housing mobility demonstrate?: Causal inference in the face of interference. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 101(476):1398–1407, 2006.
- ☐ Matthew Blackwell. A framework for dynamic causal inference in political science. *American Journal of Political Science*, 57(2):504–520, 2013.

Day 9: Thursday, 1 August

Mediation.

- ☐ Submit PS7
- ☐ Chapter 10 of Gerber and Green
- ☐ Kosuke Imai, Luke Keele, Dustin Tingley, and Teppei Yamamoto. Unpacking the black box of causality: Learning about causal mechanisms from experimental and observational studies. *American Political Science Review*, 105(4):765–789, November 2011.
- ☐ John G. Bullock, Donald P. Green, and Shang E. Ha. Yes, but what's the mechanism? (don't expect an easy answer). *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 98(4):550–558, 2010.
- ☐ Kosuke Imai, Luke Keele, and Teppei Yamamoto. Identification, Inference, and Sensitivity Analysis for Causal Mediation Effects. *Statistical Science*, 25(1):51–71, February 2010.

Day 10: Friday, 2 August

Registration, Replication, Declaration

Additional Topic

Observational studies: Designs for causal inference.

- ☐ Donald B. Rubin. The design *versus* the analysis of observational studies for causal effects: Parallels with the design of randomized trials. *Statistics in Medicine*, 26(1):20–36, 2007.
- ☐ Daniel Ho, Kosuke Imai, Gary King, and Elizabeth Stuart. Matching as Nonparametric Preprocessing for Reducing Model Dependence in Parametric Causal Inference. *Political Analysis*, 15:199–236, 2007.
- ☐ Kosuke Imai, Gary King, and Elizabeth A. Stuart. Misunderstandings between experimentalists and observationalists about causal inference. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series A*, 171(2):481–502, 2008.

Additional Topic

Matching for Observational Designs

Matching on the propensity score. Matching on coarsened measures.

- ☐ Paul R. Rosenbaum and Donald B. Rubin. "The Central Role of the Propensity Score in Observational Studies for Causal Effects". *Biometrika*, 70(1):41–55, 1983.
- ☐ Kosuke Imai and Marc Ratkovic. Covariate balancing propensity score. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology)*, 76(1):243–263, 2014.
- ☐ Stefano M. Iacus, Gary King, and Giuseppe Porro. Causal inference without balance checking: Coarsened exact matching. *Political Analysis*, 20(1):1–24, Winter 2012.

Additional Topic

Sensitivity.

Additional Topic

Encouragement designs, instrumental variables. "Local" treatment effects.

- ☐ Chapters 5 and 6 of Gerber and Green
- ☐ Joshua D. Angrist, Guido W. Imbens, and Donald B. Rubin. Identification of causal effects using instrumental variables. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 91 (434):444–455, 1996.

Additional Topic

Regression discontinuity designs. Milestones.

- ☐ Devin Caughey and Jasjeet S. Sekhon. Elections and the Regression Discontinuity Design: Lessons from Close U.S. House Races, 1942–2008. *Political Analysis*, 19(4):385–408, 2011.

- ☐ Guido W. Imbens and Thomas Lemieux. Regression discontinuity designs: A guide to practice. *Journal of Econometrics*, 142:615–635, 2008.

Additional Topic

Synthetic control methods. Interrupted time series.

- ☐ Alberto Abadie and Javier Gardeazabal. The Economic Costs of Conflict: A Case Study of the Basque Country. *The American Economic Review*, 93(1):113–132, 2003.

- ☐ Alberto Abadie, Alexis Diamond, and Jens Hainmueller. Synthetic Control Methods for Comparative Case Studies: Estimating the Effects of California's Tobacco Control Program. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 105(490):493–505, June 2010.

- ☐ Alberto Abadie, Alexis Diamond, and Jens Hainmueller. Synth: An r package for synthetic control methods in comparative case studies. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 42(13):1–17, 2011.