

No-Show to Primary Care Appointments: Why Patients Do Not Come

Journal of Primary Care & Community Health
4(4) 251–255
© The Author(s) 2013
Reprints and permissions:
sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav
DOI: 10.1177/2150131913498513
jpc.sagepub.com



Emma Kaplan-Lewis¹ and Sanja Percac-Lima^{1,2}

Abstract

Background: Missed primary care appointments lead to poor disease control and later presentation to care. No-show rates are higher in clinics caring for underserved populations and may contribute to poorer health outcomes in this group. The objective of this study was to determine who were the patients not showing to primary care appointments and their reasons to no-show. **Methods:** A retrospective study was conducted at a community health center serving a predominantly Latino, immigrant, low-income population. Adult patients >18 years old who did not show to primary care appointments during a 5-month period were called by a bilingual (English and Spanish) patient service coordinator. The patients' reported reason for missing the appointment was documented. Two-sided *t* test of proportions was used to compare demographic characteristics of the patients that showed to their appointments to patients that did not. **Results:** Of 7508 scheduled appointments, 5604 were included in the analysis and 927 (16.5%) no-showed. There were 735 (79%) calls made to the patients who missed their appointments and 273 (37%) were reached. The 2 most common reasons for missing an appointment were forgetting (*n* = 97, 35.5%) and miscommunication (*n* = 86, 31.5%). When compared with patients who came to their appointments, patients who no-showed were younger (*P* < .0001), more likely to be black (*P* = .0423) or Hispanic (*P* = .0001), and to have Medicaid (*P* < .0001). **Conclusions:** No-show rates interfere with quality primary care. Interventions designed to target reasons for no-show are needed to help reduce the no-show rate, improve access and decrease health disparities in underserved patient populations.

Keywords

primary care, community health, health disparities, no-show, community health center

Background

Failure to show up to a primary care appointment leads to disruption of the core purpose of primary care—continuous quality care over time. Missed appointments are a major source of inefficiency in the medical system and lead to poor control of chronic disease, later presentation to care, wasted health care dollars, and ineffective use of provider time.^{1–7} No-shows are a particular problem in primary care settings providing care to underserved populations and could be a contributing factor to poorer health outcomes in these groups.^{8–12} In 2012, Massachusetts General Hospital Chelsea HealthCare Center (MGH Chelsea) adult medicine practice had the highest no-show rate (16%) in the hospital's primary care network. The objective of this study was to explore who were the patients not showing to the appointments at MGH Chelsea and their reasons for no-show.

Methods

The study was performed at MGH Chelsea, a community health center serving a predominantly Latino, non-English

speaking and low-income population in Chelsea, Massachusetts.^{13,14} The mortality rates in Chelsea for common chronic conditions such as coronary disease, diabetes, and cerebrovascular disease are higher than the state average.^{13,14}

All appointments for patients 18 years or older that were scheduled with primary care providers at MGH Chelsea adult medicine practice from March 23, 2012 to September 4, 2012 were included in the study. Using a daily generated list of eligible patients who had missed that day's appointment, a bilingual (English/Spanish) patient service coordinator (PSC) attempted to call these patients the same or

¹Massachusetts General Hospital Department of Medicine, Boston, MA, USA

²Massachusetts General Hospital Chelsea HealthCare Center, Chelsea, MA, USA

Corresponding Author:

Emma Kaplan-Lewis, Massachusetts General Hospital Chelsea HealthCare Center, Adult Medicine, 151 Everett Avenue, Chelsea, MA 02150, USA.

Email: ekaplan-lewis@partners.org

Table 1. Arrived Versus No-Show Patients' Characteristics.

	Arrived (n = 4677); n (%)	No-Show (n = 927); n (%)	P
Race			
White	1344 (28.7)	212 (22.9)	
Black	374 (8.0)	79 (8.5)	.0423
Hispanic	2696 (57.6)	595 (64.2)	.0001
Asian	168 (3.6)	18 (1.9)	.13
Other	95 (2.0)	23 (2.5)	.08
Gender			
Male	1405 (30.0)	280 (30.2)	
Female	3272 (70.0)	647 (69.8)	.92
Language			
English	2014 (43.1)	390 (42.1)	
Spanish	2067 (44.2)	427 (46.1)	.40
Other	596 (6.3)	110 (11.9)	.68
Age (years)			
18-40	1774 (37.9)	500 (53.9)	
41-64	2037 (43.6)	338 (36.5)	<.0001
65+	866 (18.5)	89 (9.6)	<.0001
Insurance			
Commercial	2020 (43.2)	322 (34.7)	
Medicare	853 (18.2)	116 (12.5)	.17
Medicaid	481 (31.7)	309 (33.3)	<.0001
Self	70 (1.5)	39 (4.2)	<.0001
Unknown	225 (4.8)	136 (14.7)	<.0001

following day. The PSC documented the patient's reported reason for missing the appointment, and these reasons were reviewed and categorized by the authors. Calls were made on weekdays from 6 PM to 8 PM to increase the likelihood of reaching patients. On days the PSC did not work, no calls were conducted.

Demographic data were collected on all adult patients' who had scheduled appointments during the study period and analyzed using 2-sided *t* test of proportions to determine if race, ethnicity, language, gender, age, and insurance differed in patients who no-showed, compared with those who came to their appointments. Among patients who had no-showed, we also compared demographic characteristics of patients who were interviewed by phone to those who were not reached.

The unit of analysis was the no-show visit and patients that no-showed multiple times during the study period may have been called more than once.

Results

During the study period, there were 7508 scheduled appointments in the adult medicine practice. 1904 (25.4%) cancelled and rescheduled appointments were excluded from the analysis. Of the remaining 5604 appointments that were included, 844 patients did not show to 927 appointments (16.5%). There were 735 (79%) calls made to the patients

who missed their appointments, and 273 (37%) were interviewed.

The patients who no-showed to their primary care appointments were younger ($P < .0001$), black ($P = .0423$) or Hispanic ($P = .0001$), and had Medicaid, self-insurance, or unknown insurance ($P < .0001$; Table 1).

The 2 most common reasons patients cited for missing an appointment were forgetting ($n = 97$, 35.5%) and miscommunication ($n = 86$, 31.5%; Table 2). Comparing patients who the PSC was able to reach post no-show to those that were unreachable, we did not find statistically significant differences by gender, race, ethnicity, or language. However, the patients who responded to phone calls post no-show were more likely to be older than 65 years (14.3% vs 7.1%, $P = .0004$) and/or insured through Medicare (17.6% vs 10.6%, $P = .0145$). We further examined differences in reasons for no-show by age. Hospitalization was the only reason more likely to be reported among patients 65 years and older ($P = .001$; Table 2).

Discussion

Our study revealed that in an adult medicine clinic caring for an underserved population, patients more likely to no-show to their primary care appointments were younger, black, Hispanic, and have Medicaid, or no known insurance. Patients missing appointments most commonly reported forgetting

Table 2. Reason for No-Show in the Entire Population and Stratified by Age.

Reason	Patients by Age						P
	Entire Population (n = 273)		<65 years (n = 234)		>65 years (n = 39)		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Forgot	97	35.5	87	37.2	10	25.6	0.16
Miscommunication	86	31.5	78	33.3	8	20.5	0.11
Too sick	14	5.1	12	5.1	2	5.1	1.00
Late	14	5.1	11	4.7	3	7.7	0.43
Other obligation	11	4.0	9	3.8	2	5.1	0.71
Away	10	3.7	8	3.4	2	5.1	0.60
Work	8	2.9	5	2.1	3	7.7	0.06
Hospitalized	7	2.6	3	1.3	4	10.3	0.001
Transportation	6	2.2	5	2.1	1	2.6	0.87
Health insurance	3	1.1	3	1.3	0	0.0	0.48
Other	17	6.2	13	5.6	4	10.3	0.26

and miscommunication as their reasons to no-show. Similar reasons were reported in a general practice in the United Kingdom⁶ and a military clinic in the United States.¹⁵ The miscommunication category included patients who said they thought they had canceled the appointment, patients who thought the appointment was a different date or time, patients who tried to call the clinic but did not get through, and patients who did not realize they needed to call and cancel.

Several studies reported that non-English speakers were less likely to show to their appointment^{2,9,11,12,16-18}; however, in our study, language spoken did not differ among patients who no-showed and those who came to their appointments. MGH Chelsea has 16 interpreters/outreach workers on site covering 13 languages,¹⁹ and all PSCs and medical assistants at the clinic are bilingual as are many providers. Weather, a significant barrier in the literature,²⁰ was not noted in our study, probably because it was conducted during spring and summer.

Only 3 patients reported insurance as the reason for no-show. This may be because of the health insurance mandate in Massachusetts.²¹ Patients with either unknown health insurance or self-insured were more likely to no-show. Because of the insurance mandate, it is possible that these represent patients who have only been receiving care at the clinic for a short time period, are nondocumented immigrants, and/or represent an even more vulnerable subset of the patient population that is particularly disconnected from the health care system.

Notable in the breakdown of reasons for no-show are the patients who reported hospitalization as their explanation. MGH and MGH Chelsea have an electronic system notifying both appointment schedulers and PCPs when a patient is hospitalized, thus allowing for coordination of perihospitalization care. Because of this system, hospitalization should never lead to no-shows. A potential explanation is

hospitalization at a nonaffiliated medical facility, which would not activate the notification system and would require the patient to contact the clinic and cancel their appointment. A possible solution would be coordination among Boston area hospitals to notify PCPs when a patient is admitted. Although this occasionally happens on an individual basis, the system is not formalized.

Several important limitations warrant consideration. The data were analyzed retrospectively. Our results, from an urban community health center affiliated with an academic hospital, may not be generalizable to other clinical settings. However, this study demonstrates that providers can study their practices and use the results to improve patient care. No-shows were defined by appointment and not patient, so if a patient no-showed multiple times during the study period, these would be captured as individual no-show events. The reason for no-show was patient reported with no way of verifying accuracy of report. The calls were made by a PSC working in the same practice, so patients could have felt uncomfortable sharing their reason for no-show with someone associated with their provider. However, we chose an experienced PSC, Latina, from the same community, and whom patients trust and often share personal information with.

Although patients were not called on all days during the study period, in 79% contact was attempted; however, only 37% of patients who no-showed were actually reached. It is possible that the results would differ if a larger sample of the population were captured. Comparing the demographic characteristics of no-shows who were reached by phone to those who were not, the only difference we found was that patients reached were more likely to be older and/or insured by Medicare. In the 65 years and older group, the only reason for no-show more often mentioned was hospitalization. Because of limited resources,

calls were made for only 2 hours in the evening hoping to reach patients who might not have showed because they missed the routine reminder call, which is made in the morning. Patient with irregular work hours or nighttime obligations could have been missed with this approach and they might have provided different reasons for no-show.

With the results demonstrated in this analysis, interventions directed toward the listed reasons for no-show can be designed and implemented.^{9,22-25} To target forgetfulness and miscommunication mentioned by 66% of patients in our study, we will evaluate 2 new interventions to improve attendance to primary care appointments at MGH Chelsea. Patients will receive 2 text messages or, if they are deemed to be at high risk to no-show, an additional reminder call will be made 7 days prior to their appointment. We will conduct a randomized control trial to evaluate which intervention is the most effective in our population.

Understanding the demographic characteristics associated with no-show such as age, ethnicity, and insurance type can also inform the creation of interventions to decrease no-shows.^{2,4,9,11,12} For providers, it is helpful to know who is more likely to no-show and why, so that obstacles to coming to appointments can be addressed on an individual level. Examining reasons for no-show on an individual practice level is an important first step. Exploring different methods to reduce the no-show rate is needed to increase access to quality primary care and reduce health disparities.^{4,9,22,26,27} While the results of this study can help guide the design of interventions aimed at decreasing no-shows, future studies are needed to determine the most effective method to improve attendance to primary care appointments.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Janet Santiago, patient service coordinator, who made phone calls and recorded patient reason for no-show, Patrick Ryan Cronin for assisting with biostatistical analysis, and Steven J. Atlas, MD, MPH, for reviewing the article.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This study was supported by a Harvard Medical School Center for Primary Care Academic Innovations Collaborative Grant.

References

- Colubi MM, Pérez-Elías MJ, Elías L, et al; SEAD study group. Missing scheduled visits in the outpatient clinic as a marker of short-term admissions and death. *HIV Clin Trials*. 2012;13:289-295.
- Nguyen DL, Dejesus RS, Wieland ML. Missed appointments in resident continuity clinic: patient characteristics and health care outcomes. *J Grad Med Educ*. 2011;3: 350-355.
- Oppenheim GL, Bergman JJ, English EC. Failed appointments: a review. *J Fam Pract*. 1979;8:789-796.
- George A, Rubin G. Non-attendance in general practice: a systematic review and its implications for access to primary health care. *Fam Pract*. 2003;30:178-184.
- Husain-Gambles M, Neal RD, Dempsey O, Lawlor DA, Hodgson J. Missed appointments in primary care: questionnaire and focus group study of health professionals. *Br J Gen Pract*. 2004;54:108-113.
- Neal RD, Hussain-Gambles M, Allgar VL, Lawlor DA, Dempsey O. Reasons for and consequences of missed appointments in general practice in the UK: questionnaire survey and prospective review of medical records. *BMC Fam Pract*. 2005;6:47.
- Mugavero MJ, Lin HY, Willig JH, et al. Missed visits and mortality among patients establishing initial outpatient HIV treatment. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2009;48:248-256.
- Majeroni BA, Cowan T, Osborne J, Graham RP. Missed appointments and Medicaid managed care. *Arch Fam Med*. 1996;5:507-511.
- Barron WM. Failed appointments. Who misses them, why they are missed, and what can be done. *Prim Care*. 1980;7:563-574.
- Sharp DJ, Hamilton W. Non-attendance at general practices and outpatient clinics. *BMJ*. 2001;323:1081-1082.
- Waller J, Hodgkin P. Defaulters in general practice: who are they and what can be done about them? *Fam Pract*. 2000;17:252-253.
- Weingarten N, Meyer DL, Schneid JA. Failed appointments in residency practices: who misses them and what providers are most affected? *J Am Board Fam Pract*. 1997;10:407-411.
- Massachusetts General Hospital. Center for Community Health Improvement. <http://www.massgeneral.org/cchi/communities/Chelsea.aspx>. Accessed April 27, 2013.
- Executive Office of Health and Human Services. MassCHIP. <http://www.mass.gov/dph/masschip>. Accessed April 27, 2013.
- Thornton R, Ballard K. Why military personnel fail to keep medical appointments. *J R Army Med Corps*. 2008;154: 26-30.
- Cosgrove MP. Defaulters in general practice: reasons for default and patterns of attendance. *Br J Gen Pract*. 1990;40:50-52.
- Neal RD, Lawlor DA, Allgar V, et al. Missed appointments in general practice: retrospective data analysis from four practices. *Br J Gen Pract*. 2001;51:830-832.
- Lasser KE, Mintzer IL, Lambert A, Cabral H, Bor DH. Missed appointment rates in primary care: the importance of site of care. *J Health Care Poor Underserved*. 2005;16: 475-486.
- Massachusetts General Hospital, Center for Community Health Improvement. Medical interpreters and community health workers. <http://www.massgeneral.org/cchi/services/treatmentprograms.aspx?id=1483>. Accessed April 27, 2013.

20. Tello MA, Jenckes M, Gaver J, Anderson JR, Moore RD, Chander G. Barriers to recommended gynecologic care in an urban United States HIV clinic. *J Womens Health*. 2010;19:1511-1518.
21. Attorney General of Massachusetts. Mandatory health insurance. <http://www.mass.gov/ago/doing-business-in-massachusetts/health-care/health-insurance-mandate.html>. Accessed April 27, 2013.
22. Vikander T, Parnicky K, Demers R, Frisof K, Demers P, Chase N. New-patient no-shows in an urban family practice center: analysis and intervention. *J Fam Pract*. 1986;22:263-268.
23. Hermoni D, Mankuta D, Reis S. Failure to keep appointments at a community health centre. Analysis of causes. *Scand J Prim Health Care*. 1990;8:151-155.
24. Roberts K, Callanan I, Tubridy N. Failure to attend outpatient clinics: is it in our DNA? *Int J Health Care Qual Assur*. 2011;24:406-412.
25. Van der Meer G, Looock JW. Why patients miss follow-up appointments: a prospective control-matched study. *East Afr J Public Health*. 2008;5:154-156.
26. Perron NJ, Dao MD, Kossovsky MP, et al. Reduction of missed appointments at an urban primary clinic: a randomized controlled study. *BMC Fam Pract*. 2010;11:79.
27. Schmalzried HD, Lyszak J. A model program to reduce patient failure to keep scheduled medical appointments. *J Community Health*. 2012;37:715-718.

Author Biographies

Emma Kaplan-Lewis is a third year internal medicine-primary care resident at Massachusetts General Hospital. She is one of the resident PCPs at Chelsea HealthCare Center.

Sanja Percac-Lima is an assistant professor in medicine at Harvard Medical School and a primary care physician at Massachusetts General Hospital Chelsea HealthCare Center.